PSEGESPEnvDocsPEm Resource

From: Fetter, Allen

Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2013 10:25 AM

To: PSEGESPEnvDocsPEm Resource

Subject: FW: Just a heads up

From: Mussatti, Daniel

Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2013 10:18 AM

To: Fetter, Allen

Subject: FW: Just a heads up

FYI PJM's preferences on the use of their material and input.

SV3 - That 1 When to

Daniel C Mussatti Senior Environmental Economist

From: kozaf@pjm.com [mailto:kozaf@pjm.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2013 11:26 AM

To: Mussatti, Daniel

Cc: Kugler, Andrew; DMA@pnnl.gov; Cushing, Jack

Subject: RE: Just a heads up

That would work for us.

From: Mussatti, Daniel [mailto:Daniel.Mussatti@nrc.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2013 10:37 AM

To: Koza, Frank

Cc: Kugler, Andrew; DMA@pnnl.gov; Cushing, Jack

Subject: RE: Just a heads up

Understood. Perhaps it would be best if we let you see any statements in our EIS before we go final so you can have a chance at clarifying. If you have any documents that clarify points, such as the zero bid for nuclear plants, please point us in the right direction.

More later.

Dan'l



Daniel C Mussatti Senior Environmental Economist

From: kozaf@pjm.com [mailto:kozaf@pjm.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2013 10:31 AM

To: Mussatti, Daniel

Cc: Kugler, Andrew; DMA@pnnl.gov; Cushing, Jack

Subject: RE: Just a heads up

Daniel,

The information that we would share with you is mostly public information. However, if it came to quoting us, we would consider that private.

Frank

From: Mussatti, Daniel [mailto:Daniel.Mussatti@nrc.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2013 10:26 AM

To: Koza, Frank

Cc: Kugler, Andrew; Anderson, David M (DMA@pnnl.gov); Cushing, Jack

Subject: Just a heads up

Frank, I should have done my due diligence long ago, so first let me apologize for possibly putting you in an awkward situation

For the NRC to use the information we learn through our interactions with PJM, we will need to be able to make those interactions open to public perusal. For a public official, such as a mayor or an agent of a state or federal office, there is no presumption of privacy related to phone calls, personal visits, emails, etc. However, in dealing with a private person or entity, we need to make it clear to the person we want to put on the record that we will be speaking "on the record." PJM seems to be somewhere between a private entity and a public one, so I need to ask you: Do you consider our communications as public or private? If public, then we are good to go. However, if you consider our conversations private and not subject to citation in our EIS, we will need to identify a work-around. Typically that would entail finding a published document that says the same thing we want to rely upon in our work.

I will not make our previous communications public unless you are on board with that process, but from here forward, at least the rules are clear. Sorry for any unintentional inconvenience.

Dan'l

Daniel C Mussatti

Senior Environmental Economist

Hearing Identifier: PSEG_Site_ESP_EnvDocs_Public

Email Number: 11

Mail Envelope Properties (4AD1A659C92C8546AA34BFB9D10564E483943487EC)

 Subject:
 FW: Just a heads up

 Sent Date:
 11/20/2013 10:25:18 AM

 Received Date:
 11/20/2013 10:25:19 AM

From: Fetter, Allen

Created By: Allen.Fetter@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"PSEGESPEnvDocsPEm Resource" <PSEGESPEnvDocsPEm.Resource@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 3224 11/20/2013 10:25:19 AM

image001.jpg 3871

Options

Priority:StandardReturn Notification:NoReply Requested:NoSensitivity:Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:

JUB - That 1 When to -