

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Southern California Edison Company
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
Unit 1

Docket No. 50-206
License No. DPR-13

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 27 through May 7, 1987, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedures for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1987), the violations are listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 6.10 specifies that records of sealed source leak tests and test results, in units of microcuries, shall be maintained for leak tests performed pursuant to Specification 4.12. Technical Specification 4.12 requires the leak test of sealed sources containing greater than 100 microcuries of beta and/or gamma emitting material at intervals not to exceed six months.

Contrary to the above, on May 4, 1987, records of the results of leak tests performed on November 17, 1986, on sealed source serial numbers 92-0152 white, 92-0152 gold and 92-0152 green, were not maintained as required.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement IV).

- B. 10 CFR Part 20.203(f) requires that each container of licensed material bear a durable, clearly visible label identifying the radioactive contents and that the label bear the radiation caution symbol and the words "Caution, Radioactive Material" or "Danger, Radioactive Material." The label shall also provide sufficient information to permit individuals handling or using the container, or working in the vicinity thereof, to take precautions to avoid or minimize exposure.

Contrary to the above on April 27, 1987, effluent monitor R-1254 did not bear the required labels for the two 100 microcurie Cs-137 sealed sources it contained.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Southern California Edison Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an

8707060704 870618
PDR ADCK 05000206
Q PDR

order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown.

Dated this 18th day of June 1987
at Walnut Creek, California

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Frank A. Wenslawski

Frank A. Wenslawski, Chief
Emergency Preparedness and
Radiological Protection Branch