March 15, 1981

Docket No. 50-206 LS05-81-03-031

Mr. R. Dietch, Vice President Nuclear Engineering and Operations Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Dear Mr. Dietch:

Due to a resource limitations caused by contested seismic hearings the staff final decision on the SONGS 1 seismic input ground motion has been delayed. Based upon our review of the information that you presented in the December 4, 1980 meeting, it does not appear likely that an acceptable free field spectrum will be lower than the 2/3g Housner Spectrum. However, you may consider the recent NRC guidelines for performing Soil Structure Interaction Analysis which provide limits for reduction of input motion at the structure foundation and the guidance contained in NUREG/CRE+0098, "Development of Criteria for Seismic Review of Selected Nuclear Power Plants" in performing your reanalysis. You should continue your seismic reanalysis program using the 2/3g Housner ground response spectrum as directed by our August 4, 1980 letter until the final NRC staff decision is made.

If our final decision on seismic input ground motion is greater than 2/3g Housner spectrum, we would evaluate the margins that are shown to exist in the structures, systems and components to determine if reanalysis using a higher spectra is necessary.

We request your mesponse within 20 days indicating your intent with respect to continued reanalysis.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Dennis M. Crutchfield

Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 5 Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

8	103190	516					<i>^</i>
OFFICE	SEPB: DL	SEPB:DL:SL	SEPB: DA: C	ORB#52112:PM	ORB#5:DL:C	AD:SA:DL (Dig
	TCheng dk	RHérmann	WRUSSETT	SNowicki	DCWitchfield	Glue inas	DEUsenhut
DATE	3/4/81	3/ /81	3/ 4/81	3/ 5 /81	3/ 6 /81	3/ /81	3/6181
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY							☆ USGPO: 1980-329-824



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 15, 1981

Docket No. 50-206 LS05-81-03-031

> Mr. R. Dietch, Vice President Nuclear Engineering and Operations Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Dear Mr. Dietch:

Due to a resource limitation caused by contested seismic hearings the staff final decision on the SONGS 1 seismic input ground motion has been delayed. Based upon our review of the information that you presented in the December 4, 1980 meeting, it does not appear likely that an acceptable free field spectrum will be lower than the 2/3g Housner Spectrum. However, you may consider the recent NRC guidelines for performing Soil Structure Interaction Analysis which provide limits for reduction of input motion at the structure foundation and the guidance contained in NUREG/CR - 0098, "Development of Criteria for Seismic Review of Selected Nuclear Power Plants" in performing your reanalysis. You should continue your seismic reanalysis program using the 2/3g Housner ground response spectrum as directed by our August 4, 1980 letter until the final NRC staff decision is made.

If our final decision on seismic input ground motion is greater than 2/3g Housner spectrum, we would evaluate the margins that are shown to exist in the structures, systems and components to determine if reanalysis using a higher spectra is necessary.

We request your response within 20 days indicating your intent with respect to continued reanalysis.

Sincerely,

nnes

Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 5 Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

Mr. R. Dietch

- 2 -

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATION STATION, UNIT NO. 1 DOCKET NO. 50-206

CC :

Charles R. Kocher, Assistant General Counsel Southern California Edison Company Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

David R. Pigott SSamuel B. Casey Chickering & Gregory Three Embarcadero Center Twenty-Third Floor San Francisco, California 94111

Jack E. Thomas Harry B. Stoehr San Diego Gas & Electric Company P. O. Box 1831 San Diego, California 92112

Resident Inspector c/o U. S. NRC P. O. Box AA Oceanside, California 92054

Mission Viejo Branch Library 24851 Chrisanta Drive Mission Viejo, California 92676

Mayor

City of San Clemente San Clemente, California 92672

Chairman Board of Supervisors County of San Diego San Diego, California 92101

California Department of Health ATTN: Chief, Environmental Radiation Control Unit Radiological Health Section 714 P Street, Room 498 Sacramento, California 95814 Director, Technical Assessment Division Office of Radiation Programs (AW-459) U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Crystal Mall #2 Arlington, Virginia 20460 U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region IX Office

ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR

215 Freemont Street

San Francisco, California 94111



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 15, 1981

Docket No. 50-206 LS05-81-03-031

> Mr. R. Dietch, Vice President Nuclear Engineering and Operations Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Dear Mr. Dietch:

Due to a resource limitation caused by contested seismic hearings the staff final decision on the SONGS 1 seismic input ground motion has been delayed. Based upon our review of the information that you presented in the December 4, 1980 meeting, it does not appear likely that an acceptable free field spectrum will be lower than the 2/3g Housner Spectrum. However, you may consider the recent NRC guidelines for performing Soil Structure Interaction Analysis which provide limits for reduction of input motion at the structure foundation and the guidance contained in NUREG/CR - 0098, "Development of Criteria for Seismic Review of Selected Nuclear Power Plants" in performing your reanalysis. You should continue your seismic reanalysis program using the 2/3g Housner ground response spectrum as directed by our August 4, 1980 letter until the final NRC staff decision is made.

If our final decision on seismic input ground motion is greater than 2/3g Housner spectrum, we would evaluate the margins that are shown to exist in the structures, systems and components to determine if reanalysis using a higher spectra is necessary.

We request your response within 20 days indicating your intent with respect to continued reanalysis.

Sincerely,

nnes

Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 5 Division of Licensing

SEOI

DS4 45E (08)

cc: See next page

8103190516

...Mr. R. Dietch

سکی تھر۔

cc: Charles R. Kocher, Assistant General Counsel Southern California Edison Company Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

David R. Pigott SSamuel B. Casey Chickering & Gregory Three Embarcadero Center Twenty-Third Floor San Francisco, California 94111

Jack E. Thomas Harry B. Stoehr San Diego Gas & Electric Company P. O. Box 1831 San Diego, California 92112

Resident Inspector c/o U. S. NRC P. O. Box AA Oceanside, California 92054

Mission Viejo Branch Library 24851 Chrisanta Drive Mission Viejo, California 92676

Mayor

City of San Clemente San Clemente, California 92672

Chairman Board of Supervisors County of San Diego San Diego, California 92101

California Department of Health ATTN: Chief, Environmental Radiation Control Unit Radiological Health Section 714 P Street, Room 498 Sacramento, California 95814 SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATION STATION, UNIT NO. 1 DOCKET NO. 50-206

Director, Technical Assessment Division Office of Radiation Programs (AW-459) U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Crystal Mall #2 Arlington, Virginia 20460 U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX Office

ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR

- 215 Freemont Street
- San Francisco, California 94111

di

- 2 -