

November 21, 1984

DISTRIBUTION

Kenneth P. Baskin, Vice President
Nuclear Engineering Safety
and Licensing Department
Southern California Edison Company
P.O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Ave.
Rosemead, California 91770

Docket PM
NRC PDR OELD
Local PDR ELJordan
ORB Reading PFMcKee
NSIC ACRS (10)
JZwolinski SEPB
CJamerson CMiles, OPA

Dear Mr. Baskin:

Enclosed is a "Contingent Rescission of Suspension" and supporting Safety Evaluation Report pertaining to resumed operation of San Onofre Unit 1. Operation was suspended by the "Order Confirming Licensee Commitments on Seismic Upgrading" dated August 11, 1982. The plant may resume operation in accordance with the terms of the "Contingent Rescission of Suspension."

In the enclosed Safety Evaluation Report, we concluded that there is reasonable assurance that operation of San Onofre Unit 1 in accordance with the enclosed "Contingent Rescission of Suspension" will present no undue risk to the public health and safety. The statements and responses of the staff presented at the public meeting of the Commission held on November 21, 1984 can be relied on as confirmation of this conclusion. The Safety Evaluation Report includes, as Attachment A, a technical evaluation report by our contractor, EG&G Idaho, Inc. which notes that a few specific inspections were not conducted because of a lack of time or inaccessibility. Neither the staff nor EG&G believe that the conduct of those inspections is necessary to affirm the conclusions presented in the Safety Evaluation Report, since an adequate number of inspections were performed.

For purposes of the units' technical specifications, operability of those structures, systems, and components which have been shown to remain functional following a 0.67g seismic event, should be determined on the basis of 0.67g. In addition, if a structure, system or component no longer satisfies this basis, reports shall be submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(ii)(B) and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) of the Commission's regulations. Similarly, if the analyses, conducted as part of the ongoing seismic evaluation, or results thereof, indicate that the seismic capability of any safety-related structure, system or component is less than 0.5g, you should submit a report in accordance with the cited regulations. If you have any questions concerning these reporting provisions, please call me immediately.

Sincerely,

Original signed by
Darrell G. Eisenhut

Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director
Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: As stated

cc: See next page

SEP:DL
EMcKenna:dm
11/21/84

C: SEP:DL
CGrimes
11/21/84

OELD
BURNS/CHANDLER
11/21/84

ORB#5:DL
JZwolinski
11/21/84

AD
DCrutchfield
11/21/84

B/DL
DEisenhut
11/21/84

8411270372 841121
PDR ADOCK 05000206
PDR

(EX-08)

SE01
11

cc

Charles R. Kocher, Assistant
General Counsel
James Beoletto, Esquire
Southern California Edison Company
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

David R. Pigott
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Dr. Lou Bernath
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
P. O. Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS
c/o U.S. NRC
P. O. Box 4329
San Clemente, California 92672

Mayor
City of San Clemente
San Clemente, California 92672

Chairman
Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego
San Diego, California 92101

Director
Energy Facilities Siting Division
Energy Resources Conservation &
Development Commission
1516 - 9th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IX Office
ATTN: Regional Radiation Representative
215 Fremont Street
San Francisco, California 94105

John B. Martin, Regional Administrator
Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region V
1450 Maria Lane
Walnut Creek, California 94596