NRC FORM 591M P. (07-2012)	NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATIO	ON INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE						
Helen Newberry	Joy Hospital		Region III					
502 West Harrie	· ·		U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
E .	Newberry, MI 49868		2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210					
REPORT NUMBER(s) 2013-001	Lisle, IL 60532-4352	Lisie, IL 60532-4352					
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S		4. LICENSE NUMBER	₹(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	N			
030-34481		21-32004-01		November 20, 2013				
LICENSEE:				<u> </u>				
The inspection was a Regulatory Commiss procedures and repre	an examination of the activities conduct sion (NRC) rules and regulations and th esentative records, interviews with pers n the inspection findings, no violations w	ne conditions of your loon sonnel, and observati	license. The inspection consiste	ed of selective examinatio	ons of			
 Previous violation(s) closed. The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise 								
discretion	discretion, were satisfied.							
***************************************	Non-cited violation(s) were discuss	sea involving the lond	owing requirement(s).					
					,			
cited in ac	is inspection, certain of your activities, a ccordance with NRC Enforcement Police							
	CFR 19.11. s and Corrective Actions)							
	o una consolica i anama,							
					·			
		atement of Correc						
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
TITLE	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE		DATE			
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE								
NRC INSPECTOR	Ryan Craffey		DA Cafeer		11/22/13			
BRANCH CHIEF	Aaron McCraw		11/1/		11/22/13			

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (07-2012)

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 107-2012) Docket File Information								
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
		HUN KEPUKI ANL						
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT	ED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFF	FICE				
Helen Newberry Joy Hos	nital		Region III					
502 West Harrie Street	pitai		U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
Newberry, MI 49868			2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210					
			Lisle, IL 6053					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2013-	001							
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S	5)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-34481		21-32004-01	21-32004-01 November 20.					
030-34481		21-32004-01	21-32004-01 November 20,					
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES US	ED	7. INSPECTION FOCU	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS					
87130		All	All					
	SUP	PLEMENTAL INSPECT	ON INFORMATION	NC				
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	т	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER				
02121	5	Chad Bruno, CN	MT	(906) 293-9169				
✓ Main Office Inspe	ction	Next Inspection	Date: Nove	ember 2018				
V Maill Office Hispor	Clion	MEXI IIISPECTION	Date.	Jinoo 2010				
Field Office Inspe	ction							
Tomporany lob Si	ita Inanastian		:					
Temporary Job Si	te inspection							
		PROGRAM SO	COPE					
This was a routine inspe	ction of a hospi			naterial for diagnostic administrations				
				bys one full-time nuclear medicine				
technologist who perform	ns approximate	ely 10-15 studies per wee	k. The technolog	gist administers stress tests on				
Tuesdays and Wednesda	ys and all othe	er diagnostic studies on T	hursdays, using u	nit doses provided by a radiopharmacy				
				insultant to perform instrument				
		udits of the radiation safe		•				
PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS								
The inspector toured the nuclear medicine lab and evaluated the licensee's measures for material security, radiological								
shielding and hazard communication. The technologist demonstrated adequate knowledge or radiation protection principles and licensee procedures through interviews and demonstrations of package receipt, area surveys, spill								
response and waste handling. The inspector observed two diagnostic administrations of radiopharmaceuticals while on-								
site, noting adequate use	site, noting adequate use of time and shielding to maintain exposures ALARA and administration of doses within 10%							
of those approved by an authorized user (the RSO). Independent and confirmatory surveys of the hot lab and other								
areas of the department found no residual contamination or exposures to members of the public distinguishable from								
background.								
	1 1	011 1- C 1		t 1 marks are against door				
The inspector also review	wed a selection	of licensee records for in	nstrument quainy	control, package receipt, dose				
preparation, sealed source inventory and leak testing, decay-in-storage waste disposal, training, quarterly audits, and dosimetry, which indicated that exposures from licensed activities were below regulatory limits.								
dosimetry, which malea	led that exposu	res from ficensed activity	es were below rog	guiatory minus.				
No violations of NRC requirements were identified as a result of this inspection.								
and the second of the second o								