

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Southern California Edison Company
San Onofre Unit 1

Docket No. 50-206
License No. DPR-13

During an NRC inspection conducted on July 17 through August 26, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, requires, in part, that measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality, such as deficiencies, deviations, and nonconformances are promptly identified and corrected.

Contrary to the above, as of May 19, 1992, the licensee had not taken adequate actions over a three month period to correct nitrogen leakage from Unit 1 safety injection valve HV852B, a condition adverse to quality. The failure to take adequate corrective actions eventually resulted in the valve degrading to an inoperable condition.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Southern California Edison Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, the Commission may issue an order or a demand for information as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Walnut Creek, California
this 30th day of September, 1992