

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2013 2:01 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 0741 greene.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/19/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00232

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 245

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D00127B3A5B282)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 11/20/2013 2:00:55 PM
Received Date: 11/20/2013 2:00:56 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	239	11/20/2013 2:00:56 PM
0741 greene.pdf	78799	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 20, 2013 Received: November 19, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-88tw-go0n Comments Due: December 20, 2013 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0361

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0741

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-21708

Submitter Information

Name: David Greene

Address:

806 Francis Av

Columbus, OH, 43209

Email: dgreene624@yahoo.com

General Comment

The NRC has neglected to address the problems related to indefinite storage at nuclear power plants and the million year storage problems associated with offsite storage. I think no new nuclear power plant should be approved as long as storage is not solved. We cannot assume that long-term geologic storage will be secured, we cannot assume that indefinite storage onsite will be safe.

Instead of these assumptions, the NRC should draft a new GEIS to examine:

- the probability that a geologic repository will be successfully sited,
- the probability that a successfully sited repository will actually contain radiation,
- the degree to which a repository may leak radiation, and
- the public health and environmental consequences that may occur if a repository is not sited or if it ineffectively contains radioactivity.