

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Southern California Edison Company
San Onofre Unit 2

Docket No. 50-361
License No. NPF-10

During an NRC inspection conducted from June 4 through July 16, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, (1992), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2, requires that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering the activities referenced in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2. Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33 specifies that safety related activities should be covered by written procedures, including surveillance activities and administrative procedures for procedure adherence.

Step 3.2 of surveillance procedure S023-V-3.4.1, "Auxiliary Feedwater Inservice Pump Test Monthly Test," states that the SRO Operations Supervisor must approve running the test. Additionally, step 6.11.1.1 of Operations Division procedure S0123-0-20, "Use Of Procedures," states, in part, that a plant manipulation using another division procedure is acceptable if the procedure has been reviewed and approved by a SRO Operations Supervisor prior to performing the work.

Contrary to the above, on July 1, 1992, surveillance procedure S023-V-3.4.1 was utilized to perform an auxiliary feedwater surveillance that required SRO Operations Supervisor approval, but the approval of the SRO Operations Supervisor had not been obtained.

This is a Severity Level V violation applicable to Unit 2 (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Southern California Edison Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, the Commission may issue an order or a demand for information as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Walnut Creek, California
this 13th day of AUGUST, 1992