

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

REGION V

Report No. 50-206/80-27
Docket No. 50-206 License No. DPR-13 Safeguards Group _____
Licensee: Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770
Facility Name: San Onofre Unit 1
Inspection at: San Onofre
Inspection conducted: September 15-19, 1980
Inspectors: A. J. Horn 10/17/80
A. J. Horn, Reactor Inspector Date Signed

Date Signed

Date Signed
Approved By: B. H. Faulkenberry for 10/17/80
B. H. Faulkenberry, Chief, Reactor Projects Section 2 Date Signed
Reactor Operations and Nuclear Support Branch

Summary:

Inspection on September 15-19, 1980 (Report No. 50-206/80-27)

Areas Inspected: Routine, unannounced inspection of onsite organization and administration, maintenance program, non-licensed and general training, requalification training, IE Bulletin/Circular followup, fire protection modifications and independent inspection effort. This inspection involved 33 hours by one NRC inspector.

Results: No items of noncompliance or deviations were identified.

RV Form 219 (2)

8011050 353

DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

*J. Haynes, Manager, Nuclear Operations
*J. Curran, Plant Manager
*D. Nunn, Manager, QA
*R. Brunet, Superintendent, Unit 1
*G. McDonald, QA/QC Supervisor
*J. Tate, Operations Supervisor
*J. Willis, Training Manager
B. Cuneo, NUS
M. Wharton, Supervising Engineer
D. Mette, Training Administrator
M. Kirby, Training Instructor

*Denotes those persons who attended the exit interview. Also present at the exit interview were the NRC resident inspectors, R. Pate and L. Miller.

2. Fire Protection Modifications

There are two areas of the licensee's modifications still remaining to review for completion.

- a. Curbs and drains - the work has been completed but the completed records have not been assembled.
- b. Change to the 480V swgr room door (facing the SS transformers) - change request submitted by the licensee to NRR for approval.

There are two areas where NRR, through their fire protection consultants, disagree with the licensee's modifications. Resolution, including possible changes by the licensee, is yet to be completed. (80-18-02, Open)

3. Maintenance Program

The licensee does not have a comprehensive program relating to maintenance activities. The licensee does, however, have a project underway to rewrite existing procedures and write new ones, as necessary, to implement a maintenance program. NUS corporation is under contract to write the procedures. The inspector discussed the program plans with an NUS representative and determined it contains the objectives and key ingredients discussed in ANSI N18.7-1976. Since the program is still in the early stages of development and implementation, further inspection will be required at a later date. (80-27-01)

4. Organization and Administration (Onsite)

There have been no changes to the onsite organization as required by the Technical Specifications since the previous inspection.

5. Training (Non-licensed and General) and Requalification Training

The inspector reviewed portions of the licensee's personnel training programs. Records show that licensed operator training/requalification training meets the requirements of the Technical Specifications (which commits to Section 5.5 of ANSI N18.1-1971 and Appendix A of 10 CFR 55). The licensee has also written a revised operator requalification program in response to Mr. H. Denton's letter of March 28, 1980. The licensee is planning extensive changes in the overall training program, which includes the establishment of a corporate-office level position of Training Manager (already assigned). Additional inspection will be performed as the training program develops (80-27-02). Further development of training requirements by the NRC will also need to be incorporated into the licensee's program which will also be followed in future inspections.

6. IE Bulletin/Circular/Information Notice Follow-up.

a. (Closed) Bulletin 79-03A

The licensee reported that the specific material mentioned in the bulletin is not used in the manner described therein. No further action required.

b. (Closed) Bulletin 80-15

The licensee's response adequately responds to the bulletin. The inspector verified that the applicable station procedure has been modified as described in the licensee's response.

c. (Closed) Bulletin 80-19

The licensee reported that the subject of the bulletin (mercury-wetted matrix relays) are not used in the plants reactor protective system.

No further action required.

d. Circulars-General

Due to the increased workload on the engineering department at the station during the present extended outage for steam generator repairs, the licensee has not been able to perform their normal review of circulars. The licensee has stated their intention of performing the required reviews as soon as possible.

e. Information Notice 80-10

The licensee determined that SONGS-1 does not utilize Bailey Control Company Voltage Buffer Cards. No further action required. This information is reported per the request from N. Moseley to regional directors dated March 7, 1980.

7. Exit Interview

The inspector met with the licensee representatives denoted in paragraph 1 on September 19, 1980 to discuss the findings of this inspection. The inspector noted the need to followup on changes in the licensee's maintenance and training programs.