

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

HOLD/Attach

to

195° F/ how

ENTIRE SE RETUPED

DOCKET

In to 200 F/W

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-13

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-206

Introduction

By application dated December 30, 1977, (Proposed Change No. 68), Southern California Edison Company (SCEC) requested an amendment to the Provisional Operating License No. DPR-13 for the San Onofre

Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1 (SO-1). The amendment would change the facility Technical Specifications to reduce the maximum allowable rate for pressurizer heatup from AF/hour to 100°F/hour. The ment would also in account the allowable into for pressurizer coddown By letter dated August 25, 1977, we advised SEEC that if it desires to receive credit for use of reppiratory equipment at **SC** – **1** after December 28, 1977, such use must be as stipulated in Regulatory Guide 8.15, rather than as specified in its current Technical Specifications. Since the Technical Specifications contain a revocation provision of the current specification on respiratory protection, we advised the SCEC that we would delete this specification in a license amendment processed after December 28, 1977.

In addition, the amendment would delate the provisions in Discussion and Evaluation Sertion 6.12 concerning the "Respiratory Proposed Change No. 68 Profection Rogram at So-1.

In August 1977, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., of Japan, noted an inconsistency in the pressurizer heatup rate stated in their Technical Specifications. Specification 3.4.9 required a heatup rate of 200°F/hr; Specification 5.7.1, however, required a heatup rate of 100°F/hr. This discrepancy was reported to the Westinghouse Electric Corporation (Westinghouse), who then reviewed their analysis of the pressurizer heatup rate and determined that the correct heatup rate is 100°F/hr, and that the correct cooldown rate is 200°F/hr; the Technical Specifications for So-1 Kewaunee stated that pressurizer heatup and cooldown rates were 195°F/hr. Westinghouse then notified the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) and the licensee of this problem. The requested amendment would correct the error in the pressurizer heatup rate limit.

its

7812040012

Respiratory Protection Program

入

2

On November 29, 1976, the Commission published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, an amended Section 20.103 of 10 CFR 20, which became effective on December 29, 1976. To receive credit for limiting the inhalation of airborne radioactive material, this revision requires that respiratory protection equipment be used as stipulated in Regulatory Guide 8.15. Another requirement of the amended regulation is that licensees authorized to make allowance for use of respiratory protection equipment prior to December 29, 1976, must have brought the use of this respiratory protective equipment into conformance with Regulatory Guide 8.15 by December 29, 1977.

The license In our letter dated August 25, 1977 we advised your that pursuant to 10 CFR 20.103 (c) and (f), if your desires to receive credit for the use of respiratory protective equipment at 5-1 your facility after December 28, 1977, such use must be as stipulated provided in Regulatory Guide 8.15 rather than as specified in your current Technical Specifications. The respiratory protective program described in Section 6.12 of the Source Technical Specifications differs from that stipulated in Regulatory Guide 8.15. In view of the provisions of Section 6.11 of the Technical Specifications, which require conformance with 10 CFR 20, the fact that 10 CFR 20.103 no longer requires specific authorization to employ respiratory protective equipment, and the revocation provisions of Technical Specification 6.12.3, we conclude that merely deleting Section 6.12 is appropriate. Since this modification applies only to changing from a plant specific respiratory protection program to an industry-wide program, in accordance with our request and position and your had no objection to this action, we find it to be purely administrative in nature and acceptable. the licensee

Accordingly, pursuant to 10 CFR 20.103 (c) and (f), if your desires to receive credit for use of respiratory protective equipment at for after December 28, 1977, such use must be as stipulated in Regulatory Guide 8.15 rather than as was specified in deleted Technical Specification 6.12. Based on the revocation provision of the specification of the specification from you; we have deleted Specification 6.12 in its entirety from the Technical Specifications of License No. DFL-13.

Environmental Consideration

We have determined that this amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact, and pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4) that an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

In designing the pressurizer Westinghouse performed a thermal stress analysis which analyzed the fatigue resulting from a heatup rate of 100°F/hr and a cooldown rate of 200°F/hr. This analysis meets the standards of the ASME Code, Section III, which requires that the analysis be based on a usage factor. The usage factor represent the fraction of the fatigue life (the total amount of stress that a particular component is designed to handle), with a usage factor of zero implying that no stress has been exerted on the component, and a usage factor of one implying that the stress exerted on the component is equal to the amount of stress that the component is designed to handle. For any piece of equipment, certain components receive more stress than others. For the pressurizer, this component is the surge nozzle, which has a usage factor of 0.9 for the design numbers listed above. This usage factor is such that if the heatup and cooldown rates used in the analysis were exceeded more than a few times, the actual usage factor for the surge nozzle would exceed 1.0, which is not allowable under the ASME Code. Thus, we conclude that reducing the heatup rate limit from #95°F/hr to 100°F/hr is necessary to maintain thermal stresses in the pressurizer to allowable levels. For the same reasons, we further conclude that the proposition the cooldown rate limit presently listed in the Technical Specifications is_adequate. from 195 Ff/hr to 200 F/hr is acceptable .

Because the current Technical Specification provision authorized higher rates of pressurizer heatup than the correct limit, the question arose as to whether the correct limit of 100°F per hour has been exceeded in the past. Discussions with Westinghouse indicate that this is unlikely. This is because system capabilities and Technical Specification limits on the rate of reactor coolant system heatup and pressurization effectively preclude pressurizer heatup rates in excess of 50°F to 75°F per hour

Furthermore the licensee has reviewed the operating records To date a pressurizer hadrup rote of hoo F per hom has never been exceeded at So - I. The maximum heatup rate observed has been about 70°F per hour and the normal heatings note is in the sampe of 40°F to 55°F : per hour. Accordingly, we conclude that the only action required by SO-1 Kewaunee is modification of the Technical Specifications to reduce the limiting pressurizer heatup rate of 195°F per hour to 100°F per hour.

We have talked with Westinghouse and Westinghouse is performing a review of the stress analyses for components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary to assure that no similar inadvertent error appears in any other portion of the applicable Technical Specifications. This action will be confirmed by Westinghouse. Southern California Edison Company

- 2 -

November 17, 1978

cc w/enclosure
Rollin E. Woodbury, Vice President
and General Counsel
Southern California Edison Company
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Chickering & Gregory, General Counsel ATTN: C. Hayden Ames, Esquire San Diego Gas & Electric Company 111 Sutter Street San Francisco, California 94104

Mission Viejo Branch Library 24851 Chrisanta Drive Mission Viejo, California 92676

Mayor

City of San Clemente San Clemente, California 92672

Chairman Board of Supervisors County of San Diego San Diego, California 92101

California Department of Health (w/SCEC application dated 12/30/77) ATTN: Chief, Environmental Radiation Control Unit Radiologic Health Section 714 P Street, Room 498 Sacramento, California 95814

Chief, Energy Systems Analyses Branch (AW-459) Office of Radiation Programs U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Room 645, East Tower 401 M Street, S. W. Washington, D. C. 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX Office ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR 100 California Street San Francisco, California 94111