

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION V

Report No. 50-206/85-36

Docket No. 50-206

License No. DPR-13

Licensee: Southern California Edison Company
P. O. Box 800, 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770

Facility Name: San Onofre Unit 1

Location: Region V Office
Walnut Creek, California

Conference conducted: November 1, 1985

Prepared by:

P. H. Johnson

P. H. Johnson, Chief
Reactor Projects Section No. 3

11/8/85
Date Signed

Approved by:

P. H. Johnson

P. H. Johnson, Chief
Reactor Projects Section No. 3

11/8/85
Date Signed

Summary:

Enforcement Conference on November 1, 1985 (Report No. 85-36).

The following matters were discussed:

1. NRC concerns regarding inoperability of the Unit 1 turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump on September 19, 1985.
2. Licensee corrective actions.
3. NRC enforcement policy.

This enforcement conference involved a total of 10 hours by eight NRC representatives.

8512040145 851113
PDR ADOCK 05000206
Q PDR

ENFORCEMENT CONFERENCE

1. Conference Attendees

Southern California Edison Company (SCE)

H. B. Ray, Vice President, Site Manager
K. P. Baskin, Vice President, Nuclear Engineering Safety
and Licensing
H. E. Morgan, Station Manager
J. M. Curran, Quality Assurance Manager
M. Wharton, Deputy Station Manager
D. E. Schull, Maintenance Manager

NRC Region V

J. B. Martin, Regional Administrator
B. H. Faulkenberry, Deputy Regional Administrator
A. D. Johnson, Enforcement Officer
A. E. Chaffee, Chief, Reactor Projects Branch
P. H. Johnson, Chief, Reactor Projects Section 3
F. R. Huey, Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre
P. P. Narbut, Project Inspector
G. N. Cook, Public Affairs Officer

2. Conference Summary

The enforcement conference convened at 1:45 p.m. in the Region V conference room. Mr. Martin made opening remarks and discussed the purpose of the conference.

Mr. Huey then discussed the findings of the special inspection, involving failure of the Unit 1 turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump following a reactor trip on September 19, 1985. Specific concerns were discussed, as identified in NRC Inspection Report No. 50-206/85-33. Apparent violations of NRC requirements were identified.

Mr. Chaffee discussed NRC concerns related to the event and apparent causal factors as perceived based upon the NRC's inspection activities. The concerns addressed included the following:

- ° The licensee missed a number of opportunities to identify and correct the inoperability of the auxiliary feedwater pump.
- ° The modification made to the lubricating oil sight glass on the auxiliary feedwater pump was improper and was performed by a member of maintenance supervision without required authorization or documentation.
- ° Licensee procedures for documentation and correction of deficiencies were not followed.

Mr. Ray then discussed corrective actions taken and being taken by SCE to address the identified weaknesses. This included an "Area Monitoring Program" which utilizes teams of Operations, technical, and maintenance supervisors to provide increased surveillance of safety related activities, and an "Enhanced Material Standard" to heighten awareness and increase sensitivity to the importance of individual system problems. Mr. Ray also stated that SCE would like to provide a written response to the special inspection report to further define actions being implemented.

In response to a question from Mr. A. Johnson, licensee representatives stated that they had no questions regarding the NRC enforcement policy (as provided in 10 CFR 2, Appendix C).

Mr. Martin presented concluding remarks, and the conference adjourned at 2:55 p.m.