

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 30, 2013

LICENSEE: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

FACILITY: Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF OCTOBER 31, 2013, TELECONFERENCE MEETING WITH

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON DIGITAL REPLACEMENT OF THE PROCESS PROTECTION SYSTEM PORTION OF THE REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM AND ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES ACTUATION SYSTEM AT DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. ME7522)

AND ME7523)

On October 31, 2013, a Category 1 teleconference public meeting was held between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and representatives of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E, the licensee) at NRC Headquarters, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The purpose of the teleconference meeting was to discuss the license amendment request (LAR) submitted by PG&E on October 26, 2011, for the Digital Replacement of the Process Protection System Portion of the Reactor Trip System and Engineered Safety Features Actuation System at Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML113070457). A list of attendees is provided in Enclosure 1.

The teleconference meeting is one in a series of publicly noticed teleconference meetings to be held periodically to discuss issues associated with the NRC staff's LAR review. Preliminary issues that the NRC staff identified during the initial review, and the licensee's responses to these preliminary issues, were discussed during the teleconference meeting. The list of preliminary issues is provided in Enclosure 2.

Highlights from this meeting on October 31, 2013, include the following:

- The project plan for the review of the LAR (Enclosure 3) was discussed and the major upcoming milestones were confirmed. The project plan will be updated as appropriate and discussed at the next public meeting.
- The NRC staff stated that it should be issuing a third round of requests for additional information (RAIs) by December 2013. Once the RAIs are issued, the items identified in Enclosure 2 as needing RAIs will be closed and removed from the open item tracking list. This is because the RAIs themselves will be used to track the closure of the issue.
- The NRC staff discussed the need for PG&E to provide the remaining set of Phase 2 documentation per commitments that were made in the LAR. PG&E took an action to update the NRC staff in the next several weeks on when the Phase 2 documentation is expected to be submitted.

Please direct any inquiries to me at 301-415-1530 or at Jennivine.Rankin@nrc.gov.

Jennivine K. Rankin, Project Manager
Plant Licensing IV-2 and Decommissioning
Transition Branch

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosures:

1. List of Attendees

2. Staff Identified Issues That are Open

3. Project Plan

cc w/encls: Distribution via Listserv

LIST OF ATTENDEES

OCTOBER 31, 2013, TELECONFERENCE MEETING WITH

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

DIGITAL UPGRADE FOR DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-275 AND 50-323

NAME ORGANIZATION

K. SchraderS. PattersonA. WilsonPacific Gas and ElectricPacific Gas and ElectricPacific Gas and Electric

J. Hefler Altran R. Lint Altran E. Quinn Altran

K. Durinsky
P. Sirianni
Westinghouse
W. Odess-Gillet
Westinghouse
P. Tyrpak
Westinghouse
D. Head
Invensys
M. Herschthal
Invensys

Rich Stattel Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)

Samir Darbali NRC
Rossnyev Alvarado NRC
Shiattin Makor NRC
Jennie Rankin NRC

Gordon Clefton Nuclear Energy Institute

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
60	RJS (STSB/ APLA)	for the replacement PPS system ALS/Tricon PPS system reliabil with those of the Eagle 21 system. Please provide an evaluation intervals to the upgraded ALS/summary report is expected to demonstrate the new system's functions between established should also include a qualitative describes the self diagnosis an replacement PPS. In addition, the staff's previous findings in Staff's previous fi	reveillance intervals remain acceptable m, an evaluation to compare the lity and performance characteristics em must be performed by PG&E. ummary report to support the specification and surveillance test fricon based PPS system. This include a quantitative analysis to ability to perform its required safety surveillance test intervals. This report e (i.e., deterministic) analysis which d fault detection features of the this summary report should address Section 4.3, "Applicability of WCAPs to 9, dated January 31, 2005 summary report to support application of e test intervals is contained in the eation for the Application of Technical 4333 and WCAP-15376 to the estem" that was submitted in Attachment of DCL-13-016 dated March 7, 2013. The emparison of features important to the obystems and the Eagle 21 system, WCAP-14333 P A, Revision 1, and	Open	RAI 39		10/24/13 – RJS Reviewed the evaluation document. Carl Schulten is taking over for Christy. Information sent to Karl. Awaiting feedback.

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No.	RAI	Comments
					(Date Sent)	Response (Due Date)	
		Section 4.3 of the Amendments 179 and	d 181.				
85	RJS NSIR	What security measures will be implement is consistent with NEI 08-09, Appendix access to the maintenance workstation 09, Appendix D.1.1. Additionally, explaimplemented include technical and open incorporated into the system. PG&E Response: Installation of the PP September 2015 and assessment of the including the maintenance workstation, Diablo Canyon CSP, will begin in April 2 has been performed and required measurement of the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation team during the August 6-8 onsite audit determine security measures for the maintenance workstation.	D.1.1? Explain the statement that will be consistent with the NEI 08-in whether security measures to be rational security design measures PS replacement is scheduled for e whole PPS replacement system, as prescribed in section 3 of the 2013. A preliminary assessment sures to be implemented by the n was provided to the NSIR audit in the final assessment to aintenance workstation, consistent at need to be applied will be a for the PPS replacement that will	Open	No RAI		10/24/13 – RJS Discuss closure of this item at 10/31 Call. 7/29/2013: NSIR to determine if the response provided is sufficient.
86	RJS/ NSIR	PG&E stated in its letters DCL-11-123 t are being incorporated in the PPS repla fully compliant with 10 CFR 73.54 cyber ensuring that the security features and reliable performance of the safety functi letter DCL-11-104 states the following: • the PPS replacement is being re 50.73, the DCPP CSP, and NEI Nuclear Power Reactors," Revise the PPS replacement has been Revision 0, "Cyber Security Prog January 2010	r security requirements, while also controls do not interfere with the ions. Additionally, the enclosure to eviewed to comply with 10 CFR 08-09, "Cyber Security Plan for ion 6, dated April 2010	Open	No RAI		10/24/13 – RJS How will formal response be submitted if not through RAI? During 6/26 call, PG&E provided a brief summary of the response for this item. A formal response will be submitted later.

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		Section 4.2.2 "Cyber Security Impact A Environment" of the NRC-approved DC following:					
		functions cyber security related issues identification management process are address management process, and there Corrective Action Program risks to SSEP functions, CDAs, ongoing evaluation of threats and attack vectors associated.	to a CDA ormed as part of the change impacts of the changes on the and systems that can affect SSEP entified during the change essed within the change efore are not handled by the and CSs are managed through and vulnerabilities and by addressing eiated with the cyber security controls E of NEI 08-09, Revision 6, during				
		Section 4.2 "Cyber Security Control" of the security control described in Appen Revision 6, are evaluated and dispositi conditions during the establishment of programs, and during oversight activitie security controls are used to protect CI	ndices D and E of NEI 08-09, coned based on site specific risk baselines, during on-going es. Additionally, it states that cyber				
		Finally, Section 11, "System and Service 08-09, Revision 6, provides security country and developers.					
		Based on the above, explain how PG& security measures described in the NR Plan for the PPS digital upgrade. Expl on the following:	C-approved DCNPP Cyber Security				
		The method that PG&E used (v	vill use) to perform cyber security				

No Sr	c/RI Issu	ue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		o qualifications of procyber security im methods used (was provided in its NF results of security how each of the addressed The method that PG&E of technical security controls the updated PPS. The method that PG&E of technical security controls the updated PPS. The method that PG&E of the development facility with security controls proceed with security controls proceed with security controls proceed and the integration of the development facility with security controls proceed and the integration of the development of the integration of the information and/of effectively configuration of the integration of the information of the	vill use) to address security controls RC-approved Cyber Security Plan y impact analysis, including discussion security controls provided in its CSP a used (will use) to determine where the Is identified above bullet are applied where the identify and provide security requirementations and development process to comovided in the following subsections of	on re within sents uply s for and to gents. tion the			

Octob	er 31, 2013) DCP	P PPS Open Item Summary Table				Page 5 of 18
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		The method that PG&E used (will developer's security test discuss)				·	
		PG&E Response: The information to re	espond to this question is 10 CFR	1			
		2.390 information and was provided NS onsite audit.	IR audit team during the August 6-8				
93	RJS	(ALS Audit Item) The RTM for the ALS subsystem was producted with the ALS subsystem was produced that Westinghouse document WN PG&E requirements (see descriptions for Please provide a description of how this PG&E Response: The 6116-00000 Diablo ALS Management updated document structure that has all feeding directly into the 6116-00011, who Diablo sub-ordinate requirement and dedocument WNA-DS-02442 has been respectively. 6116-00000, revision 4, 611000059 RTM, revision 0, are all reflective Documents 6116-00011, revision 1, was 13-087 dated September 17, 2013.	RE requirements. The IV&V team IA-DS-02442 does not capture all or Tickets #4787 and #4800). It issue is being resolved. The provision 4, specifies an an approximate Plan, revision 4, specifies an approximate Plan, revision 4, specifies an approximate Plan, revision 4, specifies an approximate Plan, revision 8, specifications. Westinghouse moved from the document 3-00011, revision 1, and the 6116-15 of this new document structure.	Open	?		10/16/13 – RJS New RTM still does not establish traceability to the 6116-10203, and 6116-10204 Core FPGA Design Specifications. Also A new revision of 6116-00059 will need to be docketed due to the significance of changes made since the original document was submitted. 6116-00011, ALS SDS, revision 1 6116-00059 ALS RTM, revision 0 They are both available in the Sharepoint

Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
						SDS Rev. 0 is already on docket. [ML11277A152]
						RTM to be submitted on Docket.
RJS	to Westinghouse. When these are available document to identify how each applicate PPS project. This document should income the should be s	ailable, PG&E should prepare a ble PSAI is being addressed for the clude references to the LAR and	Open	RAI 59		
	PG&E Response: The response ALS ASAI will be submit	tted by 12/31/13.				
RA	CSI document 6116-00054, "Diablo Caresponses to points 4 and 10 describe Furthermore, the response to point 10 described in 6002-10206, "ALS-102 FF use in the ALS PPS subsystem are de ALS-102 FPGA Requirements Specific 6002-10206 is available in the ShareP information on how a virtual channel caimplementation will be application speciannot be referenced in the safety eval docketed. In addition, this information describe how Virtual Channels are use DCPPS replacement system.	anyon PPS ISG-04 Matrix", the use of Virtual Channel. states that virtual channels are PGA Design Specification" and their scribed in 6116-10201, "DC PPS cation." A copy of ALS document oint. This document provides genera an be used (for which cific). However, this information luation because it has not been is too generic, and it does not ed in the ALS platform portion of the	Open	RAI 60		
	RJS	RJS The ALS Topical Report Plant Specific to Westinghouse. When these are available document to identify how each applicate PPS project. This document should incomporting documents where PSAI's at PG&E Response: The response ALS ASAI will be submited as a property of the response ALS ASAI will be submited as a property of the response to point 10 described in 6002-10206, "ALS-102 FF use in the ALS PPS subsystem are de ALS-102 FPGA Requirements Specific 6002-10206 is available in the ShareP information on how a virtual channel of implementation will be application special cannot be referenced in the safety evail docketed. In addition, this information describe how Virtual Channels are used DCPPS replacement system.	RJS The ALS Topical Report Plant Specific Action Items will be made available to Westinghouse. When these are available, PG&E should prepare a document to identify how each applicable PSAI is being addressed for the PPS project. This document should include references to the LAR and supporting documents where PSAI's are addressed. PG&E Response: The response ALS ASAI will be submitted by 12/31/13. RA ISG-04 Compliance – ALS system (Virtual Channel) CSI document 6116-00054, "Diablo Canyon PPS ISG-04 Matrix", responses to points 4 and 10 describe the use of Virtual Channel. Furthermore, the response to point 10 states that virtual channels are described in 6002-10206, "ALS-102 FPGA Design Specification" and their use in the ALS PPS subsystem are described in 6116-10201, "DC PPS ALS-102 FPGA Requirements Specification." A copy of ALS document 6002-10206 is available in the SharePoint. This document provides general information on how a virtual channel can be used (for which implementation will be application specific). However, this information cannot be referenced in the safety evaluation because it has not been docketed. In addition, this information is too generic, and it does not describe how Virtual Channels are used in the ALS platform portion of the	RJS The ALS Topical Report Plant Specific Action Items will be made available to Westinghouse. When these are available, PG&E should prepare a document to identify how each applicable PSAI is being addressed for the PPS project. This document should include references to the LAR and supporting documents where PSAI's are addressed. PG&E Response: The response ALS ASAI will be submitted by 12/31/13. RA ISG-04 Compliance – ALS system (Virtual Channel) CSI document 6116-00054, "Diablo Canyon PPS ISG-04 Matrix", responses to points 4 and 10 describe the use of Virtual Channel. Furthermore, the response to point 10 states that virtual channels are described in 6002-10206, "ALS-102 FPGA Design Specification" and their use in the ALS PPS subsystem are described in 6116-10201, "DC PPS ALS-102 FPGA Requirements Specification." A copy of ALS document 6002-10206 is available in the SharePoint. This document provides general information on how a virtual channel can be used (for which implementation will be application specific). However, this information cannot be referenced in the safety evaluation because it has not been docketed. In addition, this information is too generic, and it does not describe how Virtual Channels are used in the ALS platform portion of the DCPPS replacement system.	RJS The ALS Topical Report Plant Specific Action Items will be made available to Westinghouse. When these are available, PG&E should prepare a document to identify how each applicable PSAI is being addressed for the PPS project. This document should include references to the LAR and supporting documents where PSAI's are addressed. PG&E Response: The response ALS ASAI will be submitted by 12/31/13. RA ISG-04 Compliance – ALS system (Virtual Channel) CSI document 6116-00054, "Diablo Canyon PPS ISG-04 Matrix", responses to points 4 and 10 describe the use of Virtual Channel. Furthermore, the response to point 10 states that virtual channels are described in 6002-10206, "ALS-102 FPGA Design Specification" and their use in the ALS PPS subsystem are described in 6116-10201, "DC PPS ALS-102 FPGA Requirements Specification." A copy of ALS document 6002-10206 is available in the SharePoint. This document provides general information on how a virtual channel can be used (for which implementation will be application specific). However, this information cannot be referenced in the safety evaluation because it has not been docketed. In addition, this information is too generic, and it does not describe how Virtual Channels are used in the ALS platform portion of the DCPPS replacement system.	RJS The ALS Topical Report Plant Specific Action Items will be made available to Westinghouse. When these are available, PG&E should prepare a document to identify how each applicable PSAI is being addressed for the PPS project. This document should include references to the LAR and supporting documents where PSAI's are addressed. PG&E Response: The response ALS ASAI will be submitted by 12/31/13. RA ISG-04 Compliance – ALS system (Virtual Channel) CSI document 6116-00054, "Diablo Canyon PPS ISG-04 Matrix", responses to points 4 and 10 describe the use of Virtual Channels are described in 6002-10206, "ALS-102 FPGA Design Specification" and their use in the ALS PPS subsystem are described in 6116-10201, "DC PPS ALS-102 FPGA Requirements Specification." A copy of ALS document 6002-10206 is available in the SharePoint. This document provides general information on how a virtual channel can be used (for which implementation will be application specific). However, this information cannot be referenced in the safety evaluation because it has not been docketed. In addition, this information is too generic, and it does not describe how Virtual Channels are used in the ALS platform portion of the DCPPS replacement system.

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		Requirements Specification". All channel in Table 6-7, which doe ALS virtual channels for DC PP what the original requirement is being implemented for the DCP Please describe the ALS Virtual specification, and how they are replacement system. In addition	on", or 6002-00010, "ALS Platform S document 6116-10201 only lists virtual es not provide any description about use of S replacement system. Thus, it is not clear for this function, and how the design is PS replacement system. I Channels, requirements, design used for the ALS portion of the DCPPS in, clarify the use of virtual channels to -04, specifically for setpoint modification.				
		components, modules, and hard one RAB Frame loop a single p generating station condition. Information: for the Diablo PPS total of 18 virtual channels with parameters and data registers a path within one RAB Frame loo virtual channels, each of which Diablo Canyon DCPP PPS ALS each support different input/out channels support current loop a and converted to engineering u which are filtered and are convergences. The engineering un generate partial trip outputs ind	tual Channel is an arrangement of dware logic as required to generate within rotection action signal when required by a implementation for the ALS-102 there are a independent sets of configuration and independent management of the logic p period. The ALS-102 implements 18 performs a primary control function for the S-102 safety system. These virtual channels put configurations. Eleven(11) of the virtual malog inputs, four(4) of which are filtered nits indicating percentages and seven(7) of erted to engineering units indicating it values are compared to a setpoint to icating when a safety limit is exceeded.				

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		4-20 mA analog outputs. The remaining RTD temperature values which are fill calibration data, and output as correst					
101	RJS	able to operate within the specified of staff needs to have plant specific envispecifically for the cable spreading restates that this information has been reports, however, these reports do not the NRC requires plant specific envisoperating conditions and the worst coand accident conditions where the Prints safety function.	aff needs to review the information ipment has been demonstrated to be environment. In order to do this the ironmental data for the plant and som. The ISG 6 matrix (item 2.12) provided in the two vendor topical of contain any plant specific data. Tonmental condition data for normal enditions expected during abnormal PS equipment is expected to perform and humidity conditions that are	Open	RAI 61		11/1/13 – RJS Waiting for additional testing to be completed. PG&E to provide estimate of completion for next call. 6/26/2013: during this call the following clarifications were provided: - Describe specific conditions for the room where the system will be

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		earthquakes including fre	ents DCM C-17, DCM C-25, DCM contained in the UFSAR. The staff is FSAR, however specific environmental tremain unclear to the staff.				installed Is there any restrictive requirement for this room? - What is the relationship between the system specification requirement and environmental conditions?
105	RJS	Section 4.10.3.3 of the LAR – Interaction In PG&E's response to this IEEE 603 Comention of the effects of using shared such as the DFWCS, or recognizes that the general specification similar to the Eagle 21 system and that adversely impact the compliance of the necessary for the NRC to confirm that the Please provide a description of the effect systems that use common shared sensilevel FMEA or the Hazards' Analysis metals.	clause 6.3 criteria, there is no sensor signals between the PPS and or the AFW system. The NRC staff ins for the replacement PPS are the PPS project would not system to this criteria however, it is the criteria is still being met. cts of sensor failure for those or data from the PPS, A system	Open	RAI 62		11/1/13 – RJS John H to provide updated table for review. Table to be provided in RAI response. 10/25/13 – RJS. Spreadsheet should include a description of what arbitration of signals means.

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		PG&E response: The effects of the sensuse common shared sensors data is confined in the sensors data in the sensors data is confined in the sensor data in the sensor data is confined in the sensor data in the sensor data in the sensor data is confined in the sensor data in the s					
106	RA	Follow up to question 69 - ALS Parameter Display data to the Maintena logic? Follow-up Question c. Describe the mechanism of the transmit data was added to specify the points go link) and how it cannot impact the safety. PG&E Response: Response to Follow-up Question b. The ALS-102 common communication the Diablo Canyon PPS application. The however, does incorporate application-services.	logic for the transmission of ance Work Station or is it original ssion logic (i.e., only configuration only over the TxB communication y function logic.	Open	RAI 63		10/24/13 – RJS A Closed Conference Call was held on 9/18 to discuss this matter. The licensee understood the NRC staff's concerns and took an action to provide the NRC staff with a description of the virtual channel and how the data transfer occurs. The NRC staff will need to examine the design documentation, as well as perform an
		Response to Follow-up Question c. The ALS -102 TxB busses are unidirect the same properties as described for the except for the location of the communic communication hardware is located.	e ALS-601 Communication Board, ation hardware. The ALS-102				audit activity to confirm that these functions are in fact independent from the safety functions in the ALS-102.

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		implemented with independ	ent logic circuits. The communication				
		logic circuit does not intera	ct with the safety function logic circuit;				
		rather it is non-intrusively n	nonitoring the safety function logic circuit				
		A failure of the TxB communic	cation circuit cannot prevent the performance				
		of the safety function.					
		The ALS-102's 2 TxB commu	nication channels, as specified in 6002-				
		10203/10204, are identical in	construction to an ALS-601 channel (6002-				
		60103/60104), but have limite	ed capability. The configuration settings in				
		NVM consist of per-channel c	ontrol settings for channel enable, baud rate,				
		parity enable, parity type (eve	n, odd), and number of stop bits (1, 2). The				
		ALS-102 TxB communication	channels, unlike the ALS-601 channels, do				
		not have control settings for d	lirection (RX, TX), transmit type (byte,				
		packet), clone select, and clo	ne enable. The ALS-102 TxB				
		communications channels the	erefore operate in transmit-only, byte mode,				
		with cloning disabled. Each of	channel is provided with an up-to 256x10-byte	9			
		FIFO memory for buffering co	mmunication data passed between the				
			ernal communication interface. Transmit				
		-	channel data register to the channel's				
		1	uts buffering the data through the FIFO				
			el integrity verification through the otherwise				
			e RTL that implements the communication				
			m and is common across all applications of				
		The state of the s	communications interface. The project				
			n 6116-00100 - Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2				
		-	LS-ASU Communication Protocol, is gathere	a			
			102's CLB into the Communication Channel				
			nterface. This is a one way interface. The				
		,) that performs the data gathering and writing				
		, , , , ,	tation (6116-10203 - Diablo Canyon PPS				
		1	n Specification and 6116-10204 - Diablo				
		Canyon PPS ALS-102 Core E	3 FPGA Design Specification).				

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		00100 to the communication channel Registers for processed input channel Status Registers for channel health governed by a Finite State Machine sources. It is independent of the FS the system (Main FSM, described in Stream FSM is described in Section 6116-10203 is a bock diagram of the mechanism. Per 6002-10203 once Channel Interface module, the data 3.6.3) by the FIFO communication requests from the communication 3.6.2) and popped by the transmit of	and status. The marshalling is (FSM) to control a MUX of all the data SM that governs the safety function of a Section 8.5 of 6116-10203). The TxB a 6.12 of 6116-10203. Figure 6.4-1 of be CLB depicting in part this entire in the registers of the Communication is pushed into FIFO memory (Section module (Section 3.6.4) as it services tion channel transmit interface (Section communication module (Section 3.8) for ait output. The receive communication king comparison of the channel				
		00100 to the communication channel module (described in Section 3.3.2. RAM Registers described in Section Channel and Slave IO data. RAM is RAMs. A Table in RTL (described is references the data and organizes is content, format, and order specifical defined in Appendix A of 6116-0010 Channel Bank data and ALS Slave data to the TxB port. This function	n Section 4.4.15.1 of 6116-10204) t into a table consistent with the data tions for communications output as 00. A RAM request reads Virtual 10 registers. Then the Table sends this				

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	(Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		each row to the TxB communication independent of the FSM that go described in Section 4.4.11.3 of transmission by using the interning the Channel Interface module "6002-10206 – ALS-102 FPGA channels, as described in Section Construction to an ALS-601 characteristic charact	e based on closed conference call held c	on O) ace			

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No.	RAI	Comments	
					(Date Sent)	Response (Due Date)		
108	RJS	Phase 2 Document Status Assessment The staff performed an assessment of would like to discuss several items in the would like to discuss the work that should be available now. We have that should not require completion of the been submitted. We will need a revise documents by November 30 th in order evaluation. VVSR's for phases of development been tricon 993754-1-819, Reliability Analyst Tricon 993754-1-811, Project specific per	the phase 2 document matrix and he table. Is will not be available until after the several other phase 2 documents is identified the following documents he design or FAT that have not yet and schedule for submittal of these for us to proceed with the safety I yound Planning/Req. (Both Vendors) is platform FMEA (IEEE 352) Specification (Integrated System) in ation Test Plan I determine the submittal dates for the sted to FAT testing that still need to 19, Reliability Analysis has just been	Open		Date	11/1/13 – RJS Tricon Reliability Analysis document to be put on sharepoint. Schedule for submittal of all documents to be provided in two weeks.	

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
109	RJS	Audit Preparation: In preparation for the follow-up audits at Invensys and at Westinghouse, the staff would like to have access to the configuration status accounting documents. Can the following documents be put onto the share point site? 1. 6116-00050, Diablo Canyon PPS Configuration Status Accounting, 2. Triconex Master Configuration List PG&E Response:		Open	No RAI		11/1/13 – RJS 6116-00050 to be put on sharepoint. Tricon doc will be made available prior to second audit.
110	Part a. Section 4.2.5.2 of the LAR (Page 64) states that "the redundancy checker compares outputs and critical internal states from the two cores and will drive the board to a safe state if the outputs of the cores do not agree." The staff reviewed the FRS and IRS documents to determine what the "safe state" is for any given ALS function, but was unable to identify specifications that define what these safe states are. Please provide a list of "Safe States" for each of the ALS functions below and describe how requirements for these states are established in the system design. If the system safe states are not defined by PG&E, then please explain the basis used by the vendor to determine what the safe states are for each ALS function. ALS Function:		Open	RAI 64		11/1/13 – RJS Discussed during 10/31 conference call. Asked licensee to reconsider the part b response. The NRC does not consider the fail safe states of analog signals to be unpredictable since they are defined in the FPGA specification. See Audit Requirement 2.d. 10/24/13 – RJS I	
		Low RCS Flow Reactor T	rip - Asseri				10/24/13 – KJS I

October 31, 2013			RAI	Comments			
No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	(Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		Pressurizer Pressure Hig	h Reactor Trip -				have determined
		Pressurizer Pressure Lov	v Reactor Trip -				that the fail safe
		Pressurizer Pressure Lov	v-Low ESF -				states are defined in the FPGA
		 Pressurizer Pressure Lov 	v P-11 ESF Block - Assert				specifications,
		Containment Pressure Hi	igh ESF -				however, it is still
		Containment Pressure Hi	igh High-High ESF –				not clear how these
		PORV Actuation on High	PZR Pressure -				determinations
			•				were made if not
		Part b.					derived from licensee input (i.e.
		Please explain what the "safe states" ar	re for the ALS analog output signals.				FRS and IRS).
		If a redundancy checker detects a discr	epancy between the two cores,				FRS 3.2.1.16
		then do these analog outputs fail to son	ne pre-determined value or do they				defines Failure
		fail as-is? The FRS or IRS documents	do not seem to specify this level of				Mode
		system functionality.					Requirements.
			perature Output -				
			Temperature Output - D made				
		RCS Wide Range Temper	erature Output -				
		PG&E Response:		-			
		Part A: Additional information is being	provided in the Functional				
		Requirements Specification (Rev. 9), S	ections 3.2.1.16.3 thru 3.2.1.16.6				
		that provide the requirements.					
		For Deenergize to Trip comparator outp	outs (which includes all except				
		Containment Pressure High-High ESF)	:				
		[3.2.1.16.3] Deenergize to Trip compar	ator outputs shall be designed such				
		that upon loss of electrical power, the re	esultant output is the tripped				
		(deenergized) condition.					
		[3.2.1.16.5] Detectable failures that con	uld result in loss of ability to perform				
		a required safety function should result	in affected Deenergize to Trip				

No	Src/RI	Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
		comparators being placed in the tripped (deenergized) condition. This requirement does not apply to functions that are out of service. For the Energize to Trip Comparator Functions (Containment Pressure High-High ESF): [3.2.1.16.4] Energize to Trip comparator outputs shall be designed such that upon loss of electrical power, the resultant output is the non-tripped (deenergized) condition. [3.2.1.16.6] Detectable failures that could result in loss of ability to perform a required safety function should result in affected Energize to Trip comparators being placed in the non-tripped (deenergized) condition. This requirement does not apply to functions that are out of service. Note that 3.2.1.16.5 and 3.2.1.16.6 are "should" and not "shall" since the type of failure is undefined. Some failures could result in the inability of the affected system to place the output in the desired mode. Part B: The Functional Requirements Specification does not specify any particular failure mode for analog outputs. If the failure is a loss of power, they will fail low. Other failures are unpredictable making it difficult to assign a fail state that would be applicable in all cases.					
111	RJS	ALS Manual Alarm Bypass Function – In the FPGA Requirements Specification Bypass alarm logic will be bypassed who set. The rational provided is that the trip so there would presumably be no need requirement seems to contradict require 5.8.3 of IEEE 603. Please provide an explanation of the bedefeating this alarm? The staff feels the bypass status of each safety channel results.	en the channels logic enable is not command is not being calculated to actuate the alarm. This ement R4130 as well as Clause enefit of providing this means of at operators should be aware of the	New	RAI 65		11/1/13 – RJS This item was discussed at the 10/31 conference call. This will require an RAI in order to provide clarification to the rational for maintaining this bypass of bypass alarm function when channel is

Colober 51, 2		bott the open tem canimary rabie				1 495 15 5. 15
No Src/	RI Issue Description	P&GE response:	Status	RAI No. (Date Sent)	RAI Response (Due Date)	Comments
	could exist when the operator	ne staff is also concerned that situations could be misled into believing that a channel ne cleared alarm) when in fact the channel	-			not used. Also need clarification that bypass alarm will never be disabled for an active channel and will always provide alarm when in bypass condition. 10/28/13 – RJS – This will be an Audit Item. See Audit Requirement 2.g.

Project Plan for Diablo Canyon Replacement of Digital RPS and ESFAS

(PPS) - LAR Review (Rev. 15)

Step	Planned Date	Task			
1	Oct. 26, 2011	PG&E LAR Submittal for NRC approval. Submittal includes all Phase 1 documents needed to be docketed prior to acceptance for review per ISG-06, "Digital Licensing."	Oct. 26, 2011		
2	Jan. 12, 2012	Acceptance Review complete. LAR accepted for detailed technical review. Several issues identified that could present challenges for the staff to complete its review. Scheduled public meeting with PG&E to discuss the results of the acceptance review.	Jan. 12, 2012		
3	Jan. 13, 2012	Acceptance letter sent to licensee.	Jan. 13, 2012		
4	Jan. 18, 2012	Conduct Public Meeting to discuss staff's findings during the LAR acceptance review. Staff proceeds with LAR technical review.	Jan. 18, 2012		
5	March 18, 2012	PG&E provides information requested in acceptance letter. Initiate bi-weekly telecoms with PG&E and its contractors to discuss potential RAI issues. Open Items spreadsheet will be maintained by NRC to document staff issues and planned licensee responses.			
6	May 30, 2012	PG&E provides partial set of Phase 2 documentation per commitments made in LAR. *PG&E provided a subset of the Phase 2 documents on June 6 th See step 14 which is a milestone for submittal of all remaining Phase 2 documents.			
7	July 2012	First RAI sent to PG&E on Phase 1 documentation (e.g., specifications, plans, and equipment qualification). Continue review of the application. Request 45 day response. (ML12208A364)			
8	June 2012	SER for Tricon V10 Platform issued final. This platform becomes a Tier 1 review of the LAR. (ML12146A010)	May 15, 2012		
8.1	June 2013	SER for Westinghouse ALS Platform issued final. This platform becomes a Tier 1 review of the LAR.			
9	September 2012	Receive answers to first RAI. (ML12256A308)	Sept. 11, 2012		
10	November 2012	Audit trip to Invensys facility for thread audit; audit the life cycle planning documents and outputs, with particular emphases on verification and validation, configuration management, quality Assurance, software safety, the Invensys application software development procedures, and application software program design.	Nov. 13- 16, 2012		
10.1	December 2012	Audit report provided to PG&E.	February 21, 2013		
11	February 2013	Audit trip to Westinghouse/CSI facility for thread audit; audit the life cycle planning documents and outputs, with particular emphases on verification and validation, configuration management, quality Assurance, software safety, the W/ALS	February 21, 2013		

Project Plan for Diablo Canyon Replacement of Digital RPS and ESFAS

(PPS) - LAR Review (Rev. 15)

:		application software development procedures, and PPS ALS application software program design.	
11.1	April 2013	Audit report provided to PG&E and its contractor.	April 11, 2013
12	March 2013	Second RAI Letter to PG&E on Phase 1 documentation	March 20, 2013
12.1	April 2013	Receive responses to Second set of RAI's	May 9, 2013
13	April 2013	LAR revision and all supporting documentation associated with the change in ALS and Tricon V10 workstation designs for the PPS are submitted.	April 30, 2013
14	August 2013	NSIR Cyber Security audit at Diablo Canyon site.	August 8 2013
14.1	October 2013	Cyber Security Audit Report provided to licensee EICB Letter sent to PM 9/2/13 NSIR Report - Non-Public ML13232A249 Redacted ML13232A258	October 4, 2013
15	December 2013	PG&E provides remaining set of Phase 2 documentation per commitments made in LAR. To include ALS PSAI related documents. See step 6 for initial submittal of Phase 2 documents.	
16	December 2013	All Documentation for DCPP W/CSI ALS and IOM/Triconex V10 processors applicable to the DCPP PPS LAR are submitted.	
17	TBD	Follow-up audit trip to Invensys facility for thread audit; audit the life cycle planning documents and outputs, with particular emphases on verification and validation, configuration management, quality assurance, software safety, the Invensys application software development procedures, and application software program design.	
17.1	TBD	Second Invensys audit report provided to PG&E.	
18	November 2013	Third RAI Letter to PG&E on Phase 2 documentation (e.g., FMEA, safety analysis, RTM, EQ test results, setpoint calculations.)	
18.1	January 2014	Receive responses to third set of RAI's.	
19	December 2013	Audit trip to W/ALS facilities for additional thread audit items; audit hardware and software installation plans, configuration management reports, detailed system and hardware design, completed test procedures, V&V activities, summary test results (including FAT) and incident reports, and application code listings.	

Project Plan for Diablo Canyon Replacement of Digital RPS and ESFAS

(PPS) - LAR Review (Rev. 15)

19.1	January 2014	Audit report provided to PG&E.	
20	TBD	(Optional) Audit trip to Invensys facilities for additional thread audit items; audit hardware and software installation plans, configuration management reports, detailed system and hardware design, completed test procedures, V&V activities, summary test results (including FAT) and incident reports, and application code listings.	
21	TBD	(Optional) Audit trip to DCPP test facilities for additional thread audit items.	
22	February 18 / March 2014	Presentation to ACRS Subcommittee/Full ACRS Committee on DCPP PPS LAR Safety Evaluation.	
23	March 2014	Complete draft technical SER for management review and approval.	
24	March 2014	Issue completed draft technical SER to DORL	
25	March 2014	Draft SER sent it to PG&E, Invensys, and W/CSI to perform technical review and ensure no proprietary information was included.	
26	April 2014	Receive comments from PG&E and its contractors on draft SER proprietary review.	
27	May 2014	Approved License Amendment issued to PG&E	
28	~September 2014 (tentative)	Inspection trip to DCPP for PPS Site Acceptance Testing (SAT), training and other preparation for installing the new system. To be coordinated with regional visit. Date based on receipt of new PPS system at the site in preparation for September 2015 Unit 1 Refueling Outage (1R19).	
29	~September 2015	Inspection trip to DCPP for PPS installation tests, training and other system installation activities for the new system. To be coordinated with regional visit. Date based on September 2015 Unit 1 Refueling Outage (1R19).	

Please direct any inquiries to me at 301-415-1530 or at Jennivine.Rankin@nrc.gov.

/ra/

Jennivine K. Rankin, Project Manager Plant Licensing IV-2 and Decommissioning Transition Branch Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosures:

- 1. List of Attendees
- 2. Staff Identified Issues That are Open
- 3. Project Plan

cc w/encls: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC LPL4-2 Reading RidsAcrsAcnw MailCTR Resource

RidsNrrDeEicb Resource RidsNrrDorl Resource

RidsNrrDorlLpl4-2 Resource

RidsNrrLAJBurkhardt Resource RidsNrrPMDiabloCanyon Resource RidsNsirDsp Resource

RidsRgn4MailCenter Resource

BRini, EDO RIV TWertz, NRR

RStattel, NRR/DE/EICB RAIvarado, NRR/DE/EICB

WMaier, RIV

SMakor, RIV/DRS/EB2

ADAMS Accession Nos. Meeting Notice ML13290A227; Meeting Summary ML13329A417* via email

OFFICE	NRR/DORL/LPL4-2/PM	NRR/DORL/LPL4-2/LA	NRR/DE/EICB	NRR/DORL/LPL4-2/BC	NRR/DORL/LPL4-2/PM
NAME	JRankin	JBurkhardt	RStattel *	DBroaddus (BBenney for)	JRankin
DATE	12/4/13	12/3/13	11/13/13	12/30/13	12/30/13

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY