# Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action

# Pre-Design Studies Work Plan Church Rock Mill Site

August 16, 2013

# Revised September 30, 2013

Prepared for:

## United Nuclear Corporation P.O. Box 3077

Gallup, NM 87305

## **General Electric Corporation**

640 Freedom Business Center King of Prussia, PA 19406

Prepared By:

#### MWH Americas, Inc.

3665 JFK Parkway, Building 1 Suite 206 Fort Collins, Colorado 80525



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	WORK	( PLAN	1				
	1.1		1				
	1.2	BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES	1				
		1.2.1 Dackground	1				
	1.3	REMOVAL ACTION AND SITE STATUS	4				
	1.4	SITE CHARACTERISTICS AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION	4				
	1.5	PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	4				
	1.6	SUMMARY OF DESIGN STRATEGY AND BASIS OF DESIGN	5				
2.0	PRE-D	PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN					
	2.1	SUMMARY OF DATA NEEDS EVALUATION	7				
	2.2	IDENTIFICATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL DATA NEEDS	7				
		2.2.1 Geotechnical Evaluation of Tailings Impoundment and Underlying	7				
		2.2.2 Borrow Material Investigation	/				
		2.2.3 Volume and Characteristics of On-site Erosion Protection Materials	12				
		2.2.4 Investigation of Natural Analog for Long-term Moisture Conditions	12				
		2.2.5 Visual Inspection and Survey of Branch Swales and North Upstream					
		Diversion Channel	13				
		2.2.6 Revegetation Study	. 13				
		2.2.8 Biointrusion Evaluation	14				
		2.2.9 Topographic Survey of North and Central Cells and Surrounding					
		Areas	15				
	2.2	2.2.10 Inventory of the Location, Nature and Quantity of Surface Debris	15				
	2.3	REPORTING	13				
3.0		SAMPLING PLANS					
0.0	2.4		17				
	3.1 SAMPLING RATIONALE AND OBJECTIVES						
		Units	17				
		3.1.2 Borrow Material Investigation	20				
		3.1.3 Volume and Characteristics of On-site Erosion Protection Material	21				
		3.1.4 Natural Analog for Long-Term Moisture Conditions	22				
		Diversion Channel	22				
		3.1.6 Revegetation Study	23				
		3.1.7 Cultural Resources Survey	25				
		3.1.8 Biointrusion Evaluation	26				
		3.1.9 Topographic Survey of North and Central Cells and Surrounding	27				
		3.1.10 Inventory of the Location Nature and Quantity of Surface Debris	27				
	3.2	DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES	27				
	3.3	DISPOSAL OF INVESTIGATION DERIVED WASTE	27				
	3.4	FIELD DOCUMENTATION	27				
	3.5	SAMPLE LABELING AND DESIGNATION	28				

August September 2013

# 3.6 SAMPLE HANDLING, SHIPMENT AND ANALYSIS 28 4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE 29 5.0 REFERENCES 30

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

- Figure 1-1. Site Location Map
- Figure 1-2. Church Rock Mill Site Layout
- Figure 3-1. Proposed Sampling Locations and Impoundment Thickness
- Figure 3-2. Proposed Sampling Locations and Geology Underlying the Impoundment
- Figure 3-3. Geologic Cross Sections 1 and 2
- Figure 3-4. Geologic Cross Section 3
- Figure 3-5. Tailings Impoundment Sampling Plan Decision Tree
- Figure 3-6. Potential Borrow Area Locations
- Figure 3-7. Proposed Borrow Area Sample Locations, East and West Borrow
- Figure 3-8. Proposed Borrow Area Sampling Locations, Dilco Hill
- Figure 3-9. Vegetation Sampling Procedure

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1-1. Basis of Conceptual Design Summary
- Table 1-2. Index of Project Documents for the Church Rock Mill Site
- Table 1-3. Index of Regulatory and Guidance Documents for the Removal Action
- Table 3-1. Estimated Profile at Proposed Sampling Locations
- Table 3-2. Geotechnical Characterization Objectives and Sampling Methods for the Tailings Impoundment and Underlying Units
- Table 3-3.
   Tailings Impoundment Laboratory Testing Schedule
- Table 3-4. Soil Tests and Methods for Borrow Material Characterization
- Table 3-5. Geotechnical Testing of On-Site Bedding and Erosion Protection Materials

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A Summary of Existing Geotechnical Data (MWH)
- APPENDIX B Summaries of Site Geologic, Hydrogeologic, and Geochemical Information (Chester Engineers)

APPENDIX C.1	Standard	Operating	Procedures

<u>MWH</u>SOP-01 <u>Drilling MethodsHollow Stem Auger Drilling, Sampling and Cone</u> <u>Penetration Testing (CPT)</u>

- MWH SOP-06 Sample Management and Shipping
  - MWH SOP-07 Soil Sampling
- MWH SOP-08 Trenching and Test Pits
  - <u>MWH</u>SOP 10 Surveying
- <u>MWH</u>SOP-14 Field Documentation



MWH SOP-17Soil LoggingMWH SOP-31Equipment DecontaminationAPPENDIX C.2CC SOP-40CC SOP-40Faunal Field Study

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement
ARMS	Archeological Records Management Section
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability
	Act of 1980
<u>CFR</u>	Code of Federal Regulations
cm/s	Centimeters per second
CPT	cone penetration test
DOE	United States Department of Energy
EE/CA	Engineering Evaluation/Cost Evaluation
ET	Evapotranspirative
FSP	field sampling plan
GE	General Electric
HASP	Health and Safety Plan
IDW	Investigation Derived Waste
<b>m</b> .	meter
MARSSIM	Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual
ma/ka	milligrams per kilogram
mm	millimeter
NECR	Northeast Church Rock
NMHPD	New Mexico Historic Preservation Division
NNHPD	Navaio Nation Historic Preservation Division
NPL	National Priorities List
I NRC	United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OU	Operable Unit
pCi/m <sup>2</sup>	Picocurie per square meter
pCi/L	Picocurie per liter
pCi/a	Picocurie per gram
PDS	Pre-Design Studies
	Probable Maximum Precipitation
PPE	personal protective equipment
PTW	Principal Threat Waste
OAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
RA	Removal Action
	Resistivity Cone Penetration Test with/ nore water pressure
<u></u>	measurements
	Removal Design
ROD	Record of Decision
RSE	Removal Site Evaluation
	State Historic Preservation Office
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SPT	standard penetration test
SWCC	soil-water characteristic curve
	United Nuclear Corporation
	United Nuclear Corporation United Stated Environmental Protection Agency
USEFA	United Stated Environmental Protection Agency

MWH.

# MWH.

# 🌐 мwн.

## 1.0 WORK PLAN

#### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The Northeast Church Rock (NECR) Mine Removal Action (RA) is in the pre-design phase. This work plan identifies pre-design data needs, describes data collection activities necessary for RA design, and outlines a Field Sampling Plan (FSP) for data collection at the United Nuclear Corporation Superfund Mill Site to support RA design. This work plan has been prepared by MWH on behalf of General Electric Company and United Nuclear Corporation (GE/UNC) for submittal to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Region 9 in response to the Action Memorandum: Request for Non-Time Critical Removal Action at the Northeast Church Rock Mine Site ([Action Memo], USEPA, 2011) and the USEPA Region 6 Record of Decision (ROD) (USEPA, 2013a). MWH proposes conducting pre-design activities in accordance with the NECR Mine Site Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan (RSE Work Plan) (MWH, 2006) and any updated plans specific to the current activities or methods. An updated version of the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) from the RSE Work Plan is included in MWH (2013c, Volume III). A site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP) that covers field activities associated with pre-design data collection at the Mine Site and at the Church Rock Mill Site is included in MWH (2013d, Volume IV). This FSP, the QAPP, and the HASP constitute the Sampling and Analysis Plan in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response. Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA).

This work plan describes pre-design data needs and provides a field sampling plan (FSP) specific to the Church Rock Mill Site. Pre-design data needs and a FSP related to the NECR Mine Site are addressed in MWH, 2013b. A *Quality Assurance Project Plan* (QAPP) and a site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP) that covers all field activities associated with pre-design data collection at the Mine Site and the Mill Site have also been prepared. These four documents combined constitute the Pre-Design Studies Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) in accordance with *Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies under CERCLA* (USEPA, 1988). The SAP is composed of the following:

- Volume I Pre-Design Studies Work Plan, Church Rock Mill Site
- Volume II Pre-Design Studies Work Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site
- Volume III Quality Assurance Project Plan
- Volume IV Health and Safety Plan

This work plan describes data gaps and pre-design data needs and provides a FSP specific to the Mill Site. Pre-design data needs and a FSP related to the Mine Site are addressed in MWH (2013, Volume II). These work plans have been revised to incorporate responses to comments from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) (NRC, 2013<u>a</u> and b), and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) (DOE, 2013<u>a</u> and b), and the USEPA (2013b). provided to UNC on May 16, 2013 and May 17, 2013, respectively.

#### 1.2 BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

#### 1.2.1 Background

The RA alternative selected by the USEPA in the Action Memo includes: (1) the removal of approximately 870,000 cubic yards of mine spoils and debris from the Mine Site, (2) restoration of the Mine Site, and (3) consolidation of the mine spoils and debris into a repository at Church



Rock Mill Site. The Action Memorandum was issued following completion of a removal site evaluation (RSE) (MWH, 2007a) and USEPA's publication and public review and comment of the Engineering Evaluation and Cost Evaluation (EE/CA) (USEPA, 2009). The USEPA then issued the Proposed Plan (USEPA, 2012) that described the preferred plan of action for the site, along with a description of the alternatives considered. The Record of Decision (ROD), the decision document that addresses consolidation of the mine wastes into a repository at the Mill Site, was issued on March 29, 2013 by the USEPA Region 6 (USEPA, 2013). Because USEPA Region 6 oversees aspects of groundwater remediation at the UNC Mill Site under CERCLA (42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq.), Region 6 prepared the Surface Soil Operable Unit (OU) Proposed Plan (Proposed Plan) for the Mill Site (USEPA, 2012) and the ROD (USEPA, 2013<u>a</u>), which, consistent with USEPA Region 9, identifies the Preferred Alternative for permanent disposal of the NECR Mine Site waste within the Church Rock Mill Site. A complete site history, and information on prior investigations and removal actions are provided in these reports, as well as other documents available in the USEPA's NECR project public document repository.

The Site is located approximately 16 miles northeast of Gallup, in McKinley County, New Mexico. The NECR Mine Site and the Church Rock Mill Site, are located on adjacent sections of land less than one mile apart, as shown on Figure 1-1. A map of the Mill Site is shown in Figure 1-2. For purposes of the response action, the NECR Mine Site and the Church Rock Mill Site (Figure 1-1) will be treated as one site (USEPA, 2013).

Key-The specific Remediation Action Objectives and Remediation Goals are stated in the ROD (USEPA, 2013) and are presented below. components of the preferred alternative presented in the ROD (USEPA, 2013) are summarized as follows:

Remediation Action Objectives:

- Prevent exposure to current and future human and ecological receptors from internal/external radiation, ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation (i.e., inhalation of associated gas or dust) of soil, mine waste, and tailings contained within the Tailings Disposal Area containing concentrations of radionuclides and their daughter products that exceed remediation goals.
- Prevent migration [on-site and off-site into soil, sediment, ground water, air (as gas or dust), and surface water] of soil, mine waste, and tailings located within the Tailings Disposal Area containing concentrations of radionuclides and their daughter products such that exposure to current and future human and ecological receptors from internal/external radiation, ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation (i.e., inhalation of associated gas or dust) of soil, mine waste, and tailings does not exceed interim remediation goals.
- Prevent the migration of concentrations of contaminants located in the soil, mine waste, and tailings contained within the Tailings Disposal Area to ground water where the migration of those contaminants would result in ground water concentrations that exceed remediation goals established in EPA's 1988 ROD for the Ground Water Operable Unit (including any amendment), and, through this action, prevent human and ecological receptors from being exposed to ground water with concentrations of contaminants that exceed remediation goals established in the 1988 ROD, including any amendment.

Remediation Goals:

- Radionuclides and their daughter products in soil, mine waste, and tailings contained within the Tailings Disposal Area will not release radon-222 emissions from residual radioactive material to the atmosphere in exceedance of an average15 release rate of 20 picocuries per square meter per second (pCi/m2s).
- Radionuclides and their daughter products in soil, mine waste, and tailings contained within the Tailings Disposal Area will not release radon-222 emissions from residual radioactive material to the atmosphere that will increase the annual average concentration of radon--222 in air at or above any location outside the disposal site by more than one-half picocurie per liter.
- Migration of contaminants from the Tailings Disposal Area shall not result in ground water concentrations that exceed remediation goals established in EPA's 1988 ROD for the Ground Water Operable Unit, including any amendment.
- •----

(#) MWH®

Excavation of all mine waste materials at NECR that exceed the cleanup level for Radium-226 (Ra-226), which is 2.24 picocuries per gram (pCi/g).

Consolidation of mine waste material into an above-ground repository on the UNC tailings impoundment.

Construct a low permeability layer of natural materials between the NECR mine waste and the tailings currently disposed within the tailings disposal area.

Construct a cover over the repository that will mitigate direct contact, limit water infiltration, and perform as a radon barrier.

Offsite disposal or processing of principal threat waste (PTW), defined by the Action Memo to be "materials considered to be highly toxic or highly mobile which generally cannot be contained in a reliable manner or would present a significant risk to human health or the environment should exposure occur." At the NECR Mine Site, USEPA has determined PTW to be all wastes containing either 200 pCi/g or more of Ra-226 and/or 500 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) or more of total uranium.

Confirmation scanning, sampling and analysis to ensure that action levels are met in excavated areas consistent with the Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM; USEPA, 2000).

• Site restoration including backfilling and regrading of excavated areas, erosion and sedimentation control measures, and revegetation of the repository cover and disturbed areas at the Mine and Mill Sites.

Mine waste materials will consist of mine spoils containing Ra-226 in excess of the cleanup level, as well as solid waste materials, such as scrap metal, concrete, and rubber from various locations at the Mine Site.

#### 1.2.2 Objectives

This work plan was prepared to facilitate the Removal Design (RD) in accordance with the requirements and proposed performance standards listed above, as well as the final site Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) in the Proposed Plan (USEPA,



<u>2012</u>) and the ROD (USEPA, 2013a). This report presents sampling and analysis plans for obtaining the identified data needs. There are data gathering or required procedural activities identified in this report that will be conducted concurrently with the RD effort. When this situation occurs, these items are not identified as data needs, but rather design components that will be addressed during the RD process.

The pre-design data needs and FSPs are described in Sections 2.2 and 3.1, respectively, and the anticipated schedule for data collection is presented in Section 2.3.

#### **1.3 REMOVAL ACTION AND SITE STATUS**

The Church Rock Mill Site is listed on the National Priorities List (NPL), and placement of waste materials from the NECR Mine Site at the Mill Site is contingent on an amendment of the Radioactive Materials License. UNC is addressing groundwater contamination at the Mill Site as called for in U.S. USEPA's Record of Decision / United Nuclear Corporation Groundwater Operable Unit (USEPA, 1988) (the ROD). UNC is also addressing source control and on-site surface reclamation at the Mill Site under the direction of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), pursuant to the UNC Mill Site's NRC radioactive materials license.

#### 1.4 SITE CHARACTERISTICS AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION

The Mill Site is composed of the former mill and ore-processing facilities (released by NRC for unrestricted use in 1993) and the tailings impoundment. The total area of the Mill Site is approximately 125 acres. Indian tribal trust land borders the Mill Site and the neighboring properties are sparsely populated. MWH (2013b, Volume II) presents a summary of the NECR Mine Site, including references to reports which include information on the materials to be placed in the proposed repository.

#### 1.5 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The ROD (USEPA, 2013) sets the remediation goals and performance standards for the proposed waste repository at the tailings impoundment. <u>The performance standards are summarized below.</u>

These are summarized below.

- The repository will be designed to hold the contaminated mine material excavated from the NECR Mine Site. The design specifications will comply with CERCLA requirements, and specifically all Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs). The repository design will also comply with applicable NRC requirements (including Appendix A of 10 CFR 40 and NUREG-1623). The ARARs are listed in the ROD (USEPA, 2013).
- The repository will include a cap designed and constructed to isolate the mine waste, reduce the potential for leachate development, and prevent contaminated runoff by minimizing flux of precipitation through the cap while <u>optimizing minimizing</u> erosion protection.
- The cap slope, shape and drainage will be designed and constructed to be stable and to minimize the effects of erosion.



- Amendments or top soil may be incorporated into the cap to facilitate vegetation growth on the repository cover. The surface of the repository will be seeded with native vegetation.
- The repository will include a low permeability layer constructed with the existing cover soil (radon barrier). The mine spoils will be placed directly on this layer after it has been reworked. The cover soil will be recompacted to provide a lower its hydraulic conductivity layer at the base of the repository.
- Radionuclides and their daughter products in soil, mine waste, and tailings contained within the Tailings Disposal Area will not release radon-222 emissions from residual radioactive material to the atmosphere in exceedance of an average release rate of 20 picocuries per square meter per second (pCi/m<sup>2</sup>s).
- Radionuclides and their daughter products in soil, mine waste, and tailings contained within the Tailings Disposal Area will not release radon-222 emissions from residual radioactive material to the atmosphere that will increase the annual average concentration of radon-222 at or above any location outside the disposal site by more than one-half picocurie per liter (pCi/L).
- •\_\_\_\_-
- The waste repository will be <u>designedclosed in such a manner that it will to</u> control, minimize or eliminate (to the extent necessary to protect human health and the environment) post-closure escape of hazardous waste, hazardous constituents, leachate, contaminated run off, or hazardous waste decomposition products to the ground or surface water or to the atmosphere and be effective for one thousand years, to the extent reasonably achievable, and, in any case, for at least 200 years.

#### 1.6 SUMMARY OF DESIGN STRATEGY AND BASIS OF DESIGN

This report was prepared to facilitate RA design in accordance with the performance standards. design criteria, and ARARs presented in the ROD (USEPA, 2013a) and Action Memo (USEPA, 2011). A summary of the components of the preferred alternative is provided in Section 1.2.1. The repository will be designed to accommodate. The repository will be designed with the flexibility to include the range of possible volumes of mine waste material incorporated in the repository. +/-20 percent volume contingency to minimize design changes during construction. The repository design will include a cover designed to minimize flux into the underlying mine waste materials, thus minimizing the potential for leaching of radionuclides. Surface water controls will be implemented to eliminate run-on and provide long-term stability of the RA. The repository base layer will consist of soil from the existing tailings cell cap (the admixture will be removed and reused elsewhere), which will be recompacted to provide a barrier between the repository and the underlying tailings. The repository will be designed to limit disturbance of existing tailings, use existing site drainage features as practicable, and be of minimal visual impact to the site and surroundings. Slopes will be designed using soil/rock admixtures on surfaces as necessary for erosion protection, and to be consistent with the existing tailings cover and site aesthetics. The design will incorporate elements to minimize environmental and public health impacts during and after construction, as well as the use of currently accepted environmental control measures and technologies.



The repository on top of the existing tailings is designed to:

- 1. Reduce the emission rate of radon-222 to the prescribed performance standard.
- 2. Eliminate the potential for ponding in localized areas where differential settlement has occurred, and enhance overall site drainage conditions.
- 3. Minimize meteoric water flux into the tailings.
- 4. Decrease the potential for burrowing activity and root intrusion into the underlying tailings.
- 5. Upgrade surface water controls

A summary of the basis of design for the RA is presented in Table 1-1. Table 1-1 includes individual design elements, performance criterion that guides each design element, site data currently available for RA design, and any additional data necessary to design the RA. Tables 1-2 and 1-3 are supplemental tables that list project documents and performance criteria references and guidance documents, respectively.

# 💮 MWH

## 2.0 PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN

## 2.1 SUMMARY OF DATA NEEDS EVALUATION

As part of the pre-design data needs evaluation, MWH identified the major RA design elements and reviewed available data. The existing data were evaluated for completeness with respect to the level of detailed information necessary to design each element of the RA, as listed in Table 1-1. Procedural design elements that will be developed during the RD process were identified as such, and are not characterized as pre-design data needs. Procedural elements will be developed during the RD, including items such as creating a water balance analysis for the evapotranspirative (ET) cover, and practices for demolishing and placing debris to minimize void space and settlement.

Pre-design data needs identified for waste repository design are presented below. FSPs to collect the necessary data are presented in Section 3.1.

## 2.2 IDENTIFICATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL DATA NEEDS

The pre-design data needs for waste repository design are listed below. Each is summarized briefly in the following paragraphs, including the rationale and objectives of the FSPs described in Section 3.1.

- Geotechnical evaluation of tailings and underlying geologic units
- Borrow material investigation
- Volume and characteristics of on-site erosion protection materials
- Investigation of natural analog(s) for long-term moisture conditions for cover soils
- Visual inspection and survey of branch swales and the north diversion channel
- Repository and borrow area revegetation study
- Cultural resources survey
- Biointrusion evaluation
- •\_\_\_\_Topographic survey of north and central cells and surrounding areas
- Inventory of the location, nature and quantity of surface debris

#### 2.2.1 Geotechnical Evaluation of Tailings Impoundment and Underlying Units

#### 2.2.1.1 Characterization of Existing Tailings Cover Material for Design of Repository Base Layer

Conceptual design of the repository includes removal of the gravel admixture layer on top of the cover, and recompaction of the underlying cover layer to provide a <u>low permeabilityphysical</u> <u>barrier-layer</u> at the base of the new repository. Geotechnical and hydraulic properties of the existing cover soil must be determined in order to develop a construction specification for cover recompaction to construct the repository base layer. Furthermore, proposed repository cover construction will use existing on-site materials for erosion protection, if possible. In order to determine the suitability of the existing gravel admixture layer materials for use in the proposed repository cover, the admixture layer will be sampled and characterized.

The existing tailings cover will be sampled to 1) evaluate the gradation and volume of the gravel admixture layer of the current cover, and 2) evaluate hydraulic conductivity properties of the existing cover material, recompacted to target densities. A FSP describing collection and testing of cover samples is described in Section 3.1.1.1.

# 💮 MWH®

#### 2.2.1.2 Geotechnical Characterization of Existing Tailings Impoundment

Requests have been made by NRC (2013) and DOE (2013) to collect site-specific tailings data to evaluate the potential impacts of construction of a waste repository over the existing tailings. The ROD (USEPA, 2013a) for the site states that the south cell shall not be used for the placement of additional materials. Therefore, the FSP for characterization of the tailings impoundment is focused on the North Cell and Central Cell, which contains former Borrow Pits No.1 and No. 2.

Results of the impoundment characterization investigation will be used to update technical analyses and models, and to address NRC and DOE review comments. The objectives of the tailings impoundment characterization are as follows:

- 1. Evaluate the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the existing cover at anticipated levels of compaction;
- 2. Characterize the stratigraphy of the tailings impoundment where mine spoil loading is anticipated;
- Characterize the subsurface material properties using <u>a combination of</u> in-situ test methods <u>cone penetration test (RCPTu CPT</u> testing), sample collection and laboratory testing;
- 4. Characterize the strength properties of the existing embankment materials for confirmation of stability of the proposed repository;
- 5. Address specific NRC and DOE comments (NRC, 2013 and DOE, 2013).

The sampling plan has been developed to meet the objectives described above while (1) preventing the release of tailings or mixing of tailings into cover materials during and after sampling and testing; (2) preventing cross-contamination contact of the alluvium and sandstone layers by water from within the impoundment (if encountered); and (3) minimizing exposure to radioactive materials during sampling and testing.

In order to develop a sampling plan to supplement existing site data, MWH reviewed available information regarding the site geology, geotechnical data, geochemical properties of the alluvium and Gallup sandstone, and existing hydraulic and pump test data for the units underlying the impoundment. MWH also reviewed and summarized the existing and relevant geotechnical data for the tailings impoundment. Multiple investigations and testing programs were conducted between 1974 and 1992 in and around the tailings impoundment, including the advancement of hundreds of soil borings and test pits. A summary of the site geotechnical data is included in Appendix A. Summaries of the site geology, geochemistry, and hydraulic properties were prepared by Chester Engineers and are included in Appendix B.

The available information on the geotechnical, geologic, and hydraulic material properties provide a significant understanding of the materials in the vicinity of and underlying the proposed repository and existing tailings impoundment. The FSP for the characterization of the tailings impoundment has been specifically designed to augment the existing dataset of geotechnical, geologic and hydraulic material properties of the tailings and underlying materials, in specific areas where additional information is necessary for design. The supplemental information that will be obtained during the tailings characterization investigation includes 1) the stratigraphy of the tailings and the former borrow pits, 2) index, hydraulic, and consolidation properties of the tailings and underlying units, and 3) geotechnical parameters to use in the stability analyses and final design of the proposed repository. The soil boring and CPT locations



were selected to augment existing data, fill data gaps, and to meet the objectives of the impoundment characterization described above. <u>If the schedule allows, The an</u> RCPTu apparatus will be equipped used to measure electrical resistivity and soil pore water pressures. In addition, shear wave velocities will be measured within select boreholes. The sampling locations have been selected to target the four areas estimated to be the thickest areas of the impoundment in or near, the footprints of the proposed repository. <u>The basis for selecting the proposed sampling locations and the information anticipated to be obtained from each sampling event is described below, and is summarized in Table 2-1.</u>

- <u>1.</u> Two CPT (<u>11 and 12</u>) and one borehole (<u>11</u>) are proposed in Borrow Pit No. 2. Borrow Pit No. 2 is an area of deep excavation and backfill will underlie all three proposed repository alternatives. The CPT and boreholes located in Borrow Pit No. 2 will be used to:
  - <u>Evaluate the backfill materials around and above the Mill</u> demolition debris <u>previously placed in Borrow Pit No. 2</u>,
  - <u>and to dD</u>etermine <u>ifthe presence</u>, thickness and extent of fine-grained tailings and/or perched water (if present).
  - •\_\_\_If exploration can extend below the debris, the borehole samples will be tested to
    - <u>1)</u> verify the index properties of the alluvium (if it <u>is encountered</u>) under the tailings<del>,</del>
    - <u>2)</u> verify the <u>location of the Zone 1</u> sandstone contact <u>with either tailings or</u> <u>alluvium, and/or</u>
    - <u>3)</u>-collect samples of the Zone 1 sandstone (if it is encountered) and test for hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity).
- 1.2. Four CPT (6, 8, 9, and 10) –and one-two boreholes (8 and 10) are proposed in the Borrow Pit No. 1. Borrow Pit No. 1 is an area with a deep and potentially thick finegrained tailings profile that will underlie all three proposed repository –alternatives. The CPT and boreholes located in and around Borrow Pit No. 1 will be used to:
  - <u>Confirm the depth of tailings and thickness of fine-grained tailings in the borrow</u> <u>pit and delineate the bottom of the pit.</u>
  - Evaluate the presence, thickness, and extent of -fine-grained tailings or perched water.
  - Evaluate the current condition of the tailings in Borrow Pit No. 1 in comparison with the condition of the tailings encountered in boring 660 in Borrow Pit No. 1 in 1985.
  - Test the tailings samples retrieved from the boreholes for index, consolidation, strength, and hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity and soil-water characteristic curve(SWCC)), if quality undisturbed samples are retrieved. If undisturbed samples of the tailings cannot be retrieved laboratory testing will be conducted on remolded samples. If enough material is not recovered for remolded samples, up to two additional borehole locations will be attempted, and the CPT data will be relied upon to supplement areas of poor recovery.
  - •\_\_Evaluate the thickness and confirm the properties of the alluvium under the tailings (if it is encountered).
  - <u>E</u>valuate the location of the contact between the alluvium and underlying Zone 3 sandstone (if it is encountered).
  - Evaluate the Zone 3 sandstone where it is in direct contact with tailings (if it is encountered).



- Collect and test samples of the alluvium to confirm index properties and evaluate hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity and SWCC) of the alluvial materials.
- Collect and test samples of the Zone 3 sandstone for hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity).
- 2. <u>One CPT (7)</u> and one borehole are is proposed in the Central Cell to evaluate the depth of tailings in areas where borings have not been previously drilled through the tailings, and where the thickness of tailings underlying the proposed repository is are -anticipated to be greatest influence the proposed repository. Thise locations will be used to:
- 3. <u>Eevaluate the presence, thickness, and extent of</u> fine-grained tailings <u>and/or perched</u> water-<u>(if present)are present</u>.
  - Test the tailings samples obtained from the borehole to evaluate index, consolidation, strength, and hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity and SWCC), if suitable samples are retrieved.
  - Evaluate the thickness of the alluvium and confirm the properties of the alluvium under the tailings, and to evaluate the location of the contact between the alluvium and underlying Zone 3 sandstone.
  - Confirm index properties of the alluvium and evaluate hydraulic properties
     (laboratory hydraulic conductivity and SWCC) of the alluvial materials.
  - Collect samples of the Zone 3 sandstone and test for hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity).

# 💮 MWH®

- 4. Three-Four CPT (1, 2, 4 and 5) and one-two boreholes (1 and 2) -are proposed in the tailings storage area in the North Cell (former North Pond); in areas where borings have not been previously drilled through the tailings, and where the thickness of tailings underlying the proposed repository is anticipated to be greatest. These locations will be used to:
  - Evaluate the presence, thickness and extent of fine-grained tailings or perched water.
  - Evaluate the current condition of the tailings in the North Cell in comparison with the condition of the tailings encountered in historic borings SHB79-14, SHB79-15, and SHB79-16 drilled in 1979 near the Northern Cross Dike.
  - Test the tailings samples obtained from the boreholes to evaluate index, consolidation, strength, and hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity and SWCC), if <u>quality\_undisturbed</u> samples are retrieved. If <u>undisturbed</u> samples of the tailings cannot be retrieved laboratory testing will be <u>conducted</u> on remolded samples. If enough material is not recovered for remolded samples, up to two additional borehole locations will be attempted, and the CPT data will be relied upon to supplement areas of poor recovery.
  - Evaluate the thickness of the alluvium <u>under the North Cell</u> and confirm the properties of the alluvium under the tailings.
  - Evaluate the location of the contact between the alluvium and underlying Zone 3 sandstone in the area of the North Cell.
  - Collect samples of the alluvium to test and confirm index properties and evaluate hydraulic properties (hydraulic conductivity and SWCC).
  - Collect samples of the Zone 3 sandstone to test for hydraulic properties (laboratory hydraulic conductivity).
- 5. To collect data for stability analyses and to confirm stability of the proposed repository, during design; <u>two\_one\_CPT\_(3)</u> and <u>two-one\_boreholes\_(3)</u> are proposed through the existing embankment to:
  - -Confirm the index properties and obtain strength parameters of the embankment materials and the underlying alluvium near the proposed repository.
  - Test the embankment materials for swell/collapse potential.

#### 2.2.2 Borrow Material Investigation

MWH identified a series of borings previously drilled in, or near, two of the proposed borrow areas (East and West). Relevant geotechnical information from these borrow area borings is summarized in Appendix A. A preliminary borrow investigation was conducted in 2008 to locate and characterize nearby materials potentially suitable for construction of the cover layer of the repository. The preliminary borrow investigation consisted of excavation and sampling of two borrow areas, as well as limited geotechnical testing to generally characterize the potential borrow materials. The preliminary borrow investigation is summarized in MWH (2012).

Results of the laboratory testing indicate the soils encountered in the borrow areas consisted of clay loam and sandy clay loam with values of saturated hydraulic conductivity ranging from  $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $4.1 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/s. A design-level borrow investigation is required to determine the volume, lateral and vertical heterogeneity, geotechnical, hydraulic, and agronomic properties of potential borrow area soils for construction of the repository cover. The characterization plan involves characterization of the following:

# 💮 MWH®

- 1) Two previously sampled borrow areas (East Borrow and West Borrow, previously referred to as Borrow Areas 1 and 2, respectively),
- 2) The Dilco Hill, and
- 3) Two alternative borrow areas (North and South Drainage Borrow Areas) which are available for use, if additional materials are required.

The FSP for the borrow investigation is included in Section 3.1.2. Supplemental borrow locations will be evaluated at a later date if additional materials are needed.

#### 2.2.3 Volume and Characteristics of On-site Erosion Protection Materials

Construction of the repository cover will use existing, on-site materials for erosion protection and bedding material as much as possible. Erosion protection materials will be required for the rock/soil admixture surface layer of the cover, as well as for the drainage channels. Two types of erosion protection materials are available on site for use in the proposed repository, the rock from the existing cover, and the 1.5-in and 3-in diameter rock stockpiled at the Mill Site that were previously used during construction of the existing cover. Confirmation of the volume gradation and durability of the stockpiled erosion protection materials <u>and the topsoil stockpile</u> will be conducted. The FSP for sampling and testing the existing bedding and erosion protection materials is described in Section 3.1.3.

#### 2.2.4 Investigation of Natural Analog for Long-term Moisture Conditions

The repository will be designed to be effective for one thousand years to the extent reasonably achievable, and, in any case, for at least 200 years, consistent with the requirements of NRC Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Appendix A to Part 40 (NRC 10 CFR 40 Appendix A). In order to be effective long-term, the cover should emulate long-term moisture characteristics of similar soils in the same climate. Therefore, identification and evaluation of a natural soil analog is planned to assess long-term conditions and develop a water balance for the ET cover analysis.

As part of the water balance evaluation for the proposed cover, a sensitivity analysis of key parameters is warranted. The cover material properties will be determined during the borrow investigation described in Section 3.1.2. Since constructed cover systems' soil parameters change toward a long-term natural state over time, and given the performance life of over 200 years, the critical cover soil parameters include the long-term saturated and unsaturated soil properties.

<u>An undisturbed area will be The likely cover soil borrow sources willselected for be used to</u> investigatinge these properties. <u>The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural</u> <u>Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) maps will be reviewed to identify undisturbed areas</u> <u>suitable for testing.</u> The final test location will meet the following criteria:

- Slope less than 5%
- Vegetation community similar to the projected long-term community
- Soil geotechnical and hydraulic properties similar to those of the constructed cover
- Soil texture similar to soil in the borrow sources for the proposed cover soil.



Soils will be tested at representative locations within two of the proposed borrow sources (e.g., the East Borrow and the South Drainage Borrow areas); the final locations will be selected in the field based on observed ground conditions. \_\_\_\_\_A tension infiltrometer or similar instrumentation will be used to measure the in-situ moisture retention properties of the soil\_in each proposed cover soil borrow source for use in the repository cover. Similarly, a Guelph permeameter or double ring infiltrometer will be used to measure the in-situ saturated hydraulic conductivity. These measurements will be made at the soil surface, as well as at depth. The approximate measurement depths will be (1) surface, (2) 1-ft depth, (2) 2-ft depth, and-(3) 3-ft depth, and (4) 4-ft depth. \_\_\_\_\_\_If the soil is cohesive enough to remain intact during transport, a block sample may also be collected at the test locations. This will be determined in the field.

The modeling to be performed to assess the water balance of the proposed cover system and determine its minimum thickness will utilize the saturated hydraulic conductivity and moisture retention data described above. This will be one set of data to be utilized to represent the long-term condition of the cover soil. Laboratory-determined data from remolded soil samples will also be used to represent as-constructed and near-term cover soil conditions.

Data obtained with the tension infiltrometer will be one set of data used in the cover water balance model. Laboratory testing data of remolded samples will also be used. This input data set for modeling will be supplemented with the tension infiltrometer data for both saturated hydraulic conductivity and moisture retention parameters.

#### 2.2.5 Visual Inspection and Survey of Branch Swales and North Upstream Diversion Channel

The proposed repository will likely be designed to tie into the existing branch swales on the tailings impoundment as well as the north upstream diversion channel. The NRC previously documented sedimentation in the branch swales and the north upstream diversion channel, as well as erosion of the berm adjacent to the diversion channel (NRC, 2003). This was evaluated and documented in MWH (2004). The ability of the swales and channel to convey the design storm event shall be verified prior to design. The as-built reports for the reclamation of the current tailings impoundment (Canonie Environmental, 1994, 1995; Smith Environmental Technologies, Corp., 1996a, 1996b, 1997) present detailed information regarding construction of the existing branch swales, including geotechnical properties of the materials used for construction and typical as-built construction details. The current condition of the branch swales that may be included in the repository design and the north upstream diversion channel will be evaluated. The evaluation will include surveying the current swale and channel geometry and cross-sections, qualitative evaluations of the physical condition of the materials used for construction of the swales and diversion channel, gualitative evaluation of the condition of the berm adjacent to the north upstream diversion channel, and evidence of siltation in the swales, as described in Section 3.1.5.

#### 2.2.6 Repository and Borrow Area Revegetation Study

The revegetation study will include both baseline evaluations of areas to be disturbed during construction, and analog surveys for post-construction revegetation. For purposes of clarity, the following definitions are provided.

 Baseline Evaluation - An evaluation performed to guantify vegetative resources prior to site disturbance. Typically, a baseline evaluation is performed to demonstrate that the



analog site chosen is representative of the baseline condition in areas that will be disturbed.

 Analog Site - An area in the immediate site vicinity which will not be subject to disturbance. The analog site will be available for comparison with disturbed areas, in order to evaluate revegetation success and to assess potential long-term revegetation of the repository.

Assessment of existing vegetation <u>will be conducted at areas deemed to be appropriate</u> <u>vegetation analog sites to support development on and in the vicinity of the borrow areas will be</u> <u>performed to developof</u> a revegetation plan that establishes long-term, self-sustaining species at the borrow areas and on the repository cover surface. A vegetation analog survey will be conducted to evaluate the local vegetation on undisturbed ground near the borrow areas and tailings impoundment. A baseline <del>vegetation</del> evaluation will be conducted <u>at the selected</u> <u>analog sites, at the borrow areas, and on the existing tailings impoundment cover to determine</u> 1) current floral and faunal conditions extant in the vicinity of the project area, 2) quality of habitat for indigenous wildlife, and 3) revegetation potential.

The baseline vegetation sampling procedures will include metrics for (1) ground cover (canopy cover), (2) species richness or diversity, (3) vegetative production (biomass) and (4) woody plant density. Information collected during the baseline evaluation of analog sites for the borrow areas and tailings impoundment will be used to develop future ecological scenarios for design. The various potential vegetative communities can be projected using local revegetation monitoring results from similar projects. Once potential plant communities are identified, the cover design can account for these ecological scenarios. Revegetation study results will be used to develop the revegetation design and to model the revegetation parameters in the water balance model.

A noxious weeds survey will also be conducted at each of the borrow areas and on the existing impoundment cover to evaluate the presence of invasive weeds that could contaminate the cover.

The vegetation analog sites will be selected in conjunction with the natural soil analog test locations during early October.

#### 2.2.7 Cultural Resources Survey

A cultural resources inventory will be performed in the borrow areas and areas at or adjacent to the Tailings Impoundment that may be disturbed during sampling and construction activities, in order to identify any significant archeological sites and determine requirements for working in close proximity to those sites. The FSP for the Cultural Resources Survey is presented in Section 3.1.7.

#### 2.2.8 Biointrusion Evaluation

A biointrusion evaluation will be conducted at the Mill Site to assess the potential for animal or plant intrusion into the tailings underlying the proposed repository. These evaluations will be

# 💮 MWH®

conducted within adjacent habitats and at analog sites near the existing tailings impoundment. The purpose of the biointrusion evaluations is discussed below.

#### 2.2.8.1 Animal Intrusion

The Environmental Report (UNC, 1975) presents the results of a visual survey and sampling of the small mammal population present on the mine and mill site. The survey results indicate the presence of some burrowing animals and prey species for larger burrowing predators in the general site vicinity. In order to aid in development of revegetation metrics and the cover design, as well as to determine the potential for animal intrusion into the tailings below the proposed repository, the presence and habitat of burrowing animals and predators in the vicinity of the Mill Site will be further evaluated. Animal biointrusion evaluation will determine the presence of burrowing animals and the potential for future colonization of the proposed repository, based on the local populations' existing habitat and the various scenarios for long-term vegetated cover and communities. The animal intrusion evaluation is described in Section 3.1.8.1.

#### 2.2.8.2 Plant Intrusion

Vegetative root density and depth will be characterized through field sampling and verified through literature research. Rooting depth and root biomass of plants which have the potential to inhabit the cap will be measured directly using proven sample collection and handling techniques, as described in Section 3.1.8.2.

#### 2.2.9 Topographic Survey of North and Central Cells and Surrounding Areas

A supplemental topographic survey will be conducted of the area around the proposed repository, in order to provide topographic elevation data with sufficient detail for design and construction. The survey will be conducted by either conventional or aerial survey methods, as described in Section 3.1.9. The survey will focus on key areas where detailed information is required for design; and to address concerns about the jetty, north upstream diversion channel, and adjacent berm described by NRC (2003). These areas include the surface and peripheral features of the north and central cells, pipeline arroyo (including the rock jetty area), diversion channels, and the berm adjacent to the north upstream diversion channel. The survey will extend from the North Drainage Borrow Area south to the West Borrow Area.

#### 2.2.10 Inventory of the Location, Nature and Quantity of Surface Debris

<u>Current conceptual plans include placing all the surficial debris at the Mill Site and NECR Mine</u> <u>Site into the waste repository</u>. As such, it is necessary to develop a complete inventory of the location, nature and quantity of these materials.

#### 2.3 SCHEDULE

MWH proposes to begin work <u>approximately one to three weeks after receiving agency approval</u> <u>of the sampling plansin fall 2013</u>, <u>assumingwhen</u> weather and site conditions are suitable. It is

# 💮 ММН\*

anticipated that the field work will take approximately four weeks to complete. Final geotechnical laboratory results will be received within approximately twelve weeks of sample submittal to the laboratory.

#### 2.4 **REPORTING**

**Technical calls** – GE/UNC and their consultants will hold at least two technical calls with the NRC, DOE, and USEPA prior to and during implementation of the field activities. Additional calls will be held as necessary. The Agencies will be contacted at least 14 days prior to commencing any field activities at the Mine Site associated with this work plan.

**Laboratory reports and electronic files** – Copies of the laboratory reports will be provided to the Agencies with the Final Report described below or sooner, if requested. The USEPA will also be provided with electronic copies of relevant tabular and spatial data as Excel<sup>®</sup> and ArcGIS<sup>®</sup> shape files. Maps will also be provided in PDF format.

**Pre-Design Studies Report** – a Pre-Design Studies (PDS) Report will be prepared to summarize the sampling activities and results. The PDS report will be submitted to the Agencies no later than 90 days after field work is completed and final copies of all validated laboratory data are received. The PDS report will include the following information:

- A summary of the investigations performed and results of the investigations
- A narrative interpretation of data and results
- Resultant design parameters and design criteria
- Conclusions and recommendations for the repository design
- A summary of validated laboratory test data
- Data validation reports and laboratory data reports
- Results of statistical and modeling analyses
- Copies of field notes and log books
- Photographs documenting the work conducted

# 💮 MWH®

## 3.0 FIELD SAMPLING PLANS

#### 3.1 SAMPLING RATIONALE AND OBJECTIVES

This section presents the FSPs to collect the pre-design data needs and satisfy the objectives identified in Section 2.2. The equipment, procedures and methods that will be used to collect the field data are included in Sections 3.1.1 through 3.1.8. The Health and Safety Plan (HASP) (MWHd, 2013 Vol. IV) covers the activities described below. Additionally, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) specific to this work are referenced below and included in Appendix C.

#### 3.1.1 Geotechnical Evaluation of Tailings Impoundment and Underlying Units

The impoundment evaluation will consist of backhoe excavation of the existing cover for bulk samples of the cover admixture and radon barrier layers, as well as both CPT and hollow-stem auger drilling within the impoundment and on the embankment. The CPT investigation will be conducted at select locations, following temporary removal of the cover layer, to confirm the existing stratigraphic data in the area of interest. Based on the CPT results and the conditions encountered during the CPT exploration, borehole locations will be selected to complement the CPT locations. The sampling locations will be located in the field using a GPS prior to beginning the field sampling.

Proposed sampling locations are shown on Figures 3-1 and 3-2. Figures 3-3 and 3-4 describe the existing subsurface conditions and geology. Table 3-1 provides a summary of the anticipated subsurface profile and exploration depth for the proposed CPT and borehole locations. The geotechnical sampling plan was developed to obtain the material properties outlined in Table 3-2, using the sample types proposed. The number and specific type of samples retrieved will depend on the conditions encountered. A decision tree outlining the proposed scenarios for sampling methods and locations based on conditions encountered during the CPT and drilling operations is included as Figure 3-5.

#### 3.1.1.1 Existing Tailings Cover

Samples of the cover materials will be submitted for laboratory testing to determine geotechnical, and hydraulic, and agronomic-properties, as specified in Table 3-3.

- 1. An excavator or hand shovels will be used to scrape a 5-ft by 5-ft area of admixture layer from the top surface of the tailings cover. The material will be stockpiled near the sampling location on plywood or similar material to separate the stockpiled material from the undisturbed cover surface.
- . 2. A bulk sample of the admixture layer material will be obtained and labeled in accordance with SOP-06.
- 3. The thickness of the soil cover layer varies from approximately 18 to 21 inches in the North and Central cells within the area anticipated to be overlain by the waste repository. A backhoe or a hand shovel will be used to collect samples within this zone of cover material. Bulk samples will be collected from a range of approximately 0 to 12 inches below the bottom of the admixture layer, in order to avoid exposing any of the underlying tailings. A bulk sample and a small bag sample of the cover material will be collected from each sample location. The bag sample will be double-bagged in resealable bags or containers to preserve in-situ moisture content.



- 4. Materials encountered during sampling will be logged in accordance with SOP-17. General field conditions will be logged and photographs will be taken in accordance with SOP-14. The sample handling and shipping will be performed as described in SOP-06.
- 5. If sampling is to be followed by drilling or a CPT investigation, those activities will commence as described in Sections 3.1.1.2 and 3.1.1.3. Following the cover sampling, drilling, or CPT investigation, the stockpiled cover materials will be replaced over the excavated area to match surrounding grades and cover profile, and compacted by wheel tracking with the backhoe.
- 6. The excavator, hand shovels, and any support vehicles will be decontaminated and surveyed prior to leaving the Mill Site. The decontamination procedures are described in SOP-31.
- 7. The cover sampling locations will be staked and surveyed in accordance with SOP-10.
- 3.1.1.2 CPT Soundings
  - Twelve CPT soundings will be conducted at selected locations within the North and Central Cells including three locations within the North Cell, seven within the Central Cell, and two through the embankment. Figures 3-1 and 3-2 show the proposed CPT locations. Three will be located in or near Borrow Pit No. 1, two within Borrow Pit No. 2, two through the impoundment, and the remaining five in tailings storage areas.
  - A truck-mounted CPT rig will be used to advance the CPT probe through the existing cover and into the tailings in accordance with SOP-01. The equipment will be capable of measuring cone resistance, sleeve friction, resistivity, shear wave velocities, and dynamic pore pressures. Equipment will include the CPT rig and support vehicles. General field conditions will be logged and photographs will be taken in accordance with SOP-14.
  - The CPT probe will be advanced into the subsurface vertically, at a <u>target</u> constant rate of <u>about 2 cm/sec</u>, to the target (maximum) probe depths shown on <u>Table 3-1 and</u> Figures 3-3 and 3-4. Cone resistance, sleeve friction, <u>electrical resistivity</u>, -and dynamic pore pressure measurements will be made at <u>8-inch maximum intervals</u> during continuously <u>during pushingsounding</u>.
  - 4. If saturated conditions are encountered, pore pressure dissipation tests will be performed to estimate water levels and pore pressure dissipation rates at select depths, during soundings. The decision tree included in Figure 3-5 provides additional information for variable conditions encountered during the CPT investigation. <u>SOP-01</u> includes information on pore pressure dissipation tests.
  - 5. The CPT probe will be advanced to refusal, which is expected to occur in the upper 5 feet of the alluvium or sandstone underlying the tailings.
  - 5.6. If obstructions are encountered, the CPT support truck will be equipped to conduct shallow "drill outs". Alternatively the CPT rig can push rods, without the probe in-place, in an effort to extend beyond the obstruction. The CPT equipment will not include a hammer.
  - 6.7. Much of the hole created during CPT soundings is expected to cave after retraction of the probe. Upon completion of the CPT soundings, any remaining open



hole will be backfilled to the top of the radon barrier using bentonite grout placed from the ground surface.

- 7.8. Following the CPT investigation, the stockpiled cover admixture materials will be replaced over the excavated area to match surrounding grades and cover profile, and compacted by wheel tracking with the backhoe.
- 8.9. The excavator, CPT rig, hand shovels and any support vehicles or equipment will be decontaminated and surveyed prior to leaving the tailings impoundment. The decontamination procedures are described in SOP-31.
- 9.10. \_\_\_\_ The CPT locations will be staked and surveyed in accordance with SOP-10.
- 3.1.1.3 Hollow-stem Auger Drilling and Sampling
  - A minimum of six boreholes will be drilled at locations within the North and Central Cells, as shown on Figures 3-1 and 3-2. Each borehole will be located adjacent to (within 3 feet of) one of the completed CPT locations, in order to correlate the CPT data to the borehole samples. One borehole each will be drilled in Borrow Pit No. 1, Borrow Pit No. 2, the North Cell, and the Central Cell. Two boreholes will be drilled through the western embankment.
  - 2. An excavator or hand shovels will be used scrape a 5-ft by 5-ft area of admixture layer from the top surface of the tailings cover. The material will be stockpiled near the sampling location on plywood or similar material to separate the stockpiled material from the undisturbed cover surface.
  - 3. A truck-mounted drill rig equipped with hollow-stem augers and continuous sampling equipment (and/or sonic drilling capability) will be used to collect the samples. The auger drilling and sampling will take place in general accordance with SOP-01. The continuous samples will be collected in five-foot intervals for the full depth of each borehole. Acrylic liners (two acrylic liners, each 30 inches long) will be used inserted inside the continuous samples to collect smaller-diameter samples of the tailings within the continuous core sample barrel. Between each five-foot core sample interval, modified standard penetration tests (SPT) will be conducted using a 2.5-inch outside diameter California-type sampler, driven into the soil with blows of a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches, in accordance with SOP-07. Shelby tubes may be substituted for continuous core samples for additional sample collection, as needed to obtain relatively undisturbed samples. If undisturbed samples cannot be obtained, disturbed samples will collected and used for laboratory testing. If sample recovery is insufficient, field observations and data from nearby CPT soundings will be used to estimate material properties.
  - 4. The boreholes will be advanced to the approximate depths shown on <u>Table 3-1 and</u> Figures 3-3 and <u>3-4</u>.
  - 5. If the CPT indicates that water will be encountered while drilling, separate augers and sampling equipment will be used within the impoundment and within the underlying materials to minimize cross-contamination of the underlying materials. Per the decision tree presented in Figure 3-5, if perched water is encountered within the tailings, a temporary casing will be set to the bottom of the tailings and grouted in place, and clean

equipment will be used to sample the underlying materials. If perched water is not encountered, drilling and sampling will proceed with one set of augers.

- 6. An MWH engineer or geologist will log the boreholes in the field and record the pertinent field test data in accordance with SOP-17. General field conditions will be logged and photographs will be taken in accordance with SOP-14.
- 7. Samples selected for testing will be sealed for transport to the laboratory in accordance with SOP-06. All samples will be scanned for alpha radiation (in total counts per minute) prior to being removed from the Mill Site. A preliminary sampling and testing plan is shown in Tables <u>35-1</u> and <u>35-2</u>. <u>A temporary geotechnical testing laboratory will be established at the site to perform some, or all, of the geotechnical and hydraulic laboratory tests.</u><u>The remaining samples, not selected for testing, will remain at the Mill Site.</u> After the laboratory testing is complete <u>any remaining soil material</u>, the samples will will be returned to the Mill Site for stored in sealed containers incorporation back into the tailings impoundment. An alternative being explored is to conduct the geotechnical testing onsite and not take any samples offsite.
- 8. Upon completion of the holes, the augers will be removed and the holes will be sealed with bentonite grout. The bentonite grout will extend up through the radon barrier layer of the cover. The stockpiled cover materials will be replaced over the grouted hole and excavated area to match surrounding grades and cover profile, and compacted by wheel tracking with the backhoe.
- 9. The excavator, drill rig, hand shovels, and any support vehicles or equipment will be decontaminated and surveyed prior to leaving the Mill Site. The decontamination procedures are described in SOP-31.
- 10. The completed borehole locations will be staked and surveyed in accordance with SOP-10.

3.1.1.4 Geotechnical Laboratory Testing

**MWH**<sub>®</sub>

The geotechnical laboratory testing program in Table 3-3 has been developed to provide sufficient numbers of test results based on the sampling plan and parameters identified in Table 3-2. Laboratory testing will be conducted according to applicable American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards. This laboratory testing program will be modified based on a review of the actual samples collected during the investigation. After completion of the geotechnical laboratory testing, the samples will be returned to the Mill Site for disposal, if required.

#### 3.1.2 Borrow Material Investigation

The borrow material investigation will consist of auger drilling in the potential borrow areas. The locations of the boreholes in the North and South Drainage Borrow Areas are shown on Figure 3-6. The locations of boreholes in the East Borrow and West Borrow Areas are shown on Figure 3-7. The approximate locations of boreholes in the Dilco Hill Borrow Area are shown on Figure 3-8. The proposed geotechnical, hydraulic, and agronomic testing is specified in Table 3-4.



Procedures for drilling, sampling, and reclaiming each borrow sampling location, and preparing and submitting samples for laboratory testing are:

- 1. A borehole will be drilled with hollow-stem auger drilling methods in accordance with SOP-01.
- 2. The borings will be drilled to the following depths, or to practical auger refusal:
  - Approximate depth of boreholes in East Borrow: 20 ft
  - Approximate depth of boreholes in West Borrow Area: 20 ft
  - Approximate depth of boreholes in Dilco Hill Borrow Area: 60 ft
  - Approximate depth of boreholes in the North Drainage Borrow Area: 30 ft
  - Approximate depth of boreholes in the South Drainage Borrow Area: 30 ft
- 3. Materials encountered during drilling will be logged in accordance with SOP-17. General field conditions will be logged and photographs will be taken in accordance with SOP-14.
- 4. Sampling will be performed with a continuous (dry-core) sampler or (as a secondary sampling method) with a 2.5-inch outside diameter California-type sampler, driven into the soil with blows of a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches, in accordance with SOP-07.
- 5. Two bulk composite samples will be collected from each borehole, each representing a thoroughly mixed selection of all the materials encountered in the borehole. The samples will be labeled as described in MWH SOP-06.
- 6. After sampling is complete, the drill cuttings will be placed back into the borehole and compacted with drilling equipment. If additional backfill material is necessary, clean fill soils will be used to backfill the remainder of the borehole.
- 7. Borehole locations will be staked and surveyed in accordance with SOP-10.

Borrow soils will be tested to determine compaction characteristics which will provide a density versus moisture content relationship for the soil. Specimens will then be remolded to match the appropriate target density and moisture content of the ET cover soils, to determine moisture retention properties. This target density will be representative of the typical in-situ density and porosity of that soil in a natural undisturbed setting. This density will also be the target density for the placement of the cover, as the design intent of the ET cover is to mimic natural conditions. The moisture retention tests will utilize pressure plates and hanging columns to measure the relationship of matric potential (suction) versus moisture content for each given soil. The measured moisture characteristic curves will yield the saturated moisture content, residual moisture content, and field capacity of the given soil.

Additional laboratory testing will include saturated hydraulic conductivity, geotechnical index testing, and agronomic testing. The complete list of soil tests on the borrow material is provided in Table 3-4.

#### 3.1.3 Volume and Characteristics of On-site Erosion Protection Material

Sampling of the gravel admixture layer of the existing cover is described in the geotechnical evaluation of the tailings impoundment and underlying units in Section 3.1.1. Additionally, a bulk sample will be taken of each type of bedding material as well as the 1.5-inch rock, the and 3-inch rock, and the topsoil stockpiled at the Mill Site. One composite bulk sample is expected to be collected from three to five stockpiles of erosion protection material. Two bulk samples



<u>will be collected from the topsoil stockpile.</u> The samples will be labeled in accordance with SOP-07, and submitted to the laboratory for <del>particle size analysis and durability testinggeotechnical and agronomic edaphic testing</del>, as shown in Table 3-5. —Estimates of stockpiled material volume will be made during sampling by measuring stockpile dimensions or surveying (in conjunction with Section 3.1.9).

#### 3.1.4 Natural Analog for Long-Term Moisture Conditions

After the soil analog test location is selected, the following procedure will be used to measure hydraulic conditions of the proposed borrow soil material(s).

- 1. The surface of the area to be tested will be prepared by clearing the site (no surface vegetation, protruding rock, or debris) in an approximate 2-ft diameter area. The site will be prepared to be smooth and flat without disturbing the remaining soil.
- 2. Multiple tension infiltrometer measurements will be made of at each site selected for testing. The measurements at each site will consist of four adjacent 2-ft diameter locations. areas, at and, below the ground surface. This will prevent moisture from previous measurement(s) from affecting outcome of subsequent measurement(s). The tentative depths of measurement respectively are: (1) cleared ground surface, (2), 1-ft below surface, (2) 2-ft below surface, and (3) 3-ft below surface, and (4) 4-ft below surface. At each sampling depth, the surface will be prepared per step 1. The depth of the investigation will extend approximately one foot below the excavated depth, for a total depth of investigation of 5-feet.
- 3. Soil disturbances will be repaired after the tension infiltrometer measurements are complete.
- 4. A bulk sample will be obtained at each site for testing of agronomic properties. If the soils at the analog sites are different from those included in the Borrow Investigation, the soil samples collected at the natural analog sites will also be tested for geotechnical and hydraulic properties.
- 4.5. The areas tested will be staked and surveyed in accordance with SOP-10.
- 5.6. General field conditions will be logged and photographs will be taken as described in SOP-14.
- 3.1.5 Visual Inspection and Survey of Branch Swales and North Upstream Diversion Channel

The <u>following</u> branch swales <u>and channel</u> will be qualitatively evaluated, with specific attention to the physical condition of the drainage rock<u>or channel bottom</u>, and the amount of silt present <u>in each swale</u>:-

- Western portion of Branch Swale A
- Western portion of Branch Swale B
- Western portion of Branch Swale C
- Western and northern portions of Branch Swale D
- Western portion of Branch Swale H



- Branch Swale E
- North upstream diversion channel

Cross sections will be surveyed across each branch swale <u>and channel</u> in two to four locations, depending on the length of each-swale to be evaluated. The cross sections will be surveyed in general accordance with SOP-10. Thicknesses of accumulated sediment in the swales <u>or channel</u> (if present) will be measured along each cross section. <u>A qualitative evaluation of the condition of the berm adjacent to the north upstream diversion channel will also be performed.</u> In addition to <u>condition descriptions of the physical condition of each area evaluated</u>, general field conditions will be logged and photographed, in accordance with SOP-14.

#### 3.1.6 **Repository and Borrow Area** Revegetation Study

The procedures used to perform a baseline floral and faunal survey at the chosen analog site(s) and on the existing impoundment cover are presented below. In addition to the procedures described below, a bulk soil sample will be collected at or near each revegetation analog site for testing of agronomic properties. The soils at the revegetation analog sites will be tested for the same agronomic properties as those listed in Table 3-4. If the revegetation analog site(s) are the same as the soil moisture analog site(s) agronomic properties will be tested once per site.

#### 3.1.6.1 Floral Study

Baseline floral surveys will be conducted to <u>at</u> a minimum of 15 sample sites in each distinct evaluation area <u>(vegetation community)</u>. The evaluation areas will be selected by the vegetation specialist, Cedar Creek Associates, as representative analogs for revegetation of the repository cover and other disturbed areas. Vegetation sampling protocols involve an emphasis upon ground cover to facilitate repeatable statistical comparisons among evaluation areas. In addition to ground cover evaluations, woody plant density and current annual vegetative production will be evaluated to facilitate a broader analysis. Pedestrian transects will also be implemented to detect occurrences of threatened, endangered, and rare plant species and/or listed noxious weeds. Methodologies for the sampling metrics are described below. In addition to the activities described below, general field conditions will be logged and photographs taken in accordance with SOP-14.

#### 3.1.6.1.1 Determination of Ground Cover

Ground cover at each sampling site will be determined using the point-intercept methodology illustrated on Figure 3-9. Ground cover data will also be used to facilitate development of Leaf Area Index values for each suitable analog site which represent a potential ecological scenario for the repository cover. Implementation of ground cover monitoring will be performed as follows:

- 1. A transect of 10 meters length will be established from the starting point of each sample site and aligned toward the direction of the next site to be sampled.
- 2. At each one-meter interval along the transect, a "laser point bar" will be situated vertically above the ground surface, and a set of 10 readings will be recorded as registering counts of vegetation (by species), litter, rock (>2mm), or bare soil.



- 3. Counts are determined at each meter interval by activating a battery of 10 specialized lasers situated along the bar at 10 centimeter intervals and recording the variable intercepted by each of the narrow (0.02") focused beams (see Figure 3-9).
- 4. A total of 100 intercepts per transect are recorded resulting in 1 percent cover per intercept.

#### 3.1.6.1.2 Determination of Woody Plant Density

Woody plant density at each sampling site will be determined using fixed length/width belt transects oriented parallel to, and co-located with, each ground cover transect. Each belt will be 2 meters in width and extended from the beginning of the sample point for a distance of 50 meters (see Figure 3-9). All shrubs, succulents, and trees rooted within the boundaries of these belts will be counted and classified according to species (sub-shrubs are not counted). Entire plants rather than stems are counted to provide a more accurate representation of actual woody plant density.

#### 3.1.6.1.3 Determination of Current Annual Production

At each sample site, current annual production will be collected from a  $1/2 \text{ m}^2$  quadrat frame flipped once (end to end, as shown on Figure 3-9) to facilitate less variable data, therefore sampling a total of 1 m<sup>2</sup> at each sampling location. <u>Sampling will be performed in the fall, when the species are present.</u>

- 1. The quadrat will be initially placed one meter and 90 degrees to the right (clockwise) of the ground cover transect to avoid vegetation trampled by investigators during sample site location (see Figure 3-9).
- 2. From within each quadrat, all above-ground current annual vegetation within the vertical boundaries of the frame will be clipped and bagged separately by life form:

Native Perennial Cool Season Grass	Native Perennial Forb
Native Perennial Warm Season Grass	Annual / Biennial Forb
Introduced Perennial Grass	Introduced Perennial Forb
Annual Grass	Noxious Weed
Sub-Shrub	Shrub

3. All production samples will be returned to the lab for drying and weighing. Drying will occur at 105° C until a stable weight is achieved (24 hours). Sample weight will then be recorded to the nearest 0.1 gram.

#### 3.1.6.1.4 Threatened, Endangered, and Rare Plant Surveys

A list of threatened, endangered, and rare plant species known to occur within McKinley County, and the ecotypes occupying the project area, will be developed from several sources (including New Mexico Natural Heritage Program and New Mexico Rare Plant Website) before baseline evaluation. Prior to field work, taxonomic descriptions and botanical drawings of these target species will be compiled into a field guide. Fieldwork will involve search patterns in all portions of appropriate habitat within the project area. Search procedures involve slow implementation of qualitative pedestrian transects and visual scanning of the ground surface for target plant



species. The compiled field guide will be used to determine whether encountered plants are species of concern.

#### 3.1.6.1.5 Noxious Weed Surveys

A noxious weed list from McKinley County will be obtained prior to baseline evaluations. Field work will involve search patterns in all portions <u>of</u> the project area including potential sources of weed seed in the general vicinity. Search procedures involve slow implementation of qualitative pedestrian transects and visual scanning of the ground surface for any of the target plant species.

#### 3.1.6.2 Faunal Study

Wildlife surveys in the baseline evaluation areas <u>selected by Cedar Creek Associates will be</u> <u>conducted in accordance with SOP-40 and</u> will be limited to the qualitative techniques of direct observation, observation of signs of wildlife, and/or evaluation of habitat owing to the modest size of disturbance footprints and the potential complication of livestock grazing. In this regard, these observations will be made while personnel are on site for vegetation investigations. All observations of wildlife, either directly or by sign, will be recorded in a manner to facilitate an indication of abundance and/or use of project area habitats.

In addition to site-specific "incidental" observations during vegetation evaluations, several pedestrian observation transects will be extended radially from the project area approximately one-quarter to one-half mile to provide a better indication of each of the following: 1) wildlife use of the overall vicinity and habitats, 2) any remaining mine-related impacts, and 3) any continuing hazards to wildlife. These transects (minimum of 4) will only be implemented during the early morning (preferred) or late evening hours to maximize opportunity for observing indigenous wildlife. A GPS will be used for spatial orientation and to facilitate documentation. Furthermore, project area habitats will be evaluated with regard to their capability to provide life requisites for anticipated indigenous wildlife, including sensitive or special status species.

#### 3.1.7 Cultural Resources Survey

The cultural resource inventory of will be performed at the each of the borrow areas shown on Figure 3-6, including a 50-ft buffer around each area. The inventoryareas that will potentially be disturbed by sampling and construction activities will begin with a records search of the Archeological Records Management Section (ARMS) database, the Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Division (NNHPD) (depending on property ownership), the National Register of Historic Places, and the State Register of Cultural Properties prior to any fieldwork. Cultural resources surveys will be performed in coordination with the Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Division and/or the New Mexico State Historic Preservation Division (NMHPD). Fieldwork will consist of a pedestrian survey by a crew made up of a supervisory archeologist permitted by NMHPD and/or NNHPD, and at least one other archeological technician, walking 15-meter wide non-overlapping, parallel transects across the area of potential effect, constituting 100 percent coverage of the areas. The areas that will be surveyed include all borrow areas identified in Figure 3-6, as well as the general area between the borrow areas and the tailings impoundment. All cultural sites (prehistoric or historic and newly discovered or previously-recorded) will be recorded in their entirety, using conventional survey methods described in SOP-10. A scaled site map will be created showing features, artifact concentrations, diagnostic artifacts, and topographic features. In-field artifact analysis will be



conducted and photographs will be taken. Depending on site ownership, a Laboratory of Anthropology Site Form will be completed for the sites if necessary. The appropriate technical report will meet the standards of the given land managing agency.

A cultural resources inventory of a larger area associated with the proposed action is being considered as part of the License Amendment requirements.

#### 3.1.8 Biointrusion Evaluation

#### 3.1.8.1 Animal Intrusion

<u>A Biointrusion evaluation will be performed at locations selected to be representative of the repository cover community by the faunal specialist (Cedar Creek Associates).</u> The procedure used to evaluate the presence or potential future presence of burrowing animals and their burrowing predators is:

- 1. A literature review of normal ranges of burrowing animals identified from the area will be conducted. The literature review will also provide information on the type of habitat where these animals are typically found.
- 2. Trapping (using Sherman live traps) of all unique habitats within a 200-meter perimeter analog site near the tailings impoundment will be conducted. Trapping will involve at least 200 trap-nights of effort (50 traps for 4 nights or 100 traps for 2 nights, or similar) and will be conducted according to SOP-40. Several pedestrian observational transects of animals, signs of animal presence, and presence of burrowing animals' habitats will also be conducted within the analog site. General field conditions will be logged and photographs will be taken in accordance with SOP-14.
- 3. The literature review, in combination with the results of the trapping program and observational survey, will provide information on the presence or potential future presence of burrowing animal populations and their burrowing predators in the vicinity of the Mill Site.

#### 3.1.8.2 Plant Intrusion

Several soil excavations will be performed at the analog site(s) to define root density and depth for a variety of potential ecological scenarios. Root density and depth will be determined using the profile wall method, whereby the roots are counted on a freshly excavated soil profile. The profile wall method consists of the following steps:

- 1. At least two trenches will be excavated in each suitable analog site (measuring approximately 10 acres), representing a potential ecological scenario for the proposed repository cover. Test trenches will be excavated in accordance with SOP-08.
- 2. The vertical pit wall will be gently cleaned with a soft brush to expose the roots to a depth of approximately 1 to 1.5 m.
- 3. A 1 m<sup>2</sup> wire frame, divided into a 10 cm<sup>2</sup> grid will be attached to the pit face and the roots within each grid cell will be counted and mapped on field sheets.
- 4. Roots will also be described and classified by size and plant type (grass versus shrub, etc.).



5. General field conditions will be logged and photographs will be taken in accordance with MWH SOP-14.

#### 3.1.9 Topographic Survey of North and Central Cells and Surrounding Areas

A topographic survey will be performed for the surface and peripheral features of the north and central cells, pipeline arroyo (including the rock jetty area), diversion channels, and the berm adjacent to the north upstream diversion channel. <u>The survey will generally extend from the North Drainage Borrow Area south to the West Borrow Area.</u> Surveying will be performed by a Professional Licensed Surveyor using aerial <u>survey with ground control</u> or conventional survey methods. The topographic survey will require a defined accuracy consistent with drafted standards of the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (1990). The topographic survey will provide site topography sufficient to generate 1-ft contours of the proposed repository area.

#### 3.1.10 Inventory of the Location, Nature and Quantity of Surface Debris

An inventory of known surface debris and solid waste present over the full extent of the Mill Site, including the office area, will be performed. The location and extent of any areas of surface debris will be surveyed with a hand-held GPS. The type of debris will be described, and the volume will be estimated. This information will be used to compile the nature and total volume of the various debris types that will be placed in the waste repository.

#### 3.2 DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES

All sampling equipment will be cleaned and decontaminated prior to use at each location and prior to leaving the site. The decontamination procedures are described in SOP-31. Rinse water will be handled as Investigation Derived Waste (IDW).

#### 3.3 DISPOSAL OF INVESTIGATION DERIVED WASTE

Generation of IDW such as equipment decontamination wastewater, rinsate, soil cuttings, sample containers, and personal protective equipment (PPE) will be minimal. Cuttings from drilling within the tailings impoundment shall be placed in drums and stored at the mill site. back into the boreholes, as described in the Field Sampling Plans in Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2. Decontamination wastewater, rinsate, sample containers, and PPE will be characterized, as necessary, and disposed of in accordance with State and Federal Regulations, as applicable.

Liquid IDW will be discharged into the evaporation ponds located in the South Cell where it will be allowed to evaporate.

#### 3.4 FIELD DOCUMENTATION

On-site field personnel will document field and sampling activities in accordance with SOP-14 and other applicable SOPs.

The sampling and site observation locations described above will be surveyed with a hand-held GPS accurate to within three feet (horizontal). After drilling and/or sampling, the precise locations will be surveyed using conventional survey methods in accordance with SOP-10.

# 

#### 3.5 SAMPLE LABELING AND DESIGNATION

The samples will be labeled for proper identification in the field and for tracking in the laboratory in accordance with SOP-06 and SOP-14. The samples will have identifiable and unique numbers. Samples IDs will consist of two parts. Part 1 will be designated as the sampling area (East Borrow (EB), West Borrow (WB), Tailings Impoundment (TI), etc.) and part 2 will be the sample location identification and the depth of sample (bulk, core, etc.).

As an example, sample designation East Borrow BH-1 9' will be a sample obtained from 9 feet below ground surface at borehole 1 in the East Borrow.

#### 3.6 SAMPLE HANDLING, SHIPMENT AND ANALYSIS

The samples collected will be stored in accordance with SOP-06, SOP-07 and other applicable SOPs. Samples designated for off-site laboratory analysis will be packaged and shipped in accordance with applicable U.S. Department of Transportation regulations. (Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations, Subchapter C - Hazardous Material Characterization).

Due to the radiological nature of some site materials, samples will undergo a preliminary screening in the field before they are shipped off-site for analysis, in accordance with the procedures described in SOP-06. The samples will be screened for exposure rates and then packaged and labeled in accordance with 49 CFR Part 172. The tailings samples will be classified as a "limited quantity" and can be shipped or transported in "excepted packaging" per 49 CFR Part 172. The samples will either be: 1) shipped by a commercial freight carrier, 2) driven from the site to the laboratory in a rented vehicle, or 3) will remain on site to be tested in a mobile laboratory established at the Mill Site office. The <u>offsite</u> testing laboratory will have a license to test radioactive materials, if it will be testing radioactive materials offsite.

The samples will be tested for the properties presented in the Field Sampling Plans in Section 3.1. Testing will be in accordance with industry standards, such as ASTM or other applicable industry standards.



## 4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

Geotechnical and hydraulic laboratory testing will be conducted in general-accordance with ASTM or other applicable laboratory testing standards. ASTM standards specify how to mix and split samples to obtain representative samples of the material, the methods and frequency for calibration of testing equipment, and the significant figures to be used when recording test results. Applicable SOPs for the sampling and handling activities are provided in Appendix C.
 <u>The Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) for this work are described in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) in Volume III (MWH, 2013c, Volume III).</u>



## 5.0 **REFERENCES**

- Agronomy Society of America, 1965. <u>Methods of Soil Analysis.</u> Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. C.A. Black (ed.) Agronomy 9, Madison, WI.
- Agronomy Society of America, 1982. <u>Methods of Soil Analysis.</u> Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties, A.L. Page (ed.) 2nd Ed. Agronomy 9, Madison, WI.
- American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 1990. Accuracy Standards for Large-Scale Maps. March.
- Canonie Environmental, 1994. As-Built Report, North Cell Final Reclamation, Church Rock Site, Gallup, New Mexico. November.
- Canonie Environmental, 1995. As-Built Report, Central Cell Final Reclamation, *Church Rock Site, Gallup, New Mexico*. June.
- Dwyer, S.F., R. Rager, and J. Hopkins, 2006. *Cover System Design Guidance and Requirements Document*. Los Alamos National Laboratory report, LA-UR-06-4715, June 2006, EP2006-0667, Los Alamos, NM.
- Dwyer, S., 2012. Closing Small Tribal Landfills and Open Dumps How to Design Environmentally Safe Covers Including Additional Design Guidance for Arid Regions. USEPA Design Guidance. USEPA-909-R-11-007.
- Ludwick, A.E. and J.O. Reuss, 1974. *Guide to Fertilizer Recommendations in Colorado*. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- <u>MWH Americas, Inc. (2004).</u> Erosion and Sedimentation Evaluation at the United Nuclear Corporation Church Rock Uranium Mill Tailing Site. October.
- MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH), 2006. Final Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site. August 30.
- MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH), 2007a. *Removal Site Evaluation Report*, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site, October.
- MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH), 2012. *Memorandum re: Potential Borrow Areas and Borrow Characterization Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mill Site.* February 17.
- MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH), 2013a. Pre-Design Studies Sampling and Analysis Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action. Volume I: Pre-Design Studies Work Plan, Church Rock Mill Site. August 16.
- MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH), 2013b. Pre-Design Studies Sampling and Analysis Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action. Volume II: Pre-Design Studies Work Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site. August 16.
- MWH Americas Inc. (MWH), 2013c. Pre-Design Studies Sampling and Analysis Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action. Volume III: Quality Assurance Project Plan. August 2013.


- MWH Americas Inc. (MWH), 2013d. Pre-Design Studies Sampling and Analysis Plan, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action. Volume IV: Pre-Design Studies Health and Safety Plan. August 2013.
- Olsen, S.R., C.V. Cole, F.S. Watanabe, and L.A. Dean, 1954. *Estimation of Available Phosphorus in Soils by Extraction With Sodium Bicarbonate*, USDA Circular No. 939, U.S. Gov. Print. Office, Washington, D.C.
- Smith Environmental Technologies Corp., 1996a. As-Built Report, South Cell Final Reclamation, Church Rock Site, Gallup, New Mexico. April.
- Smith Environmental Technologies Corp., 1996b. As-Built Report, South Cell Final Reclamation, Church Rock Site, Gallup, New Mexico. April.
- Smith Environmental Technologies Corp., 1997. As-Built Report, 1996 Final Reclamation Construction, Church Rock Site, Gallup, New Mexico. March.
- United Nuclear Corporation (UNC), 1975. Applicant's Environmental Report on the Church Rock, New Mexico Uranium Mill and Mine.
- United States Department of Energy (DOE), 2013<u>a</u>. Comments on MWH's Supplemental Data Needs Evaluation and Work Plans for Removal Design, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action (November 9, 2012). May 17.

<u>United States Department of Energy (DOE), 2013b. DRAFT DOE Comments on Northeast</u> <u>Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action Pre-Design Studies.</u> September 6.

- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 6, 1988. Record of Decision, United Nuclear Corporation, Groundwater Operable Unit. McKinley County, New Mexico. September 30.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2000. *Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual.* Revision 1.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 9, 2009. Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis, Northeast Church Rock (NECR) Mine Site, Gallup, New Mexico. May 30.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2011. Action Memorandum: Request for a Non-Time-Critical Removal Action at the Northeast Church Rock Mine Site, McKinley County, New Mexico, Pinedale Chapter of the Navajo Nation. September 29.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 9, 2012. United Nuclear Corporation Superfund Site Surface Soil Operable Unit Proposed Plan, Gallup, New Mexico. July 20.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 6, 2013<u>a</u>. Record of Decision, United Nuclear Corporation Site, McKinley County, New Mexico. March 29.



United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 6 and 9. 2013b. Comments on the Supplemental Data Needs Evaluation and Work Plans for Removal Design, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action, Dated August 16, 2013. September 3.

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 2002. Design of Erosion Protection for Long-Term Stabilization, NUREG 1623. September.

- United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 2003. Letter to General Electric Company Re: Erosion Protection Design Concerns Identified at Recent Site Visit (TAC NO. L52459). January 7.
- United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 2013<u>a</u>. Review of United Nuclear Corporation/General Electric Documents Submitted in November and December 2012 related to the UNC Church Rock – Northeast Church Rock Non-time Critical Removal Action. May 16.
- <u>United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 2013b.</u> Comments on the report entitled <u>"Volume 1 Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action – Pre-Design Studies</u> <u>Work Plan Church Rock Mill Site" dated August 16, 2013.</u> September 6.









REV	DESCRIPTION	TECH	ENG	DATE	CLIENT REFERENCE NO					1011273 SLN
1	MILL SITE PDS REPORT	CF	JC	08/16/13	CLIENT APPROVAL				SITE LOCATION MAP	FILE NAME
					PROJECT MANAGER	T LEESON	10/24/12	TITLE		FIGURE 1-1
					APPROVED BY	E DORNFEST	10/24/12		· · · ·	
					CHECKED BY	C STRACHAN	10/24/12	1.2	PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN	IAI AA
	alle server and an an alle and the second				DRAWN BY	K TULLAR	10/24/12	PROJECT	NORTHEAST CHORCH ROCK MINE	
					DESIGNED BY	J CUMBERS	10/24/12	PROJECT LO		



7040	EXISTING GROUND SURFACE CONTOUR & ELEVATION, FEET
	APPROXIMATE IMPOUNDMENT AREA
-	ROADS
	NATURAL DRAINAGE
	MILL SITE PROPERTY BOUNDARY
	CHANNEL
	BRANCH SWALE
UNEN	RIPRAP AREA
<del>da an</del> r	SECTION LINE
2	SECTION NUMBER
2	PHYSICAL STRUCTURE

#### NOTE:

1. RECLAMATION CELL BOUNDARIES FOR THE EXISTING COVER (SHOWN) DO NOT MATCH FORMER OPERATIONAL CELL BOUNDARIES.

PROJECT LOCATION NORTHEAST CHURCH ROCK MINE PROJECT PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN

CHURCH ROCK MILL SITE LAYOUT

(III) MWH

1012217 CRMSL

FIGURE FIGURE 1-2 REVISION





m-Drafting/Climita-Q-2/UNC/1012376 CHURCH ROCK/013-Sheet Set/2013-09-25 GEO SAMP PLAN



PROJECT LOCATION NORTHEAST CHURCH ROCK MINE	
PROJECT PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN	()) МWH
	FIGURE 3-3 REVISION
GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTIONS I AND 2	FILE NAME 1012376 GEO XSEC



CLIENT REFERENCE NO

DESCRIPTION

NOTES: 1. GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION INFORMATION PROVIDED BY CHESTER ENGINEERS.

- 2. WATER LEVEL INFORMATION BASED ON OCTOBER, 2011 MEASUREMENTS. PORTIONS OF THE PIEZOMETRIC SURFACES ARE INTERPRETED BY CHESTER ENGINEERS USING THE SITE GROUNDWATER FLOW MODEL (CHESTER ENGINEERS, 2012).
- DEBRIS SURVEY LOCATIONS IN BORROW PIT NO. 2 FROM UNC CHURCH ROCK MILL DECOMMISSIONING REPORT (UNC, 1993)
- 4. SELECT HISTORIC BORINGS SHOWN ARE BORINGS THAT INCLUDE TAILINGS DATA, EMBANKMENT DATA AND/OR ALLUVIUM DATA BENEATH THE TAILINGS.
- 5. BOREHOLE AND DEBRIS ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION. DUE TO SURVEY ERROR AND DATUM CORRECTIONS, THE ELEVATIONS OF THE BOREHOLES, DEBRIS AND CONTACTS SHOWN MAY NOT BE EXACT.

MWH FIGURE 3-4 1 1012376 GEO XSEC

PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN





APPROXIMATE IMPOUNDMENT AREA MILL SITE PROPERTY BOUNDARY BORROW AREAS SECTION LINE SECTION NUMBER 2 PRELIMINARY BORROW DRILLING LOCATIONS (NORTH AND SOUTH DRAINAGE BORROW AREAS ONLY) Δ

#### NOTES:

- 1. SAMPLING LOCATIONS FOR BORROW AREAS 1 AND 2 ARE SHOWN ON FIGURE 5-4.
- 2. SAMPLING LOCATIONS FOR DILCO HILL ARE SHOWN ON FIGURE 3-8.

PROJECT LOCATION NORTHEAST CHURCH ROCK MINE ROJECT

PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN

DRILLING LOCATIONS

FIGURE 5-6 REVISION FILE NAME 1012217 PBAL

MWH



100	-7	040	111

-

STP2

0

No.

NAME OF

ALC: NO.

EXISTING GROUND SURFACE CONTOUR & ELEVATION, FEET

APPROXIMATE IMPOUNDMENT AREA

ROADS

NATURAL DRAINAGE

MILL SITE PROPERTY BOUNDARY

CHANNEL

SECTION LINE

APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF 2008 PRELIMINARY BORROW INVESTIGATION TEST PIT, SEE MWH (2012)

PROPOSED DRILLING LOCATION

REVISED LOCATION

PREVIOUS LOCATION

NORTHEAST CHURCH ROCK MINE	
PROJECT PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN	MWH
TITLE PROPOSED BORROW AREA SAMPLE	FIGURE FIGURE 3-7
LOCATIONS, EAST AND WEST BORROW	FILE NAME 1012217 PBASLBA



7040	EXISTING GROUND SURFACE CONTOUR & ELEVATION, FEET
7040	POTENTIAL FINISHED GROUND SURFACE CONTOUR & ELEVATION, FEET (SEE NOTE 2)
	APPROXIMATE IMPOUNDMENT AREA
	ROADS
	NATURAL DRAINAGE
	MILL SITE PROPERTY BOUNDARY
	CHANNEL
<u> </u>	SWALE
	SECTION LINE
۲	PROPOSED DRILLING LOCATION

#### NOTE:

- 1. NO PRIOR BORROW INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN CONDUCTED AT THE DILCO HILL.
- FINISHED GROUND SURFACE SHOWN IF DILCO HILL MATERIAL IS BORROWED FOR REPOSITORY CONSTRUCTION.

PROJECT LOCATION NORTHEAST CHURCH ROCK MINE		
PROJECT PRE-DESIGN STUDIES WORK PLAN	MWH	
TITLE PROPOSED BORROW AREA SAMPLING	FIGURE 3-8	REVISION
LOCATIONS, DILCO HILL	FILE NAME 1012217 PBAS	LDH





# TABLES



# Table 1-1. Basis of <u>Conceptual</u> Design Summary

Design Element	Performance Criterion	Performance Criterion Reference or Guidance <sup>1</sup>	Data Reference <sup>1</sup>	Available Data	Potential Data Gaps
		Repo	sitory and Cover Design	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Site restoration	Attainment of erosional stability and preclusion of off-site transport of generated sediments from the mine permit area. Establish self-sustaining ecosystem consistent with pre-mining conditions.	RG023, RG001	6 <u>01</u> 23	Baseline vegetation information for adjacent community	Vegetation evaluation to be performed as described in Section 3.
Maintenance of cover over tailings and construction of new cover over mine spoils	Narrative performance standard, including isolation of tailings and associated mine materials, design of cover to limit flux into the underlying materials and minimize soil loss due to erosion, construct features for acceptable performance from runoff from design storm, and design to minimize future maintenance of the existing impoundment and new repository.	10CFR40 Appx A criterion 1, 6(1) (RG017); RG018; 40CFR192 (RG019) RG020 RG006 RG007			No field data required.
Consolidation of mine spoils onto tailings impoundment	Minimize footprint No proliferation of disposal sites Upstream catchment minimized	10CFR40 Appx A criterion 2, 3, 4a (RG017); NUREG 1620 (RG006)		As-Built reports document tailings reclamation procedure and consistency with closure plans. Closure plans document compliance with performance criteria.	No field data required.
Existing Tailings Cover <u>Soil/<del>Low Permeability</del> Layer at Base of Repository</u>	Becomes <del>low permeability <u>compacted soil</u> layer at base of repository.</del>	RG028	400, 402, 421, 422, <u>440,</u> 450, 460, and 470	As-Built reports include geotechnical information for existing tailings cover, including compaction, gradation, Atterberg limits.	Characterization of hydraulic conductivity of existing tailings cover under proposed repository footprint, compacted to anticipated construction specification. See Figure 3-1 for sampling locations, and Tables 3-1 and 3-2 for laboratory tests and methods.
Placement of Mine Spoils	Narrative performance standard including minimizing waste footprint and optimizing footprint with allowable slopes and erosion protection. Compaction to minimize settlement. Place higher activity material deeper in the repository, as possible. <u>Soil shall be dry of the optimum moisture content' per ASTM D698 prior to placement of the subsequent lift.</u>	RG019	Results of geotechnical testing of Mine Site soils presented in 620 and 613 <u>1</u> .	Geotechnical testing results for seven samples, including moisture content, saturated hydraulic conductivity, standard Proctor compaction, moisture retention characteristics, particle size, and specific gravity.	In place density and compaction information for Mine Site soils to be placed in repository and compacted. See Volume II (MWH, 2013).
Placement of comingled TPH and Ra-226 soils	Narrative performance standard, including minimization of waste footprint	RG019		Comingled TPH and Ra-226 soils were left in place during the IRA and/or stockpiled at the site.	No field data required
Placement of Mine Debris/Filling of Void Spaces	Minimize potential for future settlement	RG019 RG029 RG030		Consistent with 1993 UNC Mill Decommissioning Report	No field data required. Plan for mine debris placement will be developed during design.
Cover Material	Suitable for vegetation establishment and limiting infiltration	RG018 RG020 RG007	510	Borrow soil required for repository cover construction approximately 200,000 to 320,000 cy. Volume of cover borrow material	Characterization of variability and suitability of potential borrow areas. See Figures 3-6 through 3-8 for borrow



Design Element	Performance Criterion	Performance Criterion Reference or Guidance <sup>1</sup>	Data Reference <sup>1</sup>	Available Data	Potential Data Gaps
				available, based on preliminary estimates: East Borrow Area: 204,000 cy West Borrow Area: 143,000 cy Dilco Hill: 337,000 cy	sampling locations and Table 3-4 for laboratory tests and methods.
		Table 1-1. Basis of <u>C</u>	onceptual Design Summary (conti	nued)	
Design Element	Performance Criterion	Performance Criterion Reference or Guidance <sup>1</sup>	Data Reference <sup>1</sup>	Available Data	Potential Data Gaps
		Repository	and Cover Design (Continued)		
Cover slopes	Surface features shall direct surface water drainage away from disposal units at velocities and gradients which will not result in erosion that will require ongoing active maintenance in the future	10CFR40 Appx A criterion 4c, 4f (RG017); RG018; RG007	410a-c, 461 (Appendices F and G)	Results of durability testing on existing stockpiled erosion protection rock. Cover slopes are anticipated to be 1-2% on top surface and 5:1 on side slopes.	No field data required.
Erosional stability	Erosion protection of self-sustaining vegetation and / or rock	10CFR40 Appx criterion 4a, 6(1)4 (RG017); RG007; RG013; Dwyer, Rager and Hopkins, 2006 Dwyer, 2012	510 410a-c, 461 (Appendices F and G)	Volume of stockpiled erosion protection (basalt) and bedding material on site: • 0.02-inch $(D_{50})$ - 822 cy • 0.35-inch $(D_{50})$ - 325 cy • 1.5-inch $(D_{50})$ - 4,469 cy • 3.0-inch $(D_{50})$ - 600 cy • 6.0-inch $(D_{50})$ - 143 cy • 10.0-inch $(D_{50})$ - 314 cy • Topsoil -30,000 cy Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) will be determined during design - derived	Verify gradation and durability of stockpiled 1.5-in and 3-in rock. See Table 3-4 for laboratory tests and methods. PMP determination procedure has not changed since 1991. No additional PMP estimate is necessary. Agronomic properties of topsoil stockpile
Tie into existing site features	Performance narrative. Repository will tie into existing erosion protection features if possible, and will aesthetically match existing site features.		<u>440, 450, 460, 470</u>	Site topography and drainage features As-built details and construction QC data on existing drainage swales	Topographic survey of repository and surrounding areas to be performed, as described in Section 3. Tie-ins to existing slopes and use of existing drainage swales will be developed during design. Qualitative evaluation of swale integrity and survey of cross section of drainage swales that may be used after repository construction.
Control of radon emanation	Attenuation of radon-222 to 20 pCi/m <sup>2</sup> -sec average emanation rate	10CFR40 Appx A6(1) (RG017); RG019; RG009; RG008	601	Static gamma points: 2,350 Surface and subsurface sampling and testing for Ra-226: 750	Determination of long-term moisture content and density characteristics of soils.
Cover permeability	Cover permeability less than or equal to natural subsoils present	RG020 RG025 RG018	Geotechnical laboratory testing during preliminary borrow investigation presented in 622, 510	Results of hydraulic soil property testing and particle size analysis from sample from East and West Borrow Areas.	Hydraulic properties of borrow materials for cover construction. See Figures 3-6 through 3-8 for borrow sampling locations and Table 3-4 for laboratory tests and methods. Design will include review of historic weather data.



`

Design Element	Performance Criterion	Performance Criterion Reference or Guidance <sup>1</sup>	Data Reference <sup>1</sup>	Available Data	Potential Data Gaps
					Design will include unsaturated flow modeling.

.



# Table 1-1. Basis of Conceptual Design Summary (continued)

Design Element	Performance Criterion	Performance Criterion Reference or Guidance <sup>1</sup>	Data Reference <sup>1</sup>	Available Data	Potential Data Gaps
	L	Repository	and Cover Design (continued)		1
Cover infiltration	Covers shall be designed to minimize to the extent practicable flux through the cover, to direct percolating or surface water away from the waste, and to resist degradation by surface geologic processes and biotic activity.	RG018 RG020	Geotechnical laboratory testing during preliminary borrow investigation presented in 622 510	Results of hydraulic soil property testing and particle size analysis from sample from East and West Borrow Areas.	<ul> <li>Hydraulic properties of borrow materials for cover construction. See Figures 3-6 through 3-8 for borrow sampling locations and Table 3-4 for laboratory tests and methods.</li> <li>Design will include review of historic weather data.</li> <li>Design will include unsaturated flow modeling of flux through cover, including incorporation of gravel mulch and use of existing gravel mulch if appropriate</li> <li>Revegetation of cover will be developed during design, and incorporated in cover water balance model during design.</li> </ul>
Surface Water Control	Prevent ponding and limit erosion under the design storm event(s)	RG006			No field data required. Surface water control features (run-on and run-off control) to be designed.
Freeze/Thaw	Evaluate the potential for freeze/thaw damage to the cover soils	RG006	Geotechnical laboratory testing during preliminary borrow investigation presented in 622	Results of hydraulic soil property testing and particle size analysis from sample from East and West Borrow Areas.	Geotechnical properties of borrow materials for cover construction. See Figures 3-6 through 3-8 for borrow sampling locations and Table 3-4 for laboratory tests and methods. Freeze/thaw evaluation to be performed during design.
Slope stability	Loading Condition: End of Construction – Min. factor of Safety = 1.3 (Unconsolidated, Undrained and Consolidated, Drained) Static, Long-term – Min. factor of Safety = 1.5 (Consolidated, Undrained and Consolidated, Drained) Earthquake – Min. factor of Safety = 1.0* *pseudostatic, in addition to liquefaction and deformation analyses	RG006, RG035	Geotechnical laboratory testing during preliminary borrow investigation presented in 622. Results of geotechnical testing of Mine Site soils presented in 620 and 613 <u>1</u> . 280, 281, 291a, 360, 362, 372, 431, 626 <u>5</u> , <del>990<u>632</u>, <del>991<u>633</u></del>, <del>992<u>634</u></del></del>	Particle-size analysis, standard Proctor compaction. Original embankment design information (280, 281). Geotechnical properties of existing materials, groundwater information from Chester reports and summaries.	Sampling and geotechnical characterization of western embankment and foundation materials Figures 3-1 through 3-5 and Tables 3-1 and 3-2. Tailings sampling and geotechnical characterization per Figures 3-1 through 3-5 and Tables 3-1 and 3-2. Static and pseudostatic slope stability analyses to be performed during design.
Biointrusion	Criteria will be evaluated based on Pre Design Studies.			None	Characterize analog sites for depths of roots and borrows.



# Table 1-1. Basis of <u>Conceptual Design Summary</u> (continued)

Design Element	Performance Criterion	Performance Criterion Reference or Guidance <sup>1</sup>	Data Reference <sup>1</sup>	Available Data	Potential Data Gaps
		Repository	and Cover Design (continued)		
Liquefaction	Limit cover settlement as a result of liquefaction of foundation materials	10CFR40 Appx A criterion 4e (RG017);	400, 402, 421, 422, <u>440, 4</u> 50, 460 and 470	As-built data on the existing impoundment	Tailings sampling and geotechnical/hydraulic characterization per Figures 3-1 through 3-5 and Tables 3-1 and 3-2.
	(tailings)	RG006, RG035	ER001a-b, ER002a-c	Previous seismic information	Liquefaction evaluation to be performed during design.
Seismic		RG006; 10CFR40 Appx A	400, 402, 421, 422, <u>440, 450, 460</u> and 470	As-built data on the existing impoundment	Update with USGS 2008 Probabilistic
Hazard Evaluation	Seismic stability	criterion 4e (RG017), RG006	300 ER001а-b, ER002а-с	Previous seismic information	fault information as part of design.
Cover Cracking	Limit cover cracking that would increase infiltration	RG006	Geotechnical laboratory testing during preliminary borrow investigation presented in 622	Particle-size analysis, standard Proctor compaction for borrow soils.	Geotechnical properties of borrow materials for cover construction. See Figures 3-6 through 3-8 for borrow sampling locations and Table 3-4 for laboratory tests and methods. Tailings sampling and geotechnical/hydraulic characterization per Figures 3-1 through 3-5 and Tables 3-1 and 3-2. Cover cracking evaluation to be performed during design.
Differential Settlement	Place mine spoils and debris in manner to minimize settlement. Design repository to accommodate settlement/-subsidence and promote drainage. Potential differential settlement based on final cross section, soil properties and construction tolerances.	RG006	Historical settlement monitoring results as reported in 400, <u>411,</u> 422, 450, 440, <del>Western Technologies</del> (1991) 612 <u>0</u> , 570, 611, 620 360, 362, (tailings thickness data and consolidation test results) 372 (hydrogeologic data and geologic cross sections of the impoundment) <u>990632</u> , <u>991633</u> , <u>992634</u> (Updated geologic cross sections and thickness contours).	North Cell (400) 3 settlement monitoring pts. (max. settlements 0.9 feet, at location PSM-9) Plots indicate 90% consolidation reached, monitoring stopped (T100 = 72, 86 and 130 days). Central Cell (421) 1 settlement monitoring pt. (total settlement 0.42 feet, 10/91 to 3/92). 90% consolidation reached, monitoring stopped. South Cell (422) 3 settlement monitoring pts. (max. settlements 0.18 feet, 0.9 feet and 1.1 feet). Plots indicate 90% consolidation in about 100 days, monitoring stopped. (T100 = 101, 100 and 102 days).	Tailings sampling and geotechnical/hydraulic characterization per Figures 3-1 through 3-5 and Tables 3-1 and 3-2. Consolidation settlement to be evaluated during design.
Drainage	Evaluate subsurface drainage of the tailings, under loading conditions, if perched water is present	RG006, RG020	240, 280, 291a, 360, 362, 372, 431, 626 <u>5, <del>990</del>632, <del>991<u>6</u>33</del>, <del>992<u>634,</u></del></u>	Geotechnical index, strength and consolidation properties of the tailings in the central cell; Hydraulic properties of the underlying units; groundwater data for the site;	Tailings sampling and geotechnical characterization of tailings and underlying strata per Figures 3-1 through 3-5 and Tables 3-1 and 3-2.



## Table 1-1. Basis of <u>Conceptual Design Summary</u> (continued)

Design Element	Performance Criterion	Performance Criterion Reference or Guidance <sup>1</sup>	Data Reference <sup>1</sup>	Available Data	Potential Data Gaps
		Repository	and Cover Design (continued)		•
Design life for evaluation of facility components	Narrative performance standard including a design effective for 1,000 years or at least 200 years, and minimizes need for future maintenance	10CFR40 Appx A6(1) (RG017); RG019; RG004; RG005; RG007; RG034			Investigation of natural analog - Measurement of unsaturated hydraulic conductivity properties via 3 tension infiltrometer tests in soils at a nearby site.
Revegetation	To be established based on vegetation analog	10CFR40 Appx A criterion 4d (RG017)			Establishment and baseline characterization of vegetation analog sites.
Characterization of Underlying Units	Evaluate the physical and hydraulic properties of the foundation units with regard to performance of the new repository	RG006	190, 240, 250, 260, 261, 280, 284 <u>0</u> ,291a, 300, 340, 341, 360, 362, 372, 431, 626 <u>5</u> , <del>990<u>6</u>32, <del>991<u>6</u>33</del>, <del>992<u>6</u>34,</del></del>	<ul> <li>Pre-construction geotechnical data on the alluvium beneath the impoundment.</li> <li>Hydraulic conductivities of the alluvium and Zone 3 Gallup sandstone Geologic Cross Sections (372)</li> <li>Index properties and hydraulic conductivities of the alluvium (pre-construction), properties of the tailings</li> </ul>	Includes fine and coarse tailings, alluvium and Zone 3 sandstone underlying the proposed repository. Sampling and characterization units underlying the tailings impoundment, per Figures 3-1 through 3-5 and Tables 3-1 and 3-2.
Construction sequencing	Narrative performance standard including design repository to minimize contact of water with waste during storage, contact of standing water with waste during disposal and contact of percolating or standing water with wastes after disposal.	RG018			No additional data required for design.
		Enviro	onmental Elements Design		
Dust control	Nuisance dust – PM2.5 and PM10	RG022	None	None	No field data required for design. Develop air monitoring program, including baseline monitoring prior to the start of construction.
Radiological protection	Annual Occupational Dose Limits Annual dose limits to individual members of the public: Internal radiation	RG014, RG015, RG016; RG024	None	None	No field data required for design. Develop radiation protection program, including baseline monitoring prior to the start of construction.
Cultural Resources Protection	State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)	SHPO	None	None	Cultural resources inventory of Mine Site and potential borrow areas.
Storm water management / E <u>rosion</u> &S <u>edimentation</u> controls	Attainment of erosional stability and preclusion of off-site transport of generated sediments from work areas.	Substantive requirements of RG001			No additional data required for design.

.

Notes:

(1) Project document references are provided in Table 1-2. Performance criteria references and guidance documents are listed in Table 1-3.
 (2) "Placement" refers to the general order and methods used to physically place the materials in lifts and compact them.

## Table 1-2. Index of Project Documents for the Church Rock Mill Site

Record No.	Document/Dataset	Author	Date Issued	Descri		
		Historic Docu	ments - Churc	h Rock Mill Site		
190	Report of Soils and Foundation Investigation, Church Rock Uranium Mill – United Nuclear Corporation, Gallup New Mexico	Hemphill Corporation	30 Jun 1969	Geotech data for the mill buildings, and preliminary info u		
240	Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Report	Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith	Oct 1974	Preliminary Geotechnical investigation for the construction to dam construction, laboratory and field testing of the allu		
250	Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Report	Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith	Jan 1975	Completed for Kaiser Engineers, borings along the dam a		
260	Geotechnical Investigation Report UNC Tailings Dam and Pond	Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith	May 1976	Geotechnical investigation for the construction of the tailing		
261	Seismic Refraction Investigations Report	Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith	Jul 1976	Seismic velocity profile and configuration of the near surfa		
280	Geotechnical and Design Development Investigation Report (Vol.2)	Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith	Jul 1978	Borings and lab data (no report text), borings along the da		
281	Engineering Analysis Report	Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith	Oct 1978	Embankment Volumes – Borrow Quantities, Tailings Disp		
290	Discharge Plan for Northeast Church Rock Mine Backfill	United Nuclear Corp.	Feb 1979	A discharge plan for using coarse Church Rock Mill tailir site.		
291a	Geotechnical Investigation Report, Volume 1	Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith	Jul 1979	Stability and integrity assessment, post breach investigati cell, borings through the cross dikes include tailings data		
292	Letter to UNC from A.E. Saucier (consulting geologist)	Sedi-Met, Inc.	12 Dec 1979	Mapping of Sandstone ledge encountered in Borrow Pit 2		
300	Final Design Report, Southeast Evaporation Ponds	Civil Systems Inc.	Aug 1980	Borings and geotechnical data, southeast of the Central C		
301	Unsaturated Flow Parameters of Uranium Mill Tailings	Veyera	Fall 1980	(reference only, not on Sharepoint)		
302	The Failure of the Church Rock Tailings Dam	J.D. Nelson and J.D. Kane	1980	Summary paper describing the 1979 breach of the south of		
310	Geology of the Church Rock Area	Science Applications Inc.	Nov 1981	Geology of the area around the tailings facility		
320	Evaluation of Proposed Tailings Deposition South Cell	Raney Geotechnical	15 Mar 1982			
321	Estimation of Soil Water Properties	Rawls, Brakensiek & Saxton	1982	(reference only, not on Sharepoint)		
340	Tailings Ponds Seepage Treatment Study	Bechtel	Dec 1984			
341	Tailings Ponds Conceptual Seepage Abatement Plans	Bechtel	Dec 1984			
350	Reference Crop Evapotranspiration from Ambient Air Temperature	Hargreaves and Samani	Dec 1985	(reference only, not on Sharepoint)		
360	Draft memorandum regarding estimated tailings cover thickness required to satisfy the USEPA radon flux standard – revision 1	UNC	12 Mar 1986			
361	Southwest Alluvial: Resistivity Study	Michael Penick	19 May 1986			
362	Letter to Canonie RE: Previous Geotechnical Data	UNC	14 Oct 1986	Includes data from borings through the Central Cell tailing Synopsis of Test Results on Auger Samples and Soil Pit auger holes drilled near NECR IX downslope from the last		
370	Abandonment and Reclamation Plan, Church Rock I, IE and II Mines	Quivira Mining Co.	Jan 1987			
371a	Reclamation Plan, Engineering Concepts	Canonie Environmental	Apr 1987	Engineering concepts for proposed interim stabilization ar		
371b	Reclamation Plan, Appendices A1, A2 & A3	Canonie Environmental	Jun 1987	Moisture-density; particle size; rock, boring & test pit logs		
371c	Reclamation Plan, Appendix A4	Canonie Environmental	Jun 1987	Boring logs		
371d	Reclamation Plan, Appendix A5	Canonie Environmental	Jun 1987	Test pit logs		

~

.

iption
--------

under the dam

tion of the tailings dam, this report includes borings prior alluvium (ref. is included as part of 1975 Env. Report)

n alignment

ilings dam

rface materials

dam and in the Borrow No.1 and 2 area

sposal System Analysis

ilings for backfilling the backfilling the Church Rock mine

ation, borings through the dam in the south cell and north

Cell, for proposed evaporation ponds

1

h cell written for NRC

ngs and Borrow Pit No.1 it samples taken in October 1985 and an SH&B report on ast retention pond

and final reclamation of tailings.



# Table 1-2. Index of Project Documents for the Church Rock Mill Site (continued)

Record No.	Document/Dataset	Author	Date Issued	Description
372	GeoHydrologic Report, Church Rock Site, UNC Mining and Milling, Gallup, New Mexico.	Canonie Environmental	May 1987	Geology and hydrogeology of the Mill Site tailings import
380	Reclamation Plan, Amendment 1	Canonie Environmental	Jul 1988	Reclamation Plan Amendment to License No. SUA-147
381	NRC Reclamation Cost Estimate	Canonie Environmental	Aug 1988	
382	Mill Decommissioning Plan	UNÇ	Dec 1988	
390	Tailings Sand Backfill Cleanup Verification Report	United Nuclear Corp.	Apr 1989	Provides data that verify that the byproduct material fr ponds were removed.
391	Cleanup of Tailings at the Northeast Church Rock Mine	United Nuclear Cop.	Oct 1989	Letter documenting the submittal and objectives of Doc
400	As-Built Report, North Cell Interim Stabilization	Canonie Environmental	Jan 1990	North Cell interim stabilization as-built report.
401	Letter from BLM to Quivera Mining regarding Reclamation Plan	U.S. BLM	Oct 1990	Notification of approval for Abandonment and Reclamat
402	Response to Comments and Proposed Reclamation Plan Modifications	Canonie Environmental	Dec 1990	Response to Comments and Proposed Reclamation Pla
410a	Tailings Reclamation Plan, Vol. 1	Canonie Environmental	Aug 1991	Tailings Reclamation Plan as approved by NRC March
410b	Tailings Reclamation Plan, Vol. 2	Canonie Environmental	Aug 1991	Tailings Reclamation Plan as approved by NRC March
410c	Tailings Reclamation Plan, Vol. 3	Canonie Environmental	Aug 1991	Tailings Reclamation Plan as approved by NRC March
<u>411</u>	As-Built Construction Report, Interim Stabilization, Central Cell Tailings Disposal Area, prepared for United Nuclear Corporation, Church Rock Facility, Gallup, New Mexico	<u>Western</u> Technologies, Inc.	<u>1991</u>	
420	Engineer's Report: NRC Reclamation Cost Estimate Revised Reclamation Plan, Response to Comments	Canonie Environmental	Jan 1992	
421	As-Built Report, Central Cell Interim Stabilization	Canonie Environmental	Apr 1992	Central Cell interim stabilization as-built report.
422	As-Built Report, South Cell Interim Stabilization	Canonie Environmental	Apr 1992	South Cell interim stabilization as-built report.
423	Memorandum regarding Proposed Action Plan for Verification of Radon Flux over Central Cell	UNC	7 Oct 1992	
424	Comments on Proposed Tailings Investigation Program	Canonie Environmental	14 Oct 1992	
430	Mill Decommissioning Report	United Nuclear Corp	Apr 1993	Mill decommissioning report
431	Central Cell Soil Sampling results	Canonie	28 Sep 1993	
432 440	As-Built Report, North Cell Final Reclamation	Canonie Environmental	Nov 1993 Nov 1994	Request for License Amendment/Final Radiological Rep
450	As-Built Report, Central Cell Final Reclamation	Canonie Environmental	Jun 1995	Central Cell final reclamation as-built report.
460	As-Built Report, South Cell Final Reclamation	Smith Environmental	Apr 1996	South Cell final reclamation as-built report.
461	As-Built Report, Final Reclamation Borrow Pit No. 2	Environmental Technologies	Aug 1996	Final Reclamation Borrow Pit No. 2 as-built report
470	As-Built Report, 1996 Final Reclamation Construction	Smith Environmental	Mar 1997	1996 Final Reclamation Construction as-built report.
480	Tailings Pile Seepage Model, The Atlas Corporation Moab Mill, Moab, Utah	ORNL	Jan 9 1998	Prepared for US NRC
481	Evaluation of Church 1996 Rock Radon Flux Tests	U.S. NRC	Apr 1998	
500	UNSAT-H Version 3.0: Unsaturated Soil water and Heat Flow Model – Theory, User Manual and Examples	Fayer	Jun 2000	(reference only, not on Sharepoint)

ndment
om the tailings sand backfill areas and associated mine
. Ab017 and AB018.
on Plan
n Modifications.
, 1991 for License No. SUA - 1475, Vol. 1.
, 1991 for License No. SUA - 1475, Vol. 2.
, 1991 for License No. SUA - 1475, Vol. 3.
ort for Mill Decommissioning.

# 

510	Cover System Design Guidance and Requirements Document	Dwyer, Rager & Hopkins	Apr 2001		
530	Water Balance Measurements and Computer Simulations of Landfill Covers	Dwyer	May 2003		
531	Moab Site, Site Observational Work Plan for the Moab, Utah, Site	SM Stoller	Dec 2003	Work performed for DOE	

#### Table 1-2. Index of Project Documents for the Church Rock Mill Site (continued)

[	Record No.	Document/Dataset	Author	Date Issued	Description
	540	Rationale and Field Investigation Work Plan to Evaluate Recharge and Potential Cell Sourcing to the Zone 3 Plume, Church Rock Site	US Filter	Jan 2004	Includes geologic contour mapping and summary of exis
	541	Draft Technical Guidance for RCRA/CERCLA Final Covers	EPA	Apr 2004	Dwyer, Co-author
	550	Cultural Resources Inventory Permit B05230	Navajo Nation	23 Mar 2005	
	551	A Cultural Resources Survey of 125 Acres for the Proposed Closeout of the Northeast Church Rock Mine, McKinley County, New Mexico	Lone Mountain Archeological Services	11 Jul 2005	
	570	Geophysical Survey Report	Document	Jun 2007	Results of magnetics (mag) and electromagnetic inc subsurface geophysical anomalies within the Mine Site.
	571	Removal Site Evaluation Report	Document	Oct 2007	Results of the Removal Site Evaluation (RSE) condu baseline human health risk assessment.
	58 <u>0</u> 4	Recommendations and Summary of Hydrogeologic Analysis Evaluation of Groundwater Flow in Zone 3 for the Design of a Pumping System to Intercept and Recover Impacted Groundwater	N.A. Water Systems	25 Apr 2008	
	600	License Number SUA-1475, Amendment No. 43	NRC	2010	
	<u><del>623</del>601</u>	Vegetation and Wildlife Evaluations / Revegetation Recommendations. 2009 Evaluations and Planning	<u>Cedar Creek</u>	<u>Feb 2010</u>	Evaluation of vegetative conditions and revegetation po for the 2009 IRA
	<del>612<u>610</u></del>	Evaluation of Consolidation and Water Storage Capacity Related to Placement of Mine Material on the Existing UNC Mill Site Tailings Impoundment	Dwyer Engineering	May 2011	Evaluation of consolidation and water storage capacit Church Rock Mill Site tailings impoundment
1	61 <u>1</u> 3	Laboratory Report for Dwyer Engineering, LLC	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc., Inc.	Aug 2011	Laboratory Testing Results for Mine Site soils, to accom
1	61 <u>2</u> 4	Technical Memorandum Summarizing Two Reports on Zone 3 Tailings Seepage Sourcing and Groundwater Recharge, with Information Update	Chester Engineers	Aug 2011	
	620	Summary of Geotechnical Data	Dwyer Engineering	Jan 2012	
	621	Approximation of Gravel/soil Admixture for NECR Cover	Dwyer	9 Jan 2012	
	622	Potential Borrow Areas and Borrow Characterization Plan, Church Rock Millsite	MWH	Feb 2012	Evaluation of the locations and potential volumes of bor
	623	Vegetation and Wildlife Evaluations / Revegetation Recommendations, 2009 Evaluations and Planning	Cedar-Creek	Feb-2010	Evaluation of vegetative conditions and revegetation pe for the 2009 IRA
	62 <u>3</u> 4	Preliminary Review of the Consolidation and Water Storage Capacity Related to Placement of Mine Material on the Existing UNC Mill Site Tailings Impoundments Report, Prepared for the Northeast Church Rock Mine Site, Gallup, New Mexico	Division of Waste Management and Protection	20 Aug 2012	
	62 <u>4</u> 5	DOE-LM Comments on the Report Titled, "Evaluation of Consolidation and Water Storage Capacity Related to Placement of Mine Material on the Existing United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) Mill Site Tailings Impoundment, Northeast Church Rock Mine, Gallup, New Mexico, by Stephen F. Dwyer, Ph.D., PE, May 2011	DOE	7 Sep 2012	
	62 <u>5</u> <del>6</del>	Groundwater Flow Model of the Church Rock Site and Local Area, Church Rock, New Mexico	Chester Engineers	Oct 2012	
	62 <u>6</u> 7	Proposed Additional Sensitivity Analysis: Evaluation of Consolidated and Water Storage Capacity Related to Placement of Mine Material on	Dwyer	14 Dec 2012	

sting data

nduction (EM) surveys that were conducted to identify . ucted between August and December 2006, including a

ptential for the 2009 IRA area; includes revegetation plan

ity related to placement of mine material on the existing

1pany 612.

.

row material for use at the Church Rock Mill Site.

otontial-for-the-2009 IRA-area;-includes-revegetation-plan



.

-

.

.

	the Existing UNC Mill Site Tailings Impoundment – DRAFT			
62 <del>8</del> 7	Reply to DOE Comments on the report: "Evaluation of Consolidation and Water Storage Capacity Related to Placement of Mine Material on the Existing UNC Mill Site Tailings Impoundment	Dwyer	14 Dec 2012	
62 <u>8</u> 9	Reply to NRC Comments on the report: "Evaluation of Consolidation and Water Storage Capacity Related to Placement of Mine Material on the Existing UNC Mill Site Tailings Impoundment	Dwyer	14 Dec 2012	



.



## Table 1-2. Index of Project Documents for the Church Rock Mill Site (continued)

Record No.	Document/Dataset	Author	Date Issued	Description
630	Review of United Nuclear Corporation/General Electric Documents Submitted in November and December 2012 related to the UNC Church Rock – Northeast Church Rock Non-time Critical Removal Action	NRC	16 May 2013	
631	DOE Comments on MWH's Supplemental Data Needs Evaluation and Work Plans for Removal Design, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action (November 9, 2012)	DOE	17 May 2013	
<del>990<u>632</u></del>	Aquifer Testing and Material Properties (compilation of information)	Chester Engineers	19 July 2013	Memo summary of available aquifer data
<del>991<u>6</u>33</del>	Geochemical Properties of Alluvium and Sandstone Units	Chester Engineers	19 July 2013	Memo summary of site geochemical properties
<u>634992</u>	Geologic Setting, Structure and Piezometric Surfaces (compilation of information)	Chester Engineers	19 July 2013	Memo summary of site geology and piezometric surface
<del>993<u>990</u></del>	Appendix A. Construction/Technical Specifications Seepage Remediation System	UNC?	Undated	
99 <u>1</u> 4	Transient Drainage from UMTRA Tailings	Larson & Goering	Undated	(reference only, not on Sharepoint)
99 <u>2</u> <del>5</del>	Unsaturated Hydraulic Parameters of Grand Junction Uranium Tailings	Veyera and Nelson	Undated	(reference only, not on Sharepoint)
99 <u>3</u> 6	Geotechnical Properties of Hydraulically Placed Uranium Mill Tailings	Keshian & Rager	Undated	(reference only, not on Sharepoint)
999	Video Surveys of Mine Shafts and Vents	UNC	2008	Video and memorandum documenting location and conc
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<b>Environmental Revie</b>	w Documents -	Church Rock Mill Site
ER001a	Applicant's Environmental Report on the Church Rock, New Mexico Uranium Mill and Mine, Volume 1	UNC	1975	Text, tables and figures
ER001b	Applicant's Environmental Report on the Church Rock, New Mexico Uranium Mill and Mine, Volume 2	UNC	1975	Appendices include Geology, Seismology and Stability Engineers, 10/2/74), Hydrologic Conditions Near the Ul Church Rock, NM, Property (Gould, 9/13/74), the prelim Beckwith, and correspondence regarding site archeo permit; Design of Tailings Disposal System (Kaiser Engin
ER002a	State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division Uranium Mill License Renewal Application – Environmental Report License No. NM-UNC-ML, Volume I	D'Appolonia	Dec 1981	Text and tables
ER002c	State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division Uranium Mill License Renewal Application – Environmental Report License No. NM-UNC-ML, Volume II	D'Appolonia	Dec 1981	Figures
ER002c	State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division Uranium Mill License Renewal Application – Environmental Report License No. NM-UNC-ML, Volume I	D'Appolonia	Dec 1981	Appendices

.

5.

dition of mine shafts and vents

y Analyses Church Rock Uranium Tailings Dam (Kaiser JNC Millsite (Shomaker, 11/74), Ecology of the UNC NE minary geotechnical investigation by Sergent, Hauskins & ology, demography, landmarks; the USEPA discharge jineers, 9/74)



.

## Table 1-3. Index of Regulatory and Guidance Documents for the Removal Action (USEPA 2011, 2013a)

Record No.	Document	Author	Date Issued
RG001	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Final General Construction Permit	US Environmental Protection Agency	February 2012
RG002	Action Memorandum: Request for a Non Time Critical Removal Action at the Northeast Church Rock Mine Site	US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9	September 29, 2011
<b>D</b> 0000		US Department of Transportation. Federal	0007
RG003	Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices	Highway Administration	2007
RG004	NUREG/CR-4620 Methodologies for Evaluating Long-Term Stabilization Designs of Uranium Mill Tailings Impoundments	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	1986
RG005	NUREG/CR-3397 Design Considerations for Long-Term Stabilization of Uranium Mill Tailings Impoundments	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	1983
RG006	NUREG-1620 Standard Review Plan for the Review of a Reclamation Plan for Mill Tailings Sites Under Title II of the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	June 2003
RG007	NUREG-1623 Design of Erosion Protection for Long-Term Stabilization	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	September 2002
RG008	NRC Regulatory Guide 3.64 Calculation of Radon Flux Attenuation by Earthen Uranium Mill Tailings Covers	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	June 1989
RG009	NUREG/CR-3533 Radon Attenuation Handbook for Uranium Mill Tailings Cover Design	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	1984
RG010	NUREG/CR-5849 Manual for Conducting Radiological Surveys in Support of License Termination	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	1992
RG011	Comprehensive Transportation Safety Plan	New Mexico Department of Transportation	April 2009
RG012	Probable Maximum Precipitation Estimates, Colorado River and great Basin Drainages Hydrometeorological Report No. 49	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	1977
RG013	Final Staff Technical Position, Design of Erosion Protective Covers for Stabilization of Uranium Mill Tailings Sites	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	August 1990
RG014	10 CFR 20.1101 (Subpart B) Radiation Protection Programs	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	
RG015	10 CFR 20.1301 (Subpart D) Dose Limits for Individual Members of the Public	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RG016	10 CFR 20.1302 (Subpart D) Compliance with Dose Limits for Individual Members of the Public	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	
RG017	10 CFR 40 Domestic Licensing of Source Material	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	
RG018	10 CFR 61.51 Disposal Site Design for Land Disposal	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	
RG019	40 CFR 192 Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings	US Environmental Protection Agency	
RG020	40 CFR 264.310 Closure and Post-Closure Care	US Environmental Protection Agency	
RG021	49 CFR 105-177	Occupational Safety and Health Administration	
RG022	Federal Register (FR) 71 National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter		
RG023	NMAC 19.10.5 Natural Resources and Wildlife Non-Coal Mining Existing Mining Operations	New Mexico Administrative Code	
RG024	NMAC 20.3.4 Environmental Protection Radiation Protection Standards for Protection Against Radiation	New Mexico Administrative Code	•
RG025	ASTM Section C and D Test Standards		
RG026	MARSSIM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
RG027	NMAC 20.3.13.1313 Post-Closure Observation and Maintenance	New Mexico Administrative Code	
RG028	United Nuclear Corporation Superfund Site Surface Soil Operable Unit Proposed Plan Record of Decision, Gallup McKinley County, New Mexico	US Environmental Protection Agency Region 9	July 2012March 29, 2013
RG029	Technical Approach Document, Revision II, UMTRA-DOE/AL 050425.0002.	Department of Energy	1989
RG030	WSSRAP Disposal Facility Technical Specifications, Section 2300: Waste removal, Handling, and Placement. WP-437, Disposal Cell Construction.	Department of Energy	May 2000
RG031	40 CFR 61 Subpart M. National Emission Standard for Asbestos. National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants	US Environmental Protection Agency	
RG032	OSHA Construction Asbestos Standard 29 CFR 1926.1101	Occupational Safety and Health Administration	
RG033	Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act		December 2006
RG034	NUREG-1757 Volume 2, Revision 1, Appendix P		
RG035	NUREG-3.11 Design, Construction, and Inspection of Embankment Retention Systems for Uranium Mills (rev.2)	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission	December 1977
RG036	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended, Subtitle D, 42 USC 6901 et seg.		
RG037	30 CFR Parts 816 and 817		
RG038	Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978 (UMTRCA), as amended		
<u>RG039</u>	Clean Air Act, National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) that apply to radionuclides, Title 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart H		
RG040	Directive on Protective Cleanup Levels for Radioactive Contamination at CERCLA sites OSWER Directive 9200 4-18	US Environmental Protection Agency	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RG041	Navajo Nation Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program applicable regulations	Navaio Nation	1
RG042	Navaio Nation Solid Waste Act. Subchapters 2 (Prohibited Act) and 5 (Enforcement)	Navajo Nation	
	Navajo Nation Air Pollution Prevention and Prevention Act. Air Quality Control Programs – Permits 2004: Code of Regulations for air		
<u>RG043</u>	emissions, rules and regulations	Navajo Nation	



<u>RG044</u>	Navajo Nation Clean Water Act, Title 4 Navajo Nation Code	Navajo Nation	
<u>RG045</u>	NMAC 20.4 – Hazardous Waste Regulations	New Mexico Administrative Code	
<u>RG046</u>	NMAC 20.9 – Solid Waste Regulations	New Mexico Administrative Code	
<u>RG047</u>	NMAC 20.6.2 – New Mexico Water Quality Ground and Surface Water Protections	New Mexico Administrative Code	
<u>RG048</u>	NMAC 20.6.4 – New Mexico Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters	New Mexico Administrative Code	
<u>RG049</u>	NMAC 20.3.14 – New Mexico Standards for Protection against Radiation	New Mexico Administrative Code	
<u>RG050</u>	The Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act - 25 USC 3001 et seq. and its regulations, Title 43 CFR Part 10		
<u>RG051</u>	National Historic Preservation Act – 16 USC 470 et seq. CFR Part 800		
<u>RG052</u>	Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 – 16 USC Sections 47000-47011; 43 CFR Part 7		
<u>RG053</u>	American Indian Religious Freedom Act – 42 USC Section 1996 et seq.		
<u>RG054</u>	Endangered Species Act - 7 USC Section 136, 16 USC Sections 15331-1548, Title 50 CFR Parts 17 and 402		
<u>RG055</u>	Navajo Nation Endangered Species List – Resource Committee Resolution RCAU-103-05		
<u>RG056</u>	NMSA 1978 – New Mexico Cultural Properties Act		
<u>RG057</u>	Guidance for Developing Best Management Practices for Storm Water – Publication EPA/832/R-92006		
RC058	Clean Water Act - Section 402, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), Stormwater discharges (40 CFR Parts 122,		
1(0000	125)		
<u>RG059</u>	Clean Water Act - Section 404, dredge or fill material, 33 CFR Parts 320 - 330, 40 CFR 230		
<u>RG060</u>	NMAC 19.21.2 – New Mexico Wildlife Conservation Act	New Mexico Administrative Code	
RG061	NMSA 178 Sections 17-2-37 through 17-2-46		
RG062	NMAC 20.2 – Air Quality	New Mexico Administrative Code	

I MWH.

.

# Table 2-1. Summary of Impoundment Characterization Sampling Location Selection Criteria

Sampling Location	General Location	Auger Borehole	<u>CPT</u>	Repository Objective	Tailings Objective	Tailings Foundation Objective	Additional Objectives
11	SW corner of North Cell	<u>×</u>	X	Edge	Deeper thickness	Alluvium	Settlement and Slope stability
l <u>2</u>	N center of North Cell	X	X	Edge	Intermediate thickness	Zone 3 sandstone	Settlement and slope stability
<u>3</u>	W side of North Cell	X	<u>×</u>	=	Embankment material	Alluvium	<u>Slope stability</u>
4	Center of North Cell		X	Edge	Intermediate thickness	<u>Alluvium</u>	Settlement and slope stability
5	SE edge of North Cell		X	Center	If present-	Alluvium	Settlement
<u>6</u>	Borrow Pit 1 (north side), NE part of <u>Central Cell</u>		X	Center	Intermediate thickness	Alluvium	Settlement
I Z	W part of Central Cell		X	Edge or Center	Deeper thickness	Alluvium	Settlement and perched water
8	Borrow Pit 1 in Central Cell (south side)	X	X	Center	Deeper thickness	Zone 3 sandstone	Settlement and perched water
9	Borrow Pit 1 in Central Cell (west side)		X	Center	Deeper thickness	Alluvium	Settlement and perched water
<u>10</u>	Borrow Pit 1 in Central Cell (east side)	X	X	Center	Deeper thickness	Zone 3 sandstone	Settlement and perched water
<u>11</u>	Borrow Pit 2 in Central Cell (west edge)	X	X	Edge	If present	Zone 1 sandstone	<u>Settlement</u>
<u>12</u>	Borrow Pit 2 in Central Cell (southwest edge)		X	Edge	If present	Alluvium	Settlement



Impoundment	Proposed Sampling Locations											
Impoundment Drofilo <sup>1</sup>	1	2	3	<b>4</b> <sup>2</sup>	5 <sup>2</sup>	6 <sup>2</sup>	<b>7</b> <sup>2</sup>	8	9 <sup>2</sup>	10	11	12 <sup>2</sup>
rioine	(thickness in feet <sup>1</sup> )											
Embankment	<del>25</del> -	-	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_ 1	-
Tailings/Cover	- <u>45</u>	5	_	10	7	12	2 <u>92</u> 8	<del>25</del> 3 5	35 <u>4</u> 0	28	25 <u>3</u> 6	21
Alluvium	20	27	20	5	5	5	5	30	<u>34</u>	3	<u>221</u> 0	5
Zone 3 Sandstone	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	10 <u>2</u> 0	-	20	-	-
Zone 1 Sandstone	-	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-
Total Depth (est.)	45 <u>65</u>	52	55	15	12	17	<del>34<u>3</u> 3</del>	<del>65<u>5</u> 8</del>	38 <u>4</u> 4	51	67 <u>6</u> 6	26

## Table 3-1. Estimated Profile at Proposed Sampling Locations

Notes:

4

Estimated profiles and thicknesses are based on proposed sampling depths CPT location only, no paired borehole Sampling locations are shown in Figures 3-1 and 3-2. 1.

2. 3.



### Table 3-2. Geotechnical Characterization Objectives and Sampling Methods for the Tailings Impoundment and Underlying Units

Material	In-situ (Sample Type)	Ex-situ (Sample Type)
Existing cover – soil/rock layer	Thickness/stratigraphy (visual)	Particle-size (bulk)
Existing cover – radon barrier	<ul> <li>Thickness/stratigraphy (visual)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water content (bulk)</li> <li>Particle-size (bulk)</li> <li>Atterberg Limits (bulk)</li> <li>Standard Proctor (bulk)</li> <li>Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (remolded)</li> <li>Moisture retention SWCC (remolded)</li> </ul>
Coarse tailings	<ul> <li>Thickness/stratigraphy (CC)</li> <li>Density (CPT-SPT)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water content (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Density (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Particle-size (Shelby, CC)</li> <li>Specific gravity (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Atterberg Limits (bulk)</li> <li>Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Consolidation (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Shear strength (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Moisture retention SWCC (CA, Shelby)</li> </ul>
Fine tailings	<ul> <li>Thickness/stratigraphy (CC)</li> <li>Density (CPT-SPT)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water content (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Density (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Particle-size (Shelby, CC)</li> <li>Specific gravity (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Atterberg Limits (bulk)</li> <li>Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Consolidation (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Shear strength (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Moisture retention SWCC (CA, Shelby)</li> </ul>
Embankment	<ul> <li>Thickness/stratigraphy (CC)</li> <li>Density (CPT-SPT)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water content (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Density (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Particle-size (Shelby, CC)</li> <li>Specific gravity (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Atterberg Limits (bulk)</li> <li>Swell/collapse (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Shear strength (CA, Shelby)</li> </ul>
Alluvium	<ul> <li>Thickness/stratigraphy (CC)</li> <li>Density (CPT-SPT)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water content (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Density (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Particle-size (Shelby, CC)</li> <li>Specific gravity (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Atterberg Limits (bulk)</li> <li>Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Consolidation (CA, Shelby)</li> <li>Moisture retention SWCC (CA, Shelby)</li> </ul>
<u> </u>	<ul> <li>Thickness/stratigraphy (CC)</li> <li>Competency (SPT)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water content (CC, SS)</li> <li>Density (CC)</li> <li>Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (CC)</li> </ul>

Notes: CPT = cone penetration test

SPT = standard penetration test

CA = California samples Shelby = Shelby tube samples

Bulk = bulk samples CC = continuous core sampling

SS = split-spoon samples

SWCC = soil water characteristic curve

Geotechnical Laboratory Test	Gravel Admixture Cover Layer	Radon Barrier Cover Layer	Coarse Tailings	Fine Tailings	Embankment	Alluvium	Unit- <u>Zone</u> <u>1 and 3</u> Sandstone	ASTM StandardTest <u>Method/</u> Reference
		Estimated Number of Laboratory Tests						
Moisture content	-	12	20	8 <u>10</u>	<del>16<u>8</u></del>	<del>20<u>15</u></del>	ર <u>ુ5</u>	ASTM D2216
Dry density	-	-	20	<u>10</u> 8	<u>8</u> 16	<del>20<u>15</u></del>	3 <u>5</u>	ASTM D2937
Particle-size (sieve and hydrometer <sup>(1)</sup> )	12	<u>1</u> 2	20	<u>10</u> 8	8 <u>4</u>	<del>20<u>15</u></del>	-	ASTM D422
Specific gravity	-	-	10	4 <u>5</u>	4 <u>2</u>	<del>10</del> 8	-	ASTM D854
Atterberg limits	-	12	10	<u>10</u> 8	<del>84</del>	<del>10</del> 8	-	ASTM D4318
Standard Proctor	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	ASTM D698
Saturated hydraulic conductivity <sup>3</sup>	-	4 <sup>(2)</sup>	5	2	-	<u>54</u>	<del>2</del> 3	ASTM D5084
Consolidation		-	5	4	-	<u>54</u>	-	ASTM D2435
Swell/collapse potential	-	-	-	-	4 <u>3</u>	-	-	ASTM D4546, D5333
Triaxial shear strength (CU)	-	-	3	1	4 <u>3</u>	-	-	ASTM D4767
Moisture retention - soil water characteristic curves (SWCC)	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	ASTM D6836
Ra-226 activity	<u>3</u>	:	:	=		:	<u> </u>	EPA Method 901.1
pH, Saturated Paste	<u>3</u>	:	=	:	=	:	=	USDA Staff, 1954
Electrical conductivity, solution	<u>3</u>	=	=	=	-	:	=	USDA Staff, 1954
Exchangeable sodium percentage, SAR Estimation	<u>3</u>	=	=	=	=	=	-	USDA Staff, 1954
Calcium carbonate equivalent, rapid titration	<u>3</u>	=	=	=	=	=	=	<u>ASA,1965</u>
<u>Cation exchange capacity: Na</u> saturated then NH <sub>4</sub> OAc extracted	<u>3</u>	. =	=	=	=	-	:	<u>ASA,1965</u>
Percent organic matter, Walkley – Black	<u>3</u>	-	=	Ξ	-	=	:	<u>ASA, 1982</u>
Nitrogen	3	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	Kjeldahl ASA 1965
Phosphorous	<u>3</u>	=	=	=	=	=	=	Ludwick & Reuss, 1974; Olsen, et al., 1954
Potassium (K); 1:5 NH₄OAc Extract	3	=	:	=	=	=	=	Ludwick & Reuss, 1974

#### Table 3-3. Tailings Impoundment Geotechnical Laboratory Testing Schedule

#### Note:

1. Hydrometer to be used, as applicable, based on material types.

2. Saturated hydraulic conductivity will be conducted on samples remolded to 90%, 95% and 100% of maximum dry density, as determined by the standard Proctor compaction method.

3. Rigid or flexible wall, depending on particle sizes.

4.---Sample volumes will be determined in accordance with ASTM. Testing schedule subject to change based on quantities of samples obtained.

6.4. Teeting cohodule cubject to change baced on quantitiec of camplec obtained.

۵

- -







Table 3-4. Soil Tests and Methods for Borrow Material Cl	haracterization
--	-----------------

Test	Test Method	<u>Total</u> Estimated Number of Tests in Primary Borrow Areas <sup>1</sup>	<u>Total</u> Estimated Number of Tests in Alternative Borrow Areas <sup>2</sup>
Dry bulk density	ASTM D7263	6 <u>12</u>	<del>10</del> 8
Moisture content	ASTM D2216	6 <u>12</u>	<del>108</del>
Calculated total porosity	ASTM D7263	612	<del>10</del> 8
Specific gravity	ASTM D854	<u>612</u>	<del>10</del> 8
Particle size analysis with hydrometer	ASTM D422	<u>612</u>	<del>10</del> 5
Soil classification <sup>3</sup>	ASTM <del>D422</del> D2487, USDA Soil Textural Triangle	6 <u>12</u>	<del>10</del> 5
Atterberg Limits	ASTM D4318	412	<del>2</del> 6
Standard Proctor compaction	ASTM D698	64	63
Saturated hydraulic conductivity	(Rigid Wall - ASTM D2434M) or flexible wall (ASTM D5084) <sup>43</sup>	6	5
Dispersivity Classification – Pinhole Test	ASTM D4647	4	2
Moisture retention characteristics & calculated unsaturated hydraulic conductivity	ASTM D6836 Soil Water Characteristic Curve (5-7pts. Minimum) <sup>54</sup>	6	5
Ra-226 activity	EPA Method 901.1	<u>23</u>	<u>2</u> 4
рН	Saturated Paste pH (USDA Staff, 1954)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Electrical conductivity	Solution conductivity (USDA Staff, 1954)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Exchangeable sodium percentage	SAR Estimation (USDA Staff, 1954)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Calcium carbonate equivalent	Rapid titration (Agronomy Society of America,1965)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Cation exchange capacity	Na saturated then NH₄OAc extracted (Agronomy Society of America,1965)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Percent organic matter	Walkley – Black (Agronomy Society of America, 1982)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Nitrogen	Kjeldahl (Agronomy Society of America, 1965)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Phosphorous	Olsen (Ludwick and Reuss, 1974; Olsen, et al., 1954)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4
Potassium (K)	1:5 NH₄OAc Extract (Ludwick and Reuss, 1974)	<u>3</u> 2	<u>2</u> 4

Notes:

1. <u>Test quantities are the total for</u>For Borrow Area 1, Borrow Area 2, and the Dilco Hill Borrow Area combined.

2. Test quantities are the total for For the North Drainage Borrow area and the South Drainage Borrow Area combined.

3. All samples will be visually classified per ASTM and USCS.

2.4. Hydraulic conductivity test method will be selected according to the sample material texture. Test specimen will be remolded to the determined undisturbed in situ density of borrow material and/or 90% of standard Proctor maximum dry density and dry of optimum water content (conditions consistent with density and moisture content of placed cover material) for the ET cover layer.

3-5. Testing could include hanging column, pressure plate, water potential, and/or relative humidity box methods, depending on desired range of suction values.

4.6. Sample volume will be determined in accordance with ASTM.

5-7. Sieve analyses will be performed initially, which may lead to a decision to perform additional analyses not specified above.



Table 3-5.	Geotechnical	<b>Testing of On-Site</b>	<b>Bedding and Erosion</b>	<b>Protection Materials</b>
------------	--------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------

Material	Test	Test Method	Estimated Number of Samples Per Sampling Location	Estimated Volume of Samples Required
Gravel admixture layer of existing tailings cover	Particle size analysis	ASTM D422 or D5519	1	Bulk <sup>4</sup>
Bedding sand	Particle-size analysis	ASTM D422 or D5519		
	Particle-size analysis	ASTM D422 or D5519		
	L.A. Abrasion <sup>2</sup>	ASTM Method C 535		
1-inch diameter rock	Sodium sulfate soundness <sup>2</sup>	ASTM Method C 88	1	<u>Bulk<sup>1</sup></u>
-	Specific gravity <sup>2</sup>	ASTM C 127		
3-inch diameter rock	Absorption <sup>2</sup>	ASTM C 127		
	Schmidt Hammer <sup>2</sup>	ASTM D 5873		
	Splitting Tensile Strength <sup>2</sup>	ASTM D 3967		
	Specific gravity	ASTM D854		
	Particle-size			
	analysis with	ASTM D422		
	hydrometer		2	Bulk <sup>1</sup>
	Soil	ASTM D2487.	_	
	classification <sup>3</sup>	USDA Soil Textural Triangle		
li	Atterberg Limits	ASTM D4318		
	Ra-226 activity	EPA Method 901 1		
		Saturated Paste nH (USDA		
	<u>pH</u>	Staff 1954)		
	Electrical	Solution conductivity (LISDA		
	conductivity	Staff 1954)		
	Evchangeable			
	sodium	SAR Estimation (USDA		
Topsoil stockpile	percentage	<u>Staff,1954)</u>		
<u></u>	Calcium			
	carbonate	Rapid titration (Agronomy		
	equivalent	Society of America, 1965)		1
		Na saturated then NH₄OAc	1	<u>Bulk'</u>
	Cation exchange	extracted (Agronomy Society		
	capacity	of America, 1965)		
li	Percent organic	Walkley – Black (Agronomy		
	matter	Society of America, 1982)		
li	Nite	Kjeldahl (Agronomy Society		
	Nitrogen	of America, 1965)		
li	Dhaantaaraa	Olsen (Ludwick and Reuss.		
	Phosphorous	1974; Olsen, et al., 1954)		
	Detection (IC)	1:5 NH <sub>4</sub> OAc Extract (Ludwick		
	Potassium (K)	and Reuss, 1974)		

#### Notes:

Sample volumes will be determined in accordance with ASTM.
 Some durability tests listed may be substituted or eliminated in accordance with NRC, 2002.


### APPENDIX B

MWH<sub>®</sub>

# SUMMARIES OF SITE GEOLOGIC, HYDROGEOLOGIC AND GEOCHEMICAL INFORMATION

	MWH®	
-	BUILDING A BETTER WORLD	TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM
TO:	Mr. Lance Hauer, GE	DATE: August 12, 2013 (Revised September 26, 2013)
FROM:	Jason Cumbers, PE, MWH	REFERENCE: 1012376
CC:	Toby Leeson, MWH	
SUBJEC	T: Church Rock Mill Site Reposit	ory - Summary of Relevant Geotechnical Data

### Background

NRC and DOE comments on the MWH Draft Data Gaps Report (MWH, 2012) recommended a site geotechnical investigation of the foundation materials for the new repository. MWH evaluated existing geotechnical, geological and hydraulic data for the tailings and underlying materials in the vicinity of the proposed repository. Specifically, this information was evaluated relevant to the placement of mine spoils on top of the reclaimed tailings impoundment, in order to develop a focused geotechnical investigation program to obtain information to supplement existing information on the tailings, the underlying alluvium, and the Zone 3 Sandstone.

This memorandum provides a summary of existing data from the materials described above. This summary of data is focused on the area around the conceptual repository layouts and the specific data for the tailings, in the North and Central Cells. Pertinent geotechnical, geological and hydraulic information is summarized herein, and references, where specific test results and borehole information can be found, are presented. Figure 1 shows the locations of pertinent historic borings, wells, and geologic cross sections in the vicinity of the North and Central Cells. Figure 2 shows the locations of the currently existing wells at the site, as well as the locations of aquifer tests performed within the sandstone and alluvium, and permeability tests conducted at soil boring locations. Information on the borehole permeability tests is included in this summary and the existing information from the aquifer tests is further discussed in the documents included in Appendix B.

Table 1 provides a description of the existing relevant geotechnical data by study date and material type. This data summary includes available geotechnical data pertinent to loading of the tailings with mine spoils, and generally does not include data collected in the South Cell. Information in Table 1 is compiled from reports listed in the References section of this memorandum. Table 1 is not a comprehensive summary of all available geotechnical data from the North and Central Cells.

### Tailings

Geotechnical data on the tailings in the North and Central Cells are available from several sources. Additional tailings data also exist for the tailings in the South Cell. During the

*Mr. Lance Hauer, GE Summary of Relevant Geotechnical Data Page 2* 

preliminary geotechnical investigation for the impoundment in 1974 (SHB, 1974), a bulk sample of the cycloned tailings sands was tested for gradation, permeability, and shear strength. The 1978 geotechnical investigation (SHB, 1978a) included drilling borings through the impoundment and through the tailings within the impoundment. Tailings samples were tested for Atterberg Limits, gradations, shear strength, and relative density. In 1979, UNC conducted a stability and integrity assessment of the dam (SHB, 1979). This assessment included a series of seven borings on the interior dikes (Northern Cross Dike and Southern Cross Dike) which separate the three cells. These borings were drilled through the existing tailings and provide thickness information and standard penetration test (SPT) data on the tailings. This investigation also includes data collected in the south cell.

A series of borings (658, 659, 660, and 662) were drilled through the tailings impoundment in 1985. Borings 658, 659, and 660 were located, west to east, across the Central Cell with 660 located within Borrow Pit No. 1. Boring 662 was drilled in the South Cell. These boring logs are included as attachments to a UNC memo (UNC, 1986) and provide tailings thickness information, as well as depths and thickness of fine-grained material within the profile. Testing on the samples collected from these boreholes include specific gravity, water content, dry density, and consolidation. This data was partially summarized in the impoundment Reclamation Plan (Canonie, 1991).

In 1992, a series of shallow borings were drilled in the tailings of the Central Cell, to aid in evaluation of the radon modeling (UNC, 1993). Geotechnical samples collected from shallow depths (less than 8 feet deep) were tested for specific gravity, water content, dry density, and gradation. No boring logs for this program were available for review. Interim stabilization of the Central Cell was completed in 1991, and tailings samples were collected in November 1992. Ground surface elevations are not provided; however, the borings appear to have been drilled from the interim cover surface. This tailings data was included in Appendix B of the Central Cell Final Reclamation As-Built Report (Canonie, 1995).

Based on the data for the North and Central Cells, the average specific gravity of the tailings samples is 2.71 and in general the samples are non-plastic. Fine-grained tailings samples have about twice the percentages (average by weight) passing the No. 100 (46 percent) and No. 200 (31 percent) sieves, and 6 percent finer than 0.001 mm, as compared with the coarse-grained samples. With the exception of one sample, both the coarse and fine fractions of the tailings samples were finer than the No. 10 sieve.

The water contents of the samples tested range from 4 to 60 percent with dry densities ranging from 73 to 118 pounds per cubic foot (pcf). Average water content of the coarser samples tested is 15 percent with an average dry density of 101 pcf. The average water content of the finer samples tested is 21 percent with an average dry density of 96 pcf. The reported coefficient of consolidation ( $C_c$ ) results range from 0.018 to 1.00 for the tailings samples and the friction angles (from direct shear testing) range from 30° to 39°, with some results showing cohesion.

### **Embankment (North and Central Cells)**

A series of borings (78a-15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21) were drilled through the tailings embankment (SHB, 1978a), presumably to provide information on the materials and construction of the embankment. Borings 15, 17 and 18 were drilled adjacent to the Central Cell. Borings 19, 20, and 21 were drilled through the embankment on the north side of the North Cell. Data from

these borings include SPT, torvane shear strength, Atterberg limits, gradations, water contents, dry density, triaxial and direct shear, and laboratory permeability.

The 33 samples from the embankment adjacent to the North and Central Cells are generally classified as low plasticity clay (CL). Atterberg limits for the embankment soils indicate the liquid limits range from 23 to 42 percent and the plasticity indices range from 8 to 22 percent. The average of the plastic Atterberg limits results is a liquid limit of 31 percent and a plasticity index of 13 percent. The percentage passing the No. 200 sieve (fines) ranges from 50 to 77 percent (by weight) and the percentage passing the No. 4 sieve (sand) ranges from 98 to 100 percent. The average of the embankment soils tested indicate 66 percent fines by weight and 100 percent sand size particles, or smaller, by weight. The water content for the embankment samples ranges from 5 to 24 percent with dry densities ranging from 107 to 126 pcf. The average water content is 13 percent and the average dry density is 114 pcf. Direct shear test results on the embankment materials include phi angles of 7°, 49°, and 38° with cohesions of 1.45 kips per square foot (ksf), 1.69 ksf and 0.31 ksf, respectively.

### Alluvium

Many of the site drilling programs previously performed in the area of the North and Central Cells include information from both drilling and laboratory testing on the alluvium underlying the tailings, the embankment and the existing cover at the site. Extensive data was collected on the alluvium including field and laboratory permeabilities, Atterberg Limits, gradations, water contents, consolidation tests, Proctor compaction tests, and shear strength. The SHB investigations (SHB, 1974, 1976, 1978a, 1978b, 1979) include laboratory tests on more than 200 alluvium samples taken from the vicinity of the North and Central Cells. While portions of the alluvium were excavated for construction at the site, several of the borings appear to extend below the current depths of tailings in the area of interest and provide geotechnical data on materials still in-place below the impoundment. Borings with geotechnical data below the estimated tailings depths include SHB-74-04, SHB-76-08, 11, SHB-78a-76, 77, and SHB-78b-07.

The alluvium samples from the North and Central Cells are generally classified as low plasticity clay (CL), but also include plastic and non-plastic silts, as well as silty and clayey sands. Atterberg limits for the plastic alluvial soils indicate the liquid limits of the alluvium range from 20 to 67 percent. The plasticity indices range from 4 to 45 percent. The average of the plastic Atterberg limits results is a liquid limit of 36 percent and a plasticity index of 18 percent, which corresponds to a low plasticity clay. The percentage passing the No. 200 sieve (fines) ranges from 0 to 94 percent (by weight) and the percentage passing the No. 4 sieve (sand) ranges from 1 to 100 percent. The average of the alluvium results indicate 41 percent fines by weight and 80 percent sand size particles, or smaller, by weight. The water content for the alluvium samples ranges from 2 to 31 percent with dry densities ranging from 94 to 106 pcf.

### Zone 3 Sandstone

More than 50 geotechnical borings were identified that extend into the Zone 3 Sandstone in the vicinity of the proposed repository (SHB, 1974, 1976, 1978a, 1978b, 1979 and CSI, 1980). The bulk of the sandstone data include SPT data, water contents, and the contact elevations. Geotechnical laboratory data includes gradations, water content and Atterberg limits. Field permeability tests were also performed in the sandstone. Laboratory data on samples from the Zone 3 Sandstone is limited; however, water content results range from 5 to 19 percent, and two Atterberg limits tests indicate the sandstone is non-plastic. Two gradation results indicate 27

Mr. Lance Hauer, GE Summary of Relevant Geotechnical Data ... Page 4

percent and 25 percent (by weight) passing the no. 200 sieve and 68 percent and 54 percent passing the No. 4 sieve.

### **Proposed Borrow Areas**

MWH identified nineteen borings previously drilled in/or near the proposed East and West Borrow Areas. These include ten borings (SHB78b-18,19,20,28,30,31,32,33,34 and DH-1,3) in the East Borrow (SHB, 1978b and CSI, 1980) and eight borings (SHB78a-52,53,54,55,56 and DH-6,7,8) in or near the West Borrow (SHB, 1978a and CSI, 1980). After reviewing surface elevations to account for previous borrow operations in these areas, the existing data on the remaining subsurface profile includes depth to rock, SPT, gradations, Atterberg limits, and water contents. Depth of alluvium above the sandstone or siltstone appears to vary between about 0 and 25 feet in the proposed West Borrow areas is generally classified as silty clay, sandy clay, silty sand, clayey sand, or clayey silt.

Laboratory data on samples from the lower alluvium includes, water content results ranging from 4 to 9 percent, dry densities ranging from 82 to 103 pcf, and two Atterberg limits tests indicate CL or CL-ML classification (liquid limits of 29 and 25 percent, plasticity indices of 14 and 6 percent). Gradation results indicate between 37 percent and 61 percent (by weight) passing the no. 200 sieve. Strength and consolidation testing was conducted on alluvium samples from DH-1 and DH-3 (CSI, 1980), located in the proposed East Borrow. Visual classification of the alluvium and SPT data are included on the referenced boring logs.

#### Conclusions

Based on the available data, and the geologic mapping of impoundment Area (Appendix B), the sampling plan has been developed to collect additional stratigraphy data on the thickness of the tailings in areas, where data is limited, specifically Borrow Pit No. 1 and the center of the Central Cell. The laboratory data previously collected provides index properties for the tailings, the embankment, and the underlying alluvium. The objectives of the proposed investigation will be to confirm these index properties and collect additional data on the consolidation and strength properties of the tailings, the strength properties of the alluvium and the embankment, and hydraulic properties (conductivity and soil water characteristic curves (SWCC)) on the tailings, the alluvium, and the Zone 3 sandstone.

### Attachments:

- Figure 1 Location of Historic Borings, Wells, and Geologic Cross Sections
- Figure 2 Location of Existing Wells, and Hydraulic Field Test Data
- Table 1 Church Rock Mill Site Impoundment Summary of Relevant Existing Geotechnical

   Data for the North and Central Cells

Mr. Lance Hauer, GE Summary of Relevant Geotechnical Data Page 5

### **References:**

### <u>D'Appolonia. 1981. State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division, Uranium Mill</u> <u>License renewal Application-Environmental Report License No. NM-UNC-ML, UNC</u> <u>Mining and Milling Church Rock Operations Division of United Nuclear Corporation.</u> <u>Vol. 1, Text and Tables. December.</u>

Canonie Environmental. 1991. Tailings Reclamation Plan As Approved by NRC March 1, 1991, License No. SUA-1475, Church Rock Site, Gallup, New Mexico. 3 Volumes. August.

Canonie Environmental. 1995. As-Built Report, Central Cell Final Reclamation, Church Rock Site, Gallup, New Mexico. June.

Civil Systems Inc. (CSI). 1980. Final Design Report Southeast Evaporation Ponds, for United Nuclear Corporation Church Rock Facility, Gallup, New Mexico. August.

Hemphill Corporation. 1969. Report of Soils and Foundation Investigation Church Rock Uranium Mill – United Nuclear Corporation, Gallup, New Mexico, for Kaiser Engineers. June 30.

MWH. 2012. Draft Supplemental Data Needs Evaluation and Work Plans For Removal Design, Northeast Church Rock Mine Site Removal Action. November 9.

Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith (SHB). 1974. Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Report, Tailings Dam. Church Rock Uranium Mill, United Nuclear Corporation. Church Rock, New Mexico. October.

Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith (SHB). 1976. *Geotechnical Investigation Report, Tailings Dam and Ponds, Church Rock Uranium Mill, United Nuclear Corporation.* Church Rock, New Mexico. May.

Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith (SHB). 1978a. *Geotechnical and Design Development Investigation Report, Tailings Dam and Ponds, Church Rock Uranium Mill, United Nuclear Corporation.* Church Rock, New Mexico. July.

- Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith (SHB). 1978b. *Engineering Analysis Report Embankment Volumes-Borrow Quantities, Tailings Disposal Systems Analysis, UNC Church Rock Mill Site*. Church Rock, New Mexico. October.
- Sergent, Hauskins & Beckwith (SHB). 1979. *Geotechnical Investigation Report, Stability and Integrity Assessment, Church Rock Uranium Mill, United Nuclear Corporation.* Church Rock, New Mexico. Volume 1. July.
- UNC Mining and Milling. 1986. Letter to Canonie Environmental Services Corporation, Re: Previous Geotechnical Data – Tailings & NECR. October 14.
- United Nuclear Corporation. 1993. Letter to Canonie Environmental Services Corporation. September 28.





							3.0.0	Field Dat	ta											Lab Data		
Report Reference	Boring no.	Surf. Elev. (ft)	Sample Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	SPT (bpf)	Torvane (tsf)	Perm (ft/year)	SG	LL (%)	PI (%)	(%) p.001 mm	(%) p.200	(%) p. 100	(%) p. No.10	(%) p. No.4	w.c. (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Consol	Std. Proctor	¢.
Hemphill 1969	6	6950	7.5-9	alluvium	Silt	SC					37	15		47				13		4		
	6	6950	40.5-41	sandstone	÷	-							6		4							
SHB 1974	SHB74-03	6954	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CH	18			12.000	62	45		70	87	99	100	6				
	SHB74-03	6954	10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	46				44	27		77	93	99	100	10				
	SHB74-03	6954	15	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	20				21	4		70	93			8				
	SHB74-03	6954	20	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	16					1 D U						4				
	SHB74-03	6954	25	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	23		4-44									3	-			
	SHB74-04	6949	5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	8	: i	4		-	NP		55	82	99	99	5				
	SHB74-04	6949	10	alluvium	Clay	CL	56		4		46	29		98	99		E.	12	104	X		
	SHB74-04	6949	15	alluvium	Clay	CL	45				23	4		53	82	97	100	14	104	X		
	SHB74-04	6949	20	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	11	All Life (* 1.544) ↔ 10 <sup>14</sup>			29	11	and the state	39	79	99	100	5				
	SHB74-04	6949	25	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	15	The second of the second		1 <u>1</u> .22				99798 - 21-117 - 1694 1 1	alandid on the state	1997-1997-1997-1997-1997-1997-1997-1997	19 <sup>10</sup> - Linie III - L	5				T
	SHB74-04	6949	30	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	15											4				T
	SHB74-05	6946	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	15	1799.00 C		2 "AL AL"	-	-	-	-	40			6	91	X	E.	T
	SHB74-05	6946	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	24				-	1.		-	1		1	10	105	X	1	T
	SHB74-05	6946	15	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	34				23	4		53				8		1		T
	SHB74-05	6946	20	sandstone		-	40		1				1					5				T
	SHB74-05	6946	25	sandstone	er same ogener en gegorge er sa	-	24		1. Call									10				1
and an	SHB74-05	6946	30	sandstone		-	28									-		13			1	1
	SHB74-06	6946	14	sandstone		-	-															+
	SHB74-39	6946	8 25	sandstone	End of Boring	SC	50/1								-							1
	51157 1 55	-	-	tailings	Cycloned Sands	-	00/2							4	9						-	1
SHB 1976	SHB76-05		0	alluvium	Sandy Silt	MI	2				1							8		,		1
5110 1570	SHB76-05		5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	MI	3							ter see all se	E Constantino de Cons E Constantino de Const			20				+
	SHB76-05		10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	9				36	15	Contraction of the second	98	99		1 - ALC - 1	29	Collections (Self-se		the providence of	1
	SHB76-05		9-18 5	alluvium	Clay	СН	13		0.0									22		-	+	1
	SHB76-05		19-25	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	9		1.7		-	NP		44	87			13				+
	SHB76-05		25	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	9							•				16				+
	SHB76-05		30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	12	a segurar annos										8				+
	SHB76-06		0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	6											8				+
yaan daga ka	SHB76-06	1	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay		12				32	13		81	96	100		9				+
	SHB76-06		10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	45				52	15		UI	50	100		8		1		+
	SHB76-00		0 16 5	alluvium	Sandy Cidy	MI	22		55		30	Q		87	98			11				+
	SHB76-06		20	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-MI	11		1.5		55			0/	50			6				+
			20	alluvium	Sandy Silt		6			1								8				+
			10 24 5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-IVIL	7		51	-								6				-
	SHB70-00		19-24.5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-IVIL	10	5.00 SI	5.1		1		1979 - 1979 - 1979		The second second	tipical or station?	2	Q	Contractor de la contra			+
	SHB70-00		30	alluvium	Sandy Silt		01								1			1/				-
nover the first of the second second	SHB76-06		40	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-IVIL	0									-		25				+
	SHB76-06		45	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-IVIL	10											25				+
all for all the states of the	SHB76-06		50	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-IVIL	10											22				+
	SHB76-06		55	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-IVIL	16											21		E CONTRACTOR E CON		+
	SHB76-06		60	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-IVIL	8											20		in and in the second	+	+
	SHB76-06		/0	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	9											25				+
	SHB/6-07	-	0	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	2			-	24	12		70	05	100		18				+
	SHB/6-07		5	alluvium	Sandy Clay		4				31	12		79	95	100		25			124 201	1
2.4.00000000000000000000000000000000000	SHB76-07		10-20	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.1		21	4	-	52	11	100		14			124.2@1	1
	SHB76-07		15	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	/		4.5		1.0	NP		5/	88	100	÷	15				+
11 	SHB76-07		18.5-27.5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	3		1.0			-						26		-		4
	SHB76-07		25	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	6				-							20				-
: 	SHB76-07		30	sandstone			50/1															-
	SHB76-07		35	sandstone			50	-								-	19 191-191-191-191-191-191-191-191-191-1	<u> </u>				-
	SHB76-08		0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	3			l			L					9				1

I. density (pcf) min-max	Triax.	Dir. Shear (phi, c (ksf)	Perm (ft/year)(1)	Location
				Central
	UC = 296 ksf	1		Central
				Central
				Central
				Central
	an a	and an and a second s	1. The second	Central
				Central
				Central
				Central
		42.5		Central
to be and the special second			engrand, ann an ann an ann	Central
	4			Central
				Central
		30.5		Central
				Central
and while a well, if only for a set of the				Central
				Central
				Central
		and a second	and a second sec	Central
				Central
1.79				North
		38.5	2375	•
				Central
	C. L'élange d'anné an trainn air air an trainn an t	10 1000 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Central
				North
				North
			0.179	North
				North
				North
				North
and a construction of the second s				North
		Construction of the second second		North
				North

								Field Dat	a							-			L	.ab Data						
		Surf.			···· ···														Dry							
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		ш	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
	SHB76-08		5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	2											22								North
	SHB76-08		10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	2											23								North
	SHB76-08		15	alluvium	Clay	CL	11											26								North
	SHB76-08		20	alluvium	Clay	CL	13				46	25		97	99			22								North
	SHB76-08		25	sandstone	-	-	23											17								North
	SHB76-08		30	shale	-	-	50/5											17								North
	SHB76-08		35	sandstone	-	-	50/0						_													North
	SHB76-09		0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	2											12								North
	SHB76-09		5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	7											29								North
	SHB76-09		10	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	5											22								North
	SHB76-09		15-25	alluvium	Clay	CL	13				38	21		78	94	100		25								North
	SHB76-09		20	alluvium	Clay	CL	21				46	25		94	98	100		21								North
	SHB76-09		25	alluvium	Clay	CL	22		1									22								North
	SHB76-09		30	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	10				_							31								North
	SHB76-09		35	alluvium	Clay	СН	14											26								North
	SHB76-09		40	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	1																			North
	SHB76-09		45	alluvium	Clay	СН	11											30								North
	SHB76-09		50	alluvium	Clay	СН	5											31								North
	SHB76-09		55	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	36											23								North
	SHB76-09		60	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	13											24								North
	SHB76-09		65	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	10											21								North
	SHB76-09		70	alluvium	Sandy Clay	SC	13											20								North
	SHB76-09		75	alluvium	_ Sandy Clay	SC	45											18								North
	SHB76-10		1.5-7.5	sandstone 3	-	-	50/3		15.3									9								North
	SHB76-10		5	sandstone 3	-	-	50/5											8								North
	SHB76-11	6957	0	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	6											9								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	10											6								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	26				27	10		67	90	100		10								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	9-18	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	25		1.1		26	10	-	78	94	100		16								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	18.5-27.5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	12		1.0									5								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	25	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	14											22								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	30	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	11											10								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	35	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	9					NP		55	94	100		10								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	40	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	9											11								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	45	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	12											18								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	50	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	15											9								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	55	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	21											10								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	60	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	10						<u>.                                    </u>					24	·						1	Central
	SHB76-11	6957	65	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	7											21								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	70	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	2											22								Central
	SHB76-11	6957	75	sandstone	-	-	38											13								Central
	SHB76-12		0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	16											12								Central
	SHB76-12		5	alluvium	Sandy Clay		21											6								Central
	SHB76-12		9-16	alluvium	Sandy Clay	_	27		12.0									10								Central
	SHB76-12		15	alluvium	Sandy Clay		6										-	6								Central
	SHB76-12		18.5-25	alluvium	Sandy Clay		16		14.5			NP		61	91	100		8								Central
	SHB76-12		25	alluvium	Sandy Clay		13										.	6								Central
	SHB76-12		30	alluvium	Sandy Sllt	ML	21											5								Central
	SHB76-12		35	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	54											12								Central
	SHB76-12		40	alluvium	Sandy Sllt	CL-ML	34											8						 		Central
	SHB76-12		45	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	23										. ↓	4							1	Central
	SHB76-12		50	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	18											5								Central

•

								Field Dat	а										ab Data						
		Surf.																Dry							
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		ĽL	PI (	%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p. (%) p	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10 No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	ocation
	SHB76-12		55	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	40										7							Ce	entral
-	SHB76-12		60	sandstone	-	-	50/1										9							Ce	entral
	SHB76-13		0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	13										9							Ce	entral
	SHB76-13		9-17.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	31		8.0		35	17		82	97		8							Ce	entral
	SHB76-13		10	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	40										4							Ce	entral
	SHB76-13		15	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	59										9							Ca	entral
	SHB76-13		18.5-25	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	24		1.3								10			· · · · · ·				Ce	entral
· · · ·	SHB76-13		25	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	22							-			4							C	entral
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SHB76-13		30	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	22										8				_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ce	entral
	SHB76-13		35	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CL-ML	28	· · · ·									6							C	entral
	SHB76-13		40	alluvium	Sandy Silt	CI-MI	26										8							Ce	entral
	SHB76-13		45	alluvium	Sandy Silt		29										8								entral
	SUB76-13		50	alluvium	Sandy Silt		20										5	+							entral
	SHD76-13		55	alluvium	Sandy Silt		30										7		1						entral
	SHD70-13		60	sandstone	Januy Site		50/4									· · · · ·	16		· · · · ·						ontral
CUD 1070-	SHD70-15	COC 4	00	sanustone	- Sandy Clay		- 0			-							11	+			-				ontrol
SHB 1978a	SHB-783-15	6964	0-2	dam	Sandy Clay		9										16		<u> </u>						entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	4.5-0	dam	Sandy Clay	-	21	0.00				10		75	07	100	10	114			1	+ (15 deg ) == 1600	nof		entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	9.5-10.5	dam	Sandy Clay		35	0.89			32	10		/5	93	100	14	114			μ <b>τ</b>	ot (15 deg.), c=1600	h21		entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	14.5-16	dam	Sandy Clay		58	0.99									13								entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	18.5-21	dam	Sandy Clay		/5										13								entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	24.5-25	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	44	0.95			42	22			90	99 100	16	116							entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	28.5-31	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	25	0.60									16							Ce	entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	34.5-36	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	12										21							Ce	entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	39.5-40.5	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	22	0.99									18	110					7, 1.45	Ce	entral
	SHB-78a-15	6964	44.5-45.5	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	26	0.67									23							Ce	entral
	SHB-78a-17	6962	0-1	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	14	0.42									10	110						N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	4.5-6	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	_27										15							N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	9.5-11	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	38	0.79									12							N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	14.5-16	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	41										11							N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	19.5-20.5	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	85	0.92			30	12		63	88	99 100	11	124				1 pt		N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	24.5-26	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	20	0.6									12							N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	29.5-31	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	12										24							N	orth
-	SHB-78a-17	6962	34.5-36	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	18	0.52									20	107						N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	39.5-41	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	19	0.2									19							N	orth
	SHB-78a-17	6962	44.5-46	alluvium	Clay	CL	15										24							N	orth
	SHB-78a-18	6959	0-1.5	dam	Clay	CL-SC	10										12							N	orth
	SHB-78a-18	6959	4.5-6	dam	Clay	CL-SC	52										12							N	orth
	SHB-78a-18	6959	9.5-11	dam	Clay	CL-SC	30										12	115						N	orth
	SHB-78a-18	6959	14.5-16	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	4										30							N	orth
-	SHB-78a-18	6959	19.5-20.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	3										27							N	orth
	SHB-78a-19	6965	0-2	dam	Sandy Clay	CL		,									12							N	orth
	SHB-78a-19	6965	2-3.5	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	125	0.99			29	13		61	86	100	10	126					49, 1.69	N	orth
	SHB-78a-19	6965	3.5-4.5	đam	Sandy Clay	CL	50	0.83									10				-			N	orth
	SHB-78a-19	6965	4.5-7	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	43										12	+ -						N	orth
	SHB-78a-19	6965	7-9.5	dam	Sandy Clay	CI											10							N	orth
	SHB-78a-19	6965	9,5-11	dam	Sandy Clay		82	0.69			23	8		50	72	97 98	10	114					38.0.31	N	orth
	SHB-78a-19	6965	11-13 5	dam	Sandy Clay		30	5.05						50			8								orth
	SHR-78-19	6965	13 5-16	alluvium	Silty Sand												18	+							orth
	SHB-782-10	6965	17 5-21	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	2										21								orth
	SHR-78- 10	6965	20-21 5	alluvium	Cilty Sand		10										21	94	Y						orth
	SUD 70- 10	60CE	20-21.3	alluvium	Silty Sand		10										.20		<u> </u>						orth
	2HP-189-16	COCC	24-25.5	alluvium			32							-			20	<u> </u>							orth
	SHB-/8a-19	6965	25.5-28	alluvium	Clay	CL	22										26							N	orth

		r			· · · · ·		T	Field Dat	а				_							ab Data						
		Surf.			····		+												Dry					1		
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
	SHB-78a-19	6965	28-29.5	alluvium	Clav	CL	28				<u> </u>	•		-												North
	SHB-78a-19	6965	29.5-32	alluvium	Clay	CL	20											26					<u> </u>			North
	SHB-78a-19	6965	32-33.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	13	0.16				NP		46	88	100		20	111							North
	SHB-78a-19	6965	33.5-36	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	16					_	····					25								North
	SHB-78a-19	6965	36-37.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	CL	51				41	22		74	94	100		23	100							North
	SHB-78a-19	6965	37.5-40	alluvium	Clay	СН	43											24								North
	SHB-78a-19	6965	40-41.5	alluvium	Clay	СН	34	0.83			61	38		73	80	100		25	99				1 pt			North
·····	SHB-78a-19	6965	41.5-44	alluvium	Clay	СН	22											28					· · · ·			North
	SHB-78a-19	6965	44-45	alluvium	Clay	СН	22	0.13	1		67	41		78	87	100		27	96							North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	0-1.5	dam	Clay	CL	7											15							······································	North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	4.5-6	dam	Clay	CL	49	0.79										11								North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	9.5-10.5	dam	Clay	CL	40	0.67			28	13		63	86	99	100	10	111							North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	14.5-16	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	19											10								North
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SHB-78a-20	6964	19.5-20.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	5					NP		16	35			23	96	-					5.5	North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	24.5-25.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	СН	15	0.33								1		29	93							North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	29.5-31	alluvium	Clav	СН	12																			North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	34.5-35.5	alluvium	Clay	СН	12	0.84										26								North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	39.5-40.5	alluvium	Clav	СН	13	0.93			57	31		93	96	97	98	26	97				1 pt			North
	SHB-78a-20	6964	44.5-46	alluvium	Clav	СН	17											27					•			North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	0-1.5	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	16											14						1		North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	4.5-6	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	55				34	16		77	90			13								North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	9.5-10.5	dam	Sandy Clay	CL	51	0.55										5	107							North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	14.5-15.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	13	0.67										12								North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	19.5-21	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	4				39	21		72	88	1		29								North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	24.5-25.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	18	0.96										22	105					25.5.0.05		North
=	SHB-78a-21	6964	29.5-30.5	alluvium	Clav	СН	19	0.73										24								North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	34.5-36	alluvium	Clay	СН	17											23								North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	39.5-40.5	alluvium	Clay	CH	27	0.72			50	32		84	94			22	102				1 pt			North
	SHB-78a-21	6964	44.5-45.5	alluvium	Clay	CH	19	0.87						-				19					- 1			North
	SHB-78a-22	6956	0-1.0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	4											6	93							North
	SHB-78a-22	6956	1.0-3.0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	9											9	-				<u>.</u>			North
	SHB-78a-22	6956	3.0-5.0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	11											11	100				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			North
·	SHB-78a-22	6956	5.0-7.0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	3											12				·				North
	SHB-78a-22	6956	7.0-9.0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	10				26	10		55	78	100		21	103			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 pt			North
	SHB-78a-22	6956	9.0-11.0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	3				27	10		56	69			31								North
	SHB-78a-22	6956	11-13.0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	10											34	105				·			North
	SHB-78a-22	6956	13-15.0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	3											-								North
	SHB-78a-24	6955	0-1.5	tailings	Silty Sand	SM												9	84							Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	1.5-3.5	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	4												-					-		Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	3.5-5.5	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	2/30											23								Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	5.5-8	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	1	· · · · · ·				NP		13	25	100		19								Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	8-10.0	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	3/18											36								Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	10.0-12	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	7											27					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	12-14.5	alluvium	Sandy Clav	CL												24		<u> </u>						Central
·····	SHB-78a-24	6955	14.5-16.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	14	0.43			42	23		83	93			24	99				1 pt			Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	16.5-18.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	2											29								Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	18.5-21	alluvium	Sandy Clav	CL	<u> </u>											22	81							Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	21-23.0	alluvium	Clav	CL	39	0.69			42	21		87	97	98	100	21	106				1 pt	-		Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	23.0-25	alluvium	Clav	CL	15											19								Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	25-27.5	alluvium	Clav	CL	1											23	83							Central
	SHB-78a-24	6955	27.5-30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	9											24								Central
·	SHB-78a-25	6958	6-8.5	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	20	0.06				NP		14	25	98	100	9								Central
			1											i		1									<u>_</u>	

								Field Dat	а									•	L	.ab Data						
		Surf.																	Dry							
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	1
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
	SHB-78a-25	6958	17-18.0	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	7					NP		14	25	99	100	20								Ċentral
	SHB-78a-25	6958	19.5-22	alluvium	Sandy Clay-Clayey Sand	CL-SC	2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	····									27								Central
	SHB-78a-25	6958	22.0-24	alluvium	Sandy Clay-Clayey Sand	CL-SC	5	÷			35	17		59	81			27								Central
	SHB-78a-25	6958	24.0-26	alluvium	Sandy Clay-Clayey Sand	CL-SC	8	0.1								<u> </u>		29	93				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	Central
	SHB-78a-25	6958	26-28.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay-Clayey Sand	CL-SC		0.32								+		21	64							Central
	SHB-78a-25	6958	28.5-30.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay-Clayey Sand	CL-SC	4				28	12		42	79			21								Central
	SHB-78a-25	6958	30.5-32.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay-Clayey Sand	CL-SC	16											21					·			Central
	SHB-78a-25	6958	32 5-33 5	alluvium	Sandy Clay-Clayey Sand	CI-SC		0.8										19	108				· · ·	30.0		Central
	SHB-78a-26	6962	65-9	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	8		<u>~</u>			NP		22	43			10	96					30.0		Central
	SHB-78a-26	6962	8 0-10 5	tailings	Silty Sand	SM												19								Central
	SHB-78a-26	6962	10 5-12 5	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	2							-				21						33.0		Central
	SHB-782-26	6962	12 5-14 5	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	<u>م</u>											17	99						-	Central
	SHR-78-20	6962	15 5 19	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	3					NP		14	23	100		17					<u> </u>			Central
	SHP 79- 26	6962	10 5 22	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	2/18"					NP		20	23	100		18						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Central
		6962	21 22	tailings	Silty Sand	SM	2/10	· ·						20	55			24								Central
•		6062	21-25	alluvium	Sandy Clay		10										· · · · · ·	27					·			Central
<del>.</del>		0902	23-23.3	alluvium	Sandy Clay		10											27			-		<u></u>			Control
	SHB-78a-20	0902	25.5-27.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay		10									-		27	02							Control
	SHB-78a-26	6962	27.5-30	alluvium	Sandy Clay		7				20	22		70	01	100		25	05							Control
	SHB-78a-26	6962	31-32.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay		- /	0.55			59	22		/0	91	100		20								Central
	SHB-78a-26	6962	32-34	alluvium	Sandy Clay		/	0.55										22	110							Central
	SHB-78a-26	6962	34-36.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay			0.92					u n					20	110							Central
	SHB-78a-26	6962	36.5-37.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay		14											22	····					]		Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	3.5-5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	10											20	05							Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	5-10.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	13											1/	95							Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	9.5-11	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	3				3/	15		86	95			29						[		Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	14.5-15.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	16				42	21		66	/8	92	94	24	94							Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	19.5-21	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	2				31	11		89	98			31								Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	25-30.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	7											27	94							Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	30.5-35	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	8									<u> </u>		25				·				Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	35-40.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	20											22	100							Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	40.5-45	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	7											22								Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	44.5-45.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	9				_40	20		80	93		ļ	21								Central
	SHB-78a-27	6957	50.5-51	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	3											24								Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	4.5-6	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	15				41	21		92	98			21	101							Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	5.5-10	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	19										ļ	17								Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	10-15.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	7											18	102							Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	15.5-20	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	15											15								Central
-	SHB-78a-28	6955	20-25.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	4											31	85							Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	25.5-30	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	3											30								Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	30-35.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	10											26	97							Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	34.5-36	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	3	<u></u>			36	16		90	98			23								Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	40.5-45.5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	8											24	95							Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	45.5-49.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	19											25								Central
	SHB-78a-28	6955	49.5-49.6	sandstone	-	-	50/1"						····													Central
			bulk	tailings		SM-SP		<u></u>				NP		5	11			18	98			90.5 / 107.8		34.5, 0.13		Central
			bulk	tailings												-		18	100					37, 0.22		Central
			bulk	tailings														18	102					39, 0.15		Central
	SHB-78a-52	6988	5.0-10	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC			_		27	11		43	73	95	98	7								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-52	6988	20	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL																				W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-52	6988	25	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL																				W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-52	6988	30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM																	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-52	6988	35	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL																			. 1	W. Borrow

.

								Field Dat	a						_					Lab Data						
Report		Surf. Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		u	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Dry Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
	SHB-78a-52	6988	39.5	total depth	Silty Clay	CL	-																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	0	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	5											8					<del>.</del>			
	SHB-78a-53	6985	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	3											6								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	7									L		7								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	15	alluvium	Silty Sand & Gravel	SM	14											6						-		W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	20	alluvium	Silty Sand & Gravel	SM	14											4								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	25	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	19											6								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	22											9								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	35	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM ·	20											7					<u>.                                    </u>			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	40	alluvium	Silty Sand & Gravel	SM	24											6								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-53	6985	41	total depth	Silty Sand & Gravel	SM	-																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-54	6985	4.5-8.5	sandstone	-	-	-																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	5									ļ										W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	9																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	17																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	15	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	25																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	20	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	30														··· <u>·</u>					W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	24.5-26	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	41				29	_14		49	72	95	98	8								W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	39																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	35	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	42																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	40	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	38									ļ							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	45	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	47																			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-55	6995	50-51	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	30	·											 				/			W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-56	6993	0-4.5	sandstone		-																				W. Borrow
	SHB-78a-64	6973	.5-2	alluvium	Silty Sand & Gravel	SC-SM	81				23	7		46	64	87	94	7								North
	SHB-78a-64	6973	2-4.5	sandstone	-	SM						NP		27	54	64	68	7								North
	SHB-78a-64	6973	4.5-6	sandstone	-	GM	50/.5"				20	NP		25	28	46	54	5								North
	SHB-78a-68	6989	1-5.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	20												5							Central
	SHB-78a-68	6989	4.5-6	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	18				20	NP		31	48	67	74		4						·	Central
	SHB-78a-68	6989	9.5-11	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	17				21	NP		40	60	70	80		6							Central
	SHB-78a-68	6989	14.5-16	alluvium	Clayey Silt	CL	88				32	12		93	95	100			9							Central
	SHB-78a-68	6989	19.5-22	Sandstone	-	-	50/3"			· ·									8							Central
	SHB-78a-68	6989	22.5-24.5	Sandstone	-		50/3"					24			00	100			9							Central
	SHB-78a-74	6963	0-10	alluvium	Silty Clay						31	31		72	86	100		9								Central
· · · · · ·	SHB-78a-76	6965	0-5	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL					33	16		/1	//	100		/							-	Central
	SHB-78a-76	6965	5-10	alluvium	Silty Clay						42	21		79	92	100		10						-		Central
	SHB-78a-76	6965	16-19.5	alluvium	Sandy Silt						23	NP		52	84	100	100	5								Central
	SHR-189-18	6980	b-10	alluvium	Slity Sand	SIVI		A			23		<u> </u>	48	67	50	100	10	· · · ·				-			Central
	SHB-/88-/8	6980	17-20	alluvium	Clayey Sand	<u> </u>					20	9		49	10	00	0/	10								Control
	SHB-/83-/8	6980	22-25	alluvium			15	·			33	12	·• ·	/5	90	- 33	100	72								Central
		6075	0.5-2	alluvium			20											/								Central
		6075	4.3-0	alluvium	Silty Clay		21									-		9 /								Central
		6075	9.5-11	alluvium			21							<u> </u>				4						-		Central
		6075	14.5-10	alluvium			20											10						-	<b></b> ,	Central
		6075	27 2-21	alluvium	Silty Cldy		20											10								Central
		600E	050	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	10											<u> </u>								Central
		C060	152	alluvium	Silty Sand		20		1			ND		26	51	97	01	7								Central
	SHE-70-02	6005	4.5-0	alluvium	Silty Sand		1/	· · · · · ·			-	ND		20	70	0/	94	<u> </u>								Central
	SHB-79-92	6995	12-14 5	sandstone	Sirry Saliu		50/1"				-				47	- 34		+								Central
	SUB-79-95	2020	12-14.5	alluvium	- Silty Sand		011											2								Central
		6002	156	alluvium	Silty Clay		17		ļ		22	15		60	77	100		6								Central
	2018-189-82	0983	4.5-0	alluvium	Silly Clay	UL	1/		l		55	12		00	11	100		0	1							Central

-

.

								Field Dat	ta											Lab Data						
		Surf.																	Dry							
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
	SHB-78a-85	6983	9.5-11	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	17					NP		34	62	94	97	3								Central
	SHB-78a-85	6983	14.5-16	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	26				35	16		80	93	100		8								Central
	SHB-78a-85	6983	19.5-21	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	16				34	15		74	87	98	99	8								Central
	SHB-78a-85	6983	24.5-26	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	23											4								Central
	SHB-78a-85	6983	29.5-31	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	21											7								Central
SHB, 1978b	SHB78b-1	6996	0	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	32																			Central
	SHB78b-1	6996	5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	14				26	NP		54	85	98	100	6			-					Central
	SHB78b-1	6996	10	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	24																			Central
	SHB78b-1	6996	15	sandstone	-	-	50/0.5"	•								1										Central
	SHB78b-1	6996	20	sandstone	-		50/1"	[																		Central
	SHB78b-1	6996	25	Shale	-	-	50/2"									-										Central
	SHB78b-2	6990	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	7																			Central
	SHB78b-2	6990	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	36																			Central
	SHB78b-2	6990	10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	37				25	10		53	72	90	95	7								Central
	SHB78b-2	6990	15	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	17																			Central
	SHB78b-2	6990	20	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	15																			Central
	SHB78b-2	6990	25	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	10										1									Central
··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SHB78b-2	6990	. 30	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	18	<u> </u>								1										Central
	SHB78b-3	6991	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	8																			Central
	SHB78b-3	6991	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	32																			Central
	SHB78b-3	6991	10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	24																			Central
	SHB78b-3	6991	15	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	15				-	NP		48	91	100		5								Central
	SHB78b-3	6991	20	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	18																			Central
	SHB78b-3	6991	25	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	20																			Central
	SHB78b-3	6991	30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	27										1	1		1						Central
	SHB78b-4	6994	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	10														1			-		Central
	SHB78b-4	6994	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	40				34	16		79	95	100		8		1	-					Central
······································	SHB78b-4	6994	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	11											1						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Central
	SHB78b-4	6994	15	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	12										1									Central
	SHB78b-4	6994	25	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	21										+									Central
	SHB78b-4	6994	30	alluvium	Clavey Sand	SC	33										<u> </u>	1		-						Central
	SHB78b-5	6998	0	alluvium	Clavey Sand	SC	7													+						Central
	SHB78b-5	6998	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	39				32	15		76	91	100		7								Central
	SHB78b-5	6998	10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	21																			Central
	SHB78b-5	6998	15	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	16				30	13		62	80	100		8								Central
	SHB785-5	6998	20	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CI	19							02												Central
	SHB78b-5	6998	25	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	29											-		1						Central
	SHB785-5	6998	30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	23											+	-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Central
	SHB78b-6	7002	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL-MI	10											· ·								Central
	SHB78h-6	7002	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CI-MI	12				24	5		61	84	98	100	5			· · ·					Central
	SHR78h-6	7002	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	38				~ 7						1.00			1						Central
	SHR78h-6	7002	15	alluvium	Clavey Sand	SIT SIT	15													+						Central
	SHR78h-6	7002	20	alluvium	Clavey Sand	<u>sc</u>	55	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		Central
	SHR78h-6	7002	25	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	35												1							Central
	SHR785-6	7002	30	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-5C	19								· · · · · ·			+								Central
	SHB78h-7	6027	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay		22												-				<u> </u>			Central
	SHB786-7	6987	5	alluvium	Sandy Clay		ΔΔ	·							· · · ·								· .			Central
	SHB786-7	6097	10	alluvium	Silty Sand		12				22	ND		44	85	00		<u></u>								Central
	SHB786-7	6987	15	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	26	·	<u> </u>		2.5	141.		+ ++	00			,			· · · ·					Central
	SUB200-7	6097	20	anuvium	Sandy Clay		56												+							Central
	SHB700-7	6097	20	alluvium	Silty Cond		22												-							Central
		6007	20	alluvium	Clayov Sand		23 E2																			Central
	JUR/20-/	אפס	30	anuvium		SIVI	53	1						I												Central

.

								Field Dat	а										L	.ab Data				<u></u>		
	·····	Surf.																	Dry							
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		ш	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
	SHB78b-7	6987	35	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	35																			Central
	SHB78b-7	6987	40	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	72																			Central
	SHB78b-7	6987	45	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	26															ļ				Central
	SHB78b-7	6987	50	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	40													ļ						Central
	SHB78b-7	6987	55	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	21																			Central
	SHB78b-7	6987	60	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	37																			Central
	SHB78b-8	6985	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	10																			Central
	SHB78b-8	6985	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	14																			Central
	SHB/8b-8	6985	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	16																· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Control
	SHB78b-8	6985	15	alluvium	Silty Sand	SIVI	14																			Control
	SHB/8D-8	6985	20	alluvium	Slity Clay		18				22	14		04	00			12								Central
	SHB78D-8	6985	25	alluvium	Silty Clay		42				33	14		94	99			15								Central
		2000 7007	30	alluvium	Silty Cond		42																<u></u> .			Central
		7007		allunium			2/							+											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Central
	300705 0	7007	5 10	alluvium	Lidy Silty Sond		50				12	18		92	Q/I	٩ø	100	11						<u> </u>		Central
		7007	10	alluvium	Silty Sand		27				42	10			54	50	100									Central
	SHE704 10	7007	0	alluvium	Silty Sand		2/ Q																			Central
	SHB780-10	7000	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	2																			Central
	SHB786-10	7000	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	18					NP		22	43	69	87	4						· · · · · ·	·	Central
	SHB785-10	7000	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	10				-			~~~		05	07									Central
	SHB784-10	7000	20	sandstone	Jirty Janu		100/1"	······			.											·				Central
	SHR786-19	7018	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	8												· · ·							E. Borrow
	SHR78h-18	7010	45	alluvium	Sandy Clay	 	14				26	11		52	79	95	99	4						1		E. Borrow
	SHB78b-18	7018	12	alluvium	Clav	CH	41											· ·								E. Borrow
	SHB78h-18	7018	14.5	shale	-	-	100/6			-	32	11		92	98	100		38						-		E. Borrow
	SHB785-18	7018	20	shale			100/8"																			E. Borrow
	SHR78h-18	7018	25	shale	-	-	100/5"														1			-		E. Borrow
	SHB78b-19	7032	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	7																			E. Borrow
	SHB78b-19	7032	4.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	24				22	NP		41	64	88	94	5								E. Borrow
	SHB78b-19	7032	9	sandstone		-	100/0"																			E. Borrow
	SHB78b-20	7053	3	sandstone	Silty Sand	SM-SC	9												· ··					1 .		E. Borrow
	SHB78b-28	7052	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	27				-											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				E. Borrow
	SHB78h-28	7052	7	sandstone	-		100/8"																			E. Borrow
	SHB78h-30	7045	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay		15																			E. Borrow
	SHB78b-30	7045	4.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	39				27	11		55	73	86	92	8								E. Borrow
	SHB78h-30	7045	7	sandstone	-		100/0"																			E. Borrow
	SHB78h-30	7045	, 15	sandstone	-	-	100/0"																			E. Borrow
	SHB78h-31	7016	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	6														+	· · ·				E. Borrow
	SHB78h-31	7016	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	16																			E. Borrow
	SHB78b-31	7016	9.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	42		-		26	11		52	83	99	100	5								E. Borrow
	SHB78b-31	7016	15	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	26												<u> </u>							E. Borrow
	SHB78h-31	7016	20	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	35																			E. Borrow
	SHB785-31	7016	25	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	35							_												E. Borrow
	SHB78b-31	7016	30	alluvium	Silty Clay	CL	43																			E. Borrow
	SHB78h-32	7023	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	7																· · · ·	1		E. Borrow
	SHB78h-32	7023	45	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	13				-	NP		29	70	100										E. Borrow
	SHB78h-32	7023	10	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL-MI	23					+														E. Borrow
	SHB78h-32	7023	15	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CI-MI	26																			E. Borrow
	SHB78b-32	7023	20	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL-MI	26																			E. Borrow
	SHB78h-32	7023	24.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CI-MI	50		·		25	6		61	97	100		6								E. Borrow
	JED/00-32	1023	27.3	anaviditi	Januy Clay	CL-IVIL			I		2.2			1 <u>0</u> 1					1	1	.L		_			

								Field Dat	a									_	L	ab Data		
		Surf.			· ·														Dry		-	
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	r
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	
	SHB78b-32	7023	30	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL-ML	50															
	SHB78b-33	7025	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	7															
	SHB78b-33	7025	4.5	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	19				27	9		51	77	100		7				
	SHB78b-33	7025	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	19															
	SHB78b-33	7025	14.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	32				21	NP		47	86	100		5				
	SHB78b-33	7025	20	alluvium	Clayey Silt	ML	16															
	SHB78b-33	7025	25	alluvium	Clayey Silt	ML	50															
	SHB78b-34	7037	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	10															
	SHB78b-34	7037	5	alluvium	Clayey Silt	ML	15															
	SHB78b-34	7037	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	39															
	SHB78b-34	7037	14.5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	12				18	NP		40	69	98	.98	5				
	SHB78b-34	7037	20	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	40															
	SHB78b-34	7037	25	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	23															
	SHB78b-34	7037	30	alluvium	Clayey Silt	ML-CL	20															
SHB, 1979	SHB-79-9	6967	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	11											19				
	SHB-79-9	6967	5	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	59			Ì								12				
	SHB-79-9	6967	10	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	85															
	SHB-79-9	6967	15	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	27											11				
	SHB-79-9	6967	20	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	11											5				
	SHB-79-9	6967	25	alluvium	Clay	СН	11											21				
	SHB-79-9	6967	30	alluvium	Clay	CH	31															
	SHB-79-9	6967	35	alluvium	Clay	СН	13											26				L
	SHB-79-9	6967	40	alluvium	Clay	СН	6											31			<u> </u>	
	SHB-79-9	6967	45	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	12											21			L	
	SHB-79-9	6967	50	sandstone	-	-	17											19				L
	SHB-79-9	6967	55	sandstone	-		50/0"															╞
	SHB-79-10	6967	0	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	33											12			<u> </u>	$\downarrow$
	SHB-79-10	6967	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SC-SM	42							L				14			ļ	$\downarrow$
	SHB-79-10	6967	10	alluvium	Silty Sand	SC	54														<u> </u>	╞
	SHB-79-10	6967	15	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	29											14			ļ	$\perp$
	SHB-79-10	6967	20	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	26													-	<u> </u>	$\downarrow$
	SHB-79-10	6967	25	sandstone	-	-	50/0"									ļ						ŀ
	SHB-79-11	6967	30	sandstone	-																	╞
	SHB-79-12	6968	0	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	46	·													<u> </u>	⊢
	SHB-79-12	6968	5	tailings	Sand	SP	11			<u> </u>												
	SHB-79-12	6968	10	tailings	Sand	SP	5															╞
	SHB-79-12	6968	15	tailings	Sand	SP	2					<u> </u>									<u> </u>	+-
	SHB-79-12	6968	20	tailings	Sand	<u> </u>																┢
	SHB-79-12	6968	25	tailings	Sand	SP	12															┢
	SHB-79-12	6968	30	tailings	· Sand	SP CD	9									<u> </u>					<u> </u>	┢
	SHB-79-12	6968	35	tailings	Sand	5P	13														<u> </u>	┢
	SHB-79-12	6968	40	alluvium	Clay	CH	10	!														⊢
	SHB-79-12	6968	45	alluvium	Sanu Siltu Sand		<u> </u>															┢
	SHB-79-12	6968	50	alluvium	Silly Sanu	5101-50	6															┢
	SHB-79-12	6968		alluvium	Clavay Sand		25															┢
	SHB-79-13	6968	- U	alluvium	Clayey Sand	30	35															⊢
	SUB 70 13	0900	5 10	Tailings		SD SC	/															+
	SHD-79-13	6060	10	Tailings	Janu ·	SP-SC SD_SC	1															┢
	SHR_70_12	6060	20	Tailings	Sand	SP-3C SP_SC	1															$\vdash$
	SHP-79-13	60500	20	Tailings	Janu	SP-3C	1														<u> </u>	┢
	SHD-79-13	6060	20	Tailings	bnc2	SP-SC CD_CC	10	1					+									┢
	2010-79-13	0300	00	ranngs	Saliu	1 22-20	1 10	1	ļ	I	ļ	I				L		L	l		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

el. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
				E. Borrow
				North
				Central
	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Central
				Central
			-	Central
				Central
	<u></u>			Central
				Central
	······································			Central
			-	Central
				Central

								Field Dat	a					Lab Data							) Data						
		Surf.																	Dry								
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm		
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location	
	SHB-79-13	6968	35	Tailings	Sand	SP-SC	19					<u> </u>						. ,								Central	
	SHB-79-13	6968	40	alluvium	Clay	СН	8				+															Central	
	SHB-79-13	6068	40	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM	<u>a</u>																			Central	
	SHD 70 14	6069	-+	alluvium			15		1			{						17		-			,			North	
	SHD-79-14	6968	<u> </u>	alluvium	Clay		15											- 17								NUITI	
	SHB-79-14	6968	5	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	- 4										1	15								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	10	tailings	Sand	SP-SU	2											22								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	15	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	8				-							41								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	20	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	10											34								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	25	alluvium	Sandy Clay	CL	5											36								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	30	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	5											24								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	35	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	7											26 <sup>/</sup>								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	40	alluvium	Clay	CH	8								_			9								North	
	SHB-79-14	6968	45	alluvium	Clay	СН	23					-						32								North	
	SHB-79-15	6966	0	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC	26											12								North	
	SHB-79-15	6966	5	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	6											12		<u> </u>						North	
	SHB-79-15	6966	10	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	1																	···		North	
	SHB-79-15	6966	15	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	2								_			45								North	
	SHB-79-15	6066	20	alluvium	Sand	SP_SC												36						· · · · ·		North	
	SHD-79-13	0900	20	alluvium	Sand		0											30								North	
	SHD-79-15	0900	25	alluvium	Sand	SP-SC	0								_			20									
	SHB-79-15	6966	30	alluvium	Sand	SP-SC	2											21					· · · · ·				
	SHB-79-15	6966	35	alluvium	Sand	SP-SC	4											22								North	
	SHB-79-15	6966	40	alluvium	Sand	SP-SC	8											23								North	
	SHB-79-15	6966	45	alluvium	Clay	СН	11											29								North	
	SHB-79-16	6968	0	alluvium	Clayey Sand	SC_	50/.5"											8								North	
	SHB-79-16	6968	5	sandstone	-	-	50/2"											6								North	
	SHB-79-17	6967	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	24																		4	Central	
	SHB-79-17	6967	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	17					1														Central	
	SHB-79-17	6967	10	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	50/5"									1										Central	
	SHB-79-18	6967	0	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	57																			Central	
	SHB-79-18	6967	5	alluvium	Silty Sand	SM-SC	8													_						Central	
	SHB-79-18	6967	10	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	4	· · ·								1										Central	
	SHB-79-18	6967	15	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	19																			Central	
	SHB-79-18	6967	20	tailings	Sand		11																			Control	
		6067	20	tailings	Sand		27									<u> </u>					· · · ···					Control	
,	5110-79-16	6967	25	tailings	Sand											<u> </u>							<u>.</u>				
	SHB-79-18	6967	30	tailings	Sand	SP-SU	9																				
••	SHB-79-18	6967	35	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	10																			Central	
	SHB-79-18	6967	40	tailings	Sand	SP-SC	19																			Central	
	SHB-79-18	6967	45	tailings	Sand	СН	75																			Central	
CSI, 1980	DH-1	7016	4	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt to	SM-SL	14																			E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	6	alluvium	Clayey Silty Sand	SM-SL	18									1										E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	8	alluvium		SM-SL	13																			E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	12	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML	13											6.1	91	X						E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	15	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML	18		-									5.2	82							E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	19	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML				2.65		_						5.5	88	С						E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	20	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML	18									1		5.5	85			· · [	CU			E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	21	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt	ML										1		5.2	84					-		E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	24	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt	ML	17				-							-					· · · · ·			E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	29	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt	MI	19																			E. Borrow	
	DH-1	7016	3/	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	MI					├						-			<u> </u>			· · · · · · ·			E Borrow	
		7010	20	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt						$\vdash$												<u> </u>			E Borrow	
		7010	25	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	IVIL	22											-						I		L. DUITOW	
	UH-1	1010	/0		Sandy Clayey Slit	IVIL	_ 2/											- 10 -								E. BOTTOW	
	DH-2	7082	2	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML												18.4	101							5. Central	

	-			Field Data								Lab Data														
		Surf.																Ī	Dry							
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
	DH-2	7082	5	alluvium	Sandy Silt	ML	6	· · · · ·										5.3	78							S. Central
	DH-2	7082	6	alluvium	Sandy Silt	MI				-								4.5	93				UU			S. Central
	DH-2	7082		alluvium	Sandy Silt	MI	8											4.6	88							S Central
· · · · ·	DH-2	7082	11	alluvium	Sandy Silt	MI	17							1				4.0	105						×	S. Central
		7082	15	alluvium	Sandy Silt	MI	17			+	+							7.8	88							S Central
		7002	<u></u>	candstone	Silty Sand		50/1"		·	-								1.0								S. Contral
	01-2	7082	22	sanustone	Silty Saliu	-	50/1											\$ 								S. Central
	DH-2	7082	25	sandstone	-	-	50/1					-									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					S. Central
	DH-2	7082		sandstone	•	-	50/1			+	-			~~~			- 100		105							S. Central
	DH-3	7043	2	alluvium		SM-ML								37	76		100	11./	105	C					-	E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	5	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt to	SM-ML	12			2.66								6.5	97	S		-				E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	6	alluvium	Clayey Silty Sand	SM-ML	12								·											E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043		alluvium		SM-ML	13																	· · ·		E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	12	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML	9						24	87	96		99	9.4	90							E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	13	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML												7.1	83	C						E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	16	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML	10					1						5.8	94							E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	20	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML	11							37	66		90	5.5	103				CU		416	E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	25	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt	ML	14											6.1	87							E. Borrow
· ···	DH-3	7043	26	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML		·		+								5.4	88				ບບ			E. Borrow
	DH-3	7043	29	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	MI					-		14	55	91	· · · -	100									F Borrow
	DH-3	7043	21	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	MI	12											65	90		1					E Borrow
		7043	25	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	MI	16			+								0.5		ļ		h				E Borrow
		7043	16	total donth	Sandy Cidyey Silt		10		· ·															-		E Borrow
	DH-5	7045	40		Sandy Silt to Silty Sand		40																			E. BOITOW
	DH-4	7019	4	alluvium	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM-ML	50			-														_		S. Central
	DH-4	7019	6	siltstone	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	50																			S. Central
	DH-4	7019	8	siltstone	-	-	50		ļ		ļ								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							S. Central
	DH-4	7019	10	siltstone	-	-	100																	_	<u> </u>	S. Central
	DH-4	7019	15	siltstone	-	-	50																			S. Central
	DH-4	7019	20	siltstone		-	50																			S. Central
	DH-4	7019	25	siltstone	-	-	50				•															S. Central
	DH-4	7019	29	sandstone	-	-	100																			S. Central
	DH-4	7019	40	total depth	-	-	100			1																S. Central
	DH-6	7012	4	alluvium	Sandy Clayey Silt	ML	17																			W. Borrow
	DH-6	7012	6	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt	ML	50													_						W. Borrow
	DH-6	7012	8	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt	MI	50				-													_		W Borrow
	DH-6	7012	10	alluvium	Sandy Clavey Silt	· MI	50			-																W Borrow
		7012	15	siltstone	Januy Clayey Silt	IVIL	50		1																	W Borrow
		7012		siltet			50			-	-								····							W. DOITOW
	DH-6	7012	20	slitstone	-	-	50						<u> </u>					1								W. Borrow
	DH-6	7012		siltstone		-	100																	_		W. Borrow
	DH-6	/012	30	siltstone	-	-	100	· · · · ·	1		·			 					 							W. Borrow
	DH-6	7012	40	total depth	-	-	100													L						W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	4	alluvium	Clayey Silt to Sandy	м	50																			
		1022		anaviani	Clayey Silt		50																			W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	6	siltstone	-	-	50																			W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	9	siltstone	-	-	50																			W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	11	siltstone	-	-	50																			W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	15	siltstone	-	-	50		1		-															W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	20	sandstone	-	-	50									1								· [		W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	25	siltstone	-	- 1	50	<b>-</b> <u></u>			-	- <u> </u>							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	30	siltstone	-	-	50			1	1											<u>├</u> ────				W. Borrow
	DH-7	7022	40	siltstone	-	-	50			+		+ +														W Borrow
		7022	50	total denth			50																			W Borrow
		6000					50																			W. Dorrow
	UH-8	6998	4			IVIL-CL	50																			VV. Borrow

-

								Field Dat	a										L	ab Data						
		Surf.																	Dry							
Report		Flev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm	
Peference	Boring no	(4)	Donth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	lises	(hnf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	sa	(%)	(%)	, p	p.200	100	No 10	No 4	(%)	(ncf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location
Reference	Boring no.		Depth (IL)	Formation			(001)	(131)	(it/year)	30	(70)	(70)		p.200	100	140.10	110.4	(70)		CONSO	110000	nan max	11107.	(pill) c (x31)	(10) year /(1)	W Porrow
	DH-8	6998	6	alluvium	Clayey Silt	IVIL-UL	50																			W. BOITOW
	DH-8	6998	8	siltstone	<u> </u>		50																			W. Borrow
	DH-8	6998	11	siltstone	-		50																			W. Borrow
	DH-8	6998	15	siltstone	÷		50																			W. Borrow
	DH-8	6998	20	shale	-		X																			W. Borrow
	DH-8	6998	25	siltstone	-		100								•											W. Borrow
	DH-8	6998	30	siltstone	-		50																			W. Borrow
	DH-8	6998	40	siltstone	-		50								-											W. Borrow
:	DH-8	6998	50	total depth	-		50																			W. Borrow
Canonie, 1986	658	6976	7 5-10	tailings	Fine	1				2.81								17.4	88							Central
&1991	658		12 5-15	tailings														21.5	105	0.018						Central
Q1331	650		17 5 20	tailings	Fina/Coarsa miyod					2 8 2								23.5	104							Central
	658		27 5 20	tailings	Control					2.05								23.5	02				· · · · · ·			Central
	658		27.5-50	tallings	Coarse					2.01								42.6	07	0.055						Control
	658		30-32.5	tallings	Coarse					-								45.0	97	0.055						Central
	658		37.5-40	tailings	Coarse				· · · · ·	2.89								51.2	92	<b>-</b>						Central
	659	6990	7.5-10	tailings	Coarse	1				2.84	<u> </u>				1			6.1	95							Central
	659		17.5-20	tailings	Coarse					2.83								10.5	94							Central
	659		27.5-30	tailings	Coarse					2.74								13.1	97							Central
	659		30-32.5	tailings	Coarse					-								32	106	0.022						Central
	659		35-37.5	tailings	Fine/Coarse mixed					2.72								29.6	78							Central
	660	6975	8	tailings	Fine					2.81								44.2	74							Central
	660		12.5-15	tailings	Fine					2.84								60	94							Central
	660		25-27.5	tailings	Fine					2.75								32.2	89	sample di	sturbed					Central
	660		35-37.5	tailings	Fine					2.84								41.4	79							Central
	660		38	tailings	Fine													44.5	73	Cc=1.0						Central
	662	6957	17.5-20	tailings	Sandy, coarse													34.1	97	Cc=0.043						South
	662		25	tailings	Coarse	+				2.78								25.1	96							South
	662		30	tailings	Coarse					2.79								29.6	94							South
	662		32.5	tailings	Coarse													34.1	97							South
	662		37 5-40	tailings	Fine					2 72								36.4	84	0.068						South
······	662		10 12 E	tailings	Eine/Coarse mixed	<u>  · · ·</u>				2.72								/3.8	89	0.082						South
Comosia 1003	502		40-42.5	tailings	Coorse mixed					1 62				20	20	100			00	0.002					-	Control
Canonie, 1993	5171		5-5.8	tallings	Coarse					2.03				20	20	100		0.5	30							Central
·····	5171		0.8-7.5	tallings	Coarse					2.05			2	- 11	22	100		0.4	105							Central
	9101		3.3-4	tallings	Coarse					2.08			3	9	15	100		0.9	105							Central
	9M		5-5.5	tailings	Coarse					2.68				15	17	100		7.1	-							Central
	9M		6.2-7	tailings	Loarse					2.65			2	10	1/	100		/.1	112			·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Central
	9G		3.3-4	tailings	Coarse					2.68			4	18	29	100		9.8	113							Central
	9G		4-5	tailings	Coarse	ļ				2.64			2	10	20	100		7.5	-							Central
	9G		5.8-6.5	tailings	Coarse with slime					2.65			6	22	32	100		27.3	78							Central
	11M		2.5-5	tailings	Coarse					2.68			3	16	22	100		4.9	101							Central
	11M		4-5	tailings	Coarse					2.64			2	9	15	100		5	-							Central
	11M		6.4-7.5	tailings	Coarse					2.69			3	11	17	100		4.7	102						1	Central
1	13K		3.3-4	tailings	Sand					2.67			2	8	16	100		5.2	109							Central
	13K		4-5	tailings	Sand					2.65			2	10	17	100		7.2	-						1	Central
	13K		5.8-6.5	tailings	Sand Trace Slime					2.64			3	10	14	100		7.8	102							Central
	17A		1.8-2.4	tailings	Coarse with clay	1				2.69			6	26	38	100		11.1	117							Central
	17A		3.2-4	tailings	Coarse with clay		-			2.63			3	21	29	100		13.4	113							Central
	17A		4-5	tailings	Coarse with slime					2.69			5	25	37	100		14.1	-							Central
	17A		5.8-6.5	tailings	Coarse with slime	-				2.66			6	25	36	100		13.7	115							Central
	 11K		3.3-4	tailings	Coarse					2.68			3	12	18	100		10.7	101			<u>├</u>				Central
	11K		4-47	tailings	Fine with clay					2.66				12	15	100		7.0	96							Central
	11K		63-7	tailinge	Fine with clay					2.67			- <u>-</u>	22	29	100		93	95		_				·	Central
L	111		0.3-7	l rannings	Fille with tidy					2.07					27	100		<u> </u>	رر							central

							Field Data													.ab Data							
		Surf.																	Dry								
Report		Elev.	Sample				SPT	Torvane	Perm		LL	PI	(%) p.001	(%)	(%) p.	(%) p.	(%) p.	w.c.	Density		Std.	rel. density (pcf)		Dir. Shear	Perm		
Reference	Boring no.	(ft)	Depth (ft)	Formation	Material Type	USCS	(bpf)	(tsf)	(ft/year)	SG	(%)	(%)	mm	p.200	100	No.10	No.4	(%)	(pcf)	Consol	Proctor	min-max	Triax.	(phi, c (ksf)	(ft/year)(1)	Location	
	13G		1-1.5	tailings	Fine with slime					2.69			26	76	92	100		12.0	117							Central	
	13G		3.3-4	tailings	Fine with slime					2.66			4	17	25	100		12.8	100							Central	
	13G		4-4.9	tailings	Fine					2.67			3	12	41	100		8.0	101		_					Central	
	13G		5.8-6.5	tailings	Fine					2.65			6	17	52	100		5.9	95							Central	
	15C		2.9-3.6	tailings	Fine with slime					2.67			3	24	34	100		14.4	110							Central	
	15C		4.1-4.8	tailings	Fine with slime					2.68			4	23	38	100		14.0	112							Central	
	15C		6.3-7.0	tailings	Fine with slime					2.67			4	23	38	100		19.0	98							Central	
	171		3.0-4.1	tailings	Fine with slime					2.67			3	45	59	100		11.5	112							Central	
	171		4.1-4.8	tailings	Fine with slime					2.67			4	31	47	100		17.1	110							Central	
	171		6.1-6.8	tailings	Fine with slime					2.69			3	39	52	100		13.3	94							Central	
																					Notes: (1) remolded to 95% of ASTM D698,						
																		· ·			c=cohesion, UC=unconfined compression, CU=consolidated undrained						
																					UU=unconsolidated undrained, C=collapse, S=swell, NP=non-plastic						

÷

•



**APPENDIX B.1** 

٣

## GEOLOGIC SETTING, STRUCTURE AND PIEZOMETER SURFACES



# APPENDIX B.2

### AQUIFER TESTING AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES



### **APPENDIX B.3**

# GEOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF ALLUVIUM AND SANDSTONE UNITS

1

# MWH.

APPENDIX C

## STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

### Cedar Creek - SOP 40 - Faunal Field Study

Wildlife evaluations will be limited to the qualitative techniques of direct observation, observation of sign, and/or evaluation of habitat owing to the modest size of disturbance footprints and the potential complication of livestock grazing. In this regard, all observations of wildlife, either directly or by sign, will be recorded in a manner to facilitate an indication of abundance and/or use of project area habitats.

Wildlife surveys will be implemented within identified evaluations areas using the following sampling techniques:

- 1) Fixed-radius avifauna observation stations;
- 2) Fixed-length sign observation transects; and
- 3) Variable-length general observational transects.

These techniques will only be implemented during the early morning (preferred), within 3 hours of sunrise, or late evening, within three hours of sunset, to maximize opportunity for observing indigenous wildlife. All observations of wildlife, either directly or by sign, will be recorded in a manner to facilitate an indication of abundance and/or use of project area habitats. Furthermore, project area habitats will be evaluated with regard to their capability to provide life requisites for anticipated indigenous wildlife, including sensitive or special status species.

### Fixed-radius avifauna observation stations

- 1. Established in the approximate center of each potential borrow source and analog site
- 2. All observations of avifauna within 50 meters of the center point during a 10-minute observation period will be enumerated by species.
- 3. Identification will occur either through direct visual or auditory observation.
- 4. Observations will be utilized to develop estimates of relative abundance.

### Fixed length observation transects

- 1. Established either in a circular or rectangular pattern across each potential borrow or analog site.
- 2. Length will be at least 100 meters, and where an area is sufficiently large, will be 200 meters.
- 3. A GPS will be utilized for spatial orientation and to facilitate documentation of any pertinent observations.
- 4. All observations of wildlife and/or their sign will be recorded.

### Variable length observation transects

- 1. Established centrally within the overall disturbance area and will extend outward in a radial pattern for a length of at least 200 meters.
- 2. A GPS will be utilized for spatial orientation and to facilitate documentation of any pertinent observations.
- 3. The total number of transects to be established will be dependent on habitats observed, however, at least four transects will be established.
- 4. All wildlife observations (direct or sign) will be recorded as well as an indication of habitat.

### Small mammal traplines

Small mammal traplines will be established within three habitats adjacent to the repository location to facilitate a determination of the existence, or lack thereof, of burrowing fauna.

- 1. Each trapline will consist of a set of 50 or 100 Sherman live-traps baited with a mixture of rolled oats and peanut butter at 25- or 50-foot intervals (depending on the extent of targeted habitat).
- 2. Sherman live-traps are 3" x 3" x 10" in size and will readily capture rodent taxa up to wood-rat or large ground squirrel sized animals.
- 3. Traplines will be checked each morning and reset, and will remain in place for three consecutive nights.
- 4. Captures will be identified each morning to species (to facilitate a determination of burrowing vs. non-burrowing taxa) and the number of captures will be utilized to develop an estimate of species abundance.