

Addressing Negative Perceptions of Risk-Informed Applications

- my perception of the perceptions -

Donnie Harrison

ANS Winter Meeting
Embedded Topical:
Risk Management for Complex Socio-Technical Systems [RM4CSS]

November 14, 2013

Opening Thought

Misperception of Misperceptions

- Public opposition to X is widely interpreted as the result of the public's misperception of the risks
- A strategy of accurate risk communication from trusted sources has been advocated
- Survey results:
 - Some respondents perceive both risks and benefit
 - For others benefit appears to dominate their judgments
 - Their perception of risk is of limited importance in the formation of attitudes toward X
- Calls into question the relevance of risk communication strategies for bringing about change in public opinion

Opening Thought

Reference

X == genetically modified (GM) food and crops

Abstract from Risk Analysis 2004 Feb;24(1):185-94.

GM foods and the misperception of risk perception.

Gaskell G, Allum N, Wagner W, Kronberger N, Torgersen H, Hampel J, Bardes J.

Source

Department of Social Psychology, London School of Economics, Centre for the Analysis of Risk and Regulation, London, UK. g.gaskell@lse.ac.uk

Factors Causing a Negative Perception

- Change is always difficult
 - Most risk-informed programs change an existing program
 - I am comfortable with the existing program (been doing this for 30 years and never had a problem)
 - Why “fix” it if it ain’t broke
 - My area/expertise is not important anymore
 - Change is even harder when it is changing to something you don’t do or control
 - What is not well known is not trusted
 - I don’t trust something if what I used to do is now being controlled or influenced by something else
 - Must prove its worth/trust

Example Negative Perceptions of Risk-Informed Applications

- Most risk-informed applications allow reductions from the traditional program
- PRA models are not technically accurate and optimistic
 - Where there is a lack of experience/data, they make up numbers instead of being conservative or doing research to develop better analyses
- Most complaints from the risk community relate to cost; not about making plants safer
 - What you complain about is what is really of most importance to you

The First Step is to Recognize There is a Problem

- There is some truth to the perceptions
- Risk community sometimes causes negative perceptions in how it handles issues, for example:
 - All PRAs use conservative approaches as simplifications to detailed analyses, especially if they do not impact the results
 - If you heard the risk community talk about “conservatism” you would think it was anathema
 - When a conservatism impacts the results, then more detailed analyses usually are needed, but that increases costs
 - Some seek “quick fixes” without developing sound technical bases, without doing research/testing
- One bad experience reinforces the distrust

Implications of the Way Risk is Communicated

- Most risk-informed applications use RG 1.174
 - For plants with CDF below 10^{-4} /year, RG 1.174 acceptance guidelines allow small increases in risk
 - Very small increases if slightly above 10^{-4} /year
 - Some applications reduce risk, but it is easier to show that they will result in no more than a small (or very small) risk increase instead of showing they reduce risk
 - What is not conveyed is the improved selection processes, better understanding of maintenance/inspection aspects, and the trade-offs of focusing on the most important items instead of treating all items equally
- Do we presume these benefits are self-evident or just not needed to gain the approval?

Addressing Perceptions

- Past studies on risk-informed applications should be updated and disseminated upward and outward
- Recognize this is not an either/or world, but a both/and world
 - Strive for Win-Win situations
 - Don't compromise technical integrity for expediency

Addressing Perceptions

- Recognize no model or analyst is perfect
- Continue to strive to improve PRAs
 - Don't create fudge factors
 - Develop sound technical bases for new methods
 - Continual improvement requires continual investment
 - Research/Testing
- Communicate about the uncertainties in the results/insights
 - Defense-in-Depth, Safety Margins, and conservatism (when there is a lack of knowledge) are vital in having a balanced, risk-informed approach

Closing Thought on Addressing Perceptions

- PRA is a powerful tool that can help communication between various audiences
- Recognize the different audiences when communicating
- Listen to the inputs of the audiences
- Don't strive to correct the perception, strive to understand it
 - Often it is our approach to communication that needs correction