	REGULATOR)		DISTRIBUTION SYS	M. (RIDS)	
	IN NBR:8201290289	DOC.DATE:	82/01/26 NOTARIZE	D: NO	DOCKET #
			on, Unit 2, Southe on, Unit 3, Southe		
AUTH.N	AUTHOR	AFFILIATION	T A. P		
	R. Southerr NAME RECIPIE	NCA)ifornia/ ENT AFFILIATI			
DENTON,	H.R. Office c	f Nuclear Re	actor Regulation,	Director	
EISENHU	T,D.G. Division	n of Licensin	9		
SUBJECT			ept=0034 ne seismi		
1			•.04 fails to asse as audit objective		
			:	-	H C
DISTRIB	UTION CODE: BOOIS PSAR/FSAR AMDTS ar	COPIES RECE	IVED:LTR L ENCL	SIZE:	
				*	
	. Chandler:all FSAF Scaletti:1 cy all		1 cy:J Hanchett(R	egion V).	05000361
			.1 cy:J Hanchett(R	egion V).	05000362
,D	Scaletti:1 cy all	envir info.			
	RECIPIENT	COPIES	RECIPIENT	COPIES	
ACTION:	ID CODE/NAME A/D LICENSNG	LATR ENCL 1 0	ID CODE/NAME. Lic Br #3 BC	LITTR ENCL	
HCITON.	LIC BR #3 LA	î O	ROOD,H. 01		
INTERNAL:	FID	1 0	IE 06	3 3	
	IE/DEP/EPDB 35	1 1	IE/DEP/EPLB 36	3 3	
	MPA	1 0	NRR/DE/CEB 11	1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1	
х.	NRR/DE/EQB 13 NRR/DE/HGEB 30	·3 ·3 2 ·2	NRR/DE/GB 28 NRR/DE/MEB 18	22 11	
	NRR/DE/MTEB 17		NRR/DE/QAB 21	1 1	
	NRR/DE/SAB 24	i i	NRR/DE/SEB 25	1 1	
	NRR/DHES/HEEB40.	1 1	NRR/DHFS/LQB 32	1 1	
	NRR/DHFS/OLB 34	1 1	NRR/DHFS/PTRB20	1 1	
	NRR/DSI/AEB 26	. 1 1	NRR/DSI/ASB 27	1 1	
	NRR/DSI/CPB 10	<u>i</u>	NRR/DSI/CSB 09	1 1	
	NRR/DSI/ETSB 12	1 1	NRR/DSI/ICSB 16	1 1	
	NRR/DSI/PSB 19	1 1	NRR/DSI/RAB 22	1 1	
	NRR/DSI/RSB 23	1 1 1 1	NRR/DST/LGB 33	1 i	
EXTERNAL:		16 16	BNL (AMDTS ONLY)	1 1	
	FEMA-REP DIV 39	1 1	LPDR 03	1 1	
	NRC PDR 02	1 1	NSIC 05	1 1	
	NTIS	.1.1			

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LITTR 1/3 ENCL 1/8

Southern California Edison Company

P. O. BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA 91770

ROBERT DIETCH

January 26, 1982

Mr. Harold R. Denton Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Mr. Darrell G. Eisenhut

Dear Mr. Denton:

Subject: Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3

Enclosed are seven copies of PFR-0034 issued to Southern California Edison Company which has been dispositioned by General Atomic to be a Finding. According to our procedures, as previously transmitted to you, this Finding is being processed through a CAR.

If you have any questions, please call me.

Very truly yours, Kokert Sietels/

cc: NRC Region V R. H. Engelken (w encl)

8201270287 8201 PDR ADDCK 05000

....A.





TELEPHONE

213-572-4144





TORREY PINES TECHNOLOGY

San Diego, Californie 92138 Telephone: (714) 455-2654

GEORGE L. WESSMAN

January 25, 1982

Mr. D. J. Pogarty Executive Vice President Southern California Edison Company P. O. Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Dear Mr. Fogarty:

Enclosed herewith is report 2408-PFR-0034 which has been classified as a Finding.

Sincerely,

Deorge /j

George L. Wessman Project Manager

Enclosure

cc: J. Adrian

2408 PFR NO. 00 14 00 34

POTENTIAL FINDING REPORT REVISION . SONGS 223 SEISMIC DESIGN VERIFICATION

EPARATION BY GA INITIATOR

ALIGNMAN (URTHELMENT) MICH

FECTED ITEMS: SCE Quality Assurance Procedure N18.04, Rev. 18 (11/23/81) and prior visions - "QA Organization Audits - Scheduling, Planning, Performance, Documentation of Follow-Up"

Equirement reference documents:

CFR50, Appendix B - Criterion 18 and Regulatory Guide 1.144 (Rev. 1, 9/80), with 10 and ANSI/ASME N45.2.12-1977.

ASIC REQUIREMENT: Appendix B requires that "A comprehensive system of planned and eriodic audits shall be carried out to verify compliance with all aspects of the quassurance program and to determine effectiveness of the program." ANSI/ASME M45.2.1. tates: "The objectives of the audit system are: 3.2.3 to assess the effectiveness ca he quality assurance program;"

ESCRIPTION OF POTENTIAL FINDING:

CE Quality Assurance Procedure N18.04 did not specify assessment of effectiveness a he quality assurance program as an audit objective. Consequently, QA audits concer ith seismic design output implementation might confirm compliance with established ontrols, for example, without determining effectiveness.

REPARED BY:

DATE: 1/19/92

EJECTION OF GA TASK LEADER COMMENTS BY: _____ EJECTION OF ORIGINAL DESIGN ORG. COMMENTS BY: _

EVIEW BY GA TASK LEADER

DATE: DATE: .

COMMENTS

Alhuc S AGREE PF IS VALID REGUEST RE-REVIEW BY DATE BY. DATE

2970-	*FR RU. 200	1-0KOT
	SEVISION_	-0034

VIEW BY DRIGINAL DESIGN DRGANIZATION	- Comments
QAP N18.04, Revision 18*(11/23/ udit reports include a summary evaluation statement regarding puality assurance program.) Pre- include this provision.	the effectiveness of the
T ACALE PEIS WALLE STATE THE MORE AND	
AGREE PF IS VALID EXCEPT. AS NOTED ABO	VE . · ·
· Anio	• • • • •
BY: Q.M. Cim DATE: 1	11.32
COMMENDATION BY FINDINGS REVIEW COMMIT	IEE
FINITION ADEQUACY: A ADEQUATE	
	• •
ALIDITY: • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
FLASSIFICATION: - D DESERVATION	s Finding
JUSTIFICATION:	•
CLASSIFICATION CRITERION NO. RESULTING	N "FINDING"
COMMENT ON "DESERVATION" CLASSIFICATIO	N 1.
1	
	• •
	•
1	• • • •
•	۵ ۲
Y: S. L. Kouty DATE! /	/23/82
A PROJECT MANAGER	▲
ACCEPT	a b
PRÉJECT ··· ·	•
	۰ ۲
	o ₿
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
To a set a	°∎
All	· / - · · · ·



2408PFR NO. -0034

FECTED ITEM: _____ SCE Quality Assurance Procedure N18.04

IS THERE THE POTENTIAL FOR REDUCING DESIGN MARGINS TO THE EXTENT DESIGN ALLOWABLES ARE EXCEEDED OR DESIGN REQUIREMENTS ARE NOT MET?

Not applicable

IS THERE THE POTENTIAL THAT THE ITEM MIGHT FAIL OR ENDANGER OTHER ITEMS DURING AN SSE?

Nor applicable

COULD THE FAILURE OF THIS ITEM DURING AN SSE CREATE A SUBSTANTIAL SAFETY HAZARD?

Not applicable

COULD THE PROCEDURAL VIOLATION CREATE A SUBSTANTIAL SAFETY HAZARD?

Conceivable. See Item 6, below.

ARE OTHER SIMILAR DEVIATIONS LIKELY TO EXIST?

None were identified in the procedure review.

OTHER COMMENTS: QA audits performed to SCE QA Procedure requirements prior to 11/23' may have verified <u>compliance</u> with documented QA program requirements without assessing the <u>effectiveness</u> of the controls. The established controls may have been deficient or requirements may have been omitted. Conceivably, requirements for proper implementation of seismic design outputs may have been inadequate for effective control.

Hannal DATE: 1/22/82

OMMENTS:

aprec with above.

ATE: 1/23/82

	SB Gom		
Southern California Edison Company		05 19	
QA ORGANIZATION AUDITS -	11/23/81	1. 19	
SCHEDULING, PLANNING, PERFORMANCE, DOCUMENTATION AND FOLLOW-UP	Revision Addition	Rev. 17 -	
•	Gilit.	Comment	Revision 18
Quality Assurance - 0090Q -	Qualit		

T.O. PURPOSE

1.1 To provide the requirements for planning, performance, and documentation of quality assurance program audits by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

1.2 To provide for communication of deviations from the quality assurance program requirements discovered as a result of an audit and associated followup corrective action verification.

1.3 This procedure is intended to satisfy Criteria XVI and XVIII of 10CFR50, Appendix B, Regulatory Guide 1.33 and ANSI N45.2.12 with respect to planning, performance, documentation and followup action associated with corrective action.

2.0 APPLICABILITY

This procedure applies to SCE Quality Assurance Organization audits of quality assurance related activities in the following organizations:

2.1 SCE internal departments and organizations

2.2 Contractors and sub-tier contractors.

3.0 RESPONSIBILITY

3.1 The Manager, Quality Assurance is responsible for assuring that the audit program is supported by sufficient manpower, funding and facilities to implement the program. He is responsible for approving audit schedules submitted by the Project QA Supervisors. He is responsible to communicate audit results to the management of the areas audited and to provide appropriate management involvement in resolution of associated corrective action. He shall provide, as a minimum, an annual audit of the Quality Assurance. Organization activities in accordance with the applicable requirements of this procedure.

Southern California Edison Company			
QA ORGANIZATION AUDITS	N18.04	18 8.	19 DAP

5.4.2 The audit plan shall be used by the audit team to establish a systematic evaluation of the criteria under audit. Each question or item in the audit plan shall be addressed to a degree consistent with the objective of the audit. The auditor may add to the audit plan during performance of the audit areas which are discovered which appear to raise additional questions or potential quality assurance program deviations.

5.4.3 Objective evidence shall be documented in the Audit Report to address each item of the audit plan. This documentation shall provide sufficient detail, to allow management to evaluate the effectiveness of the quality assurance program implementation.

5.4.4 Should areas be discovered during the audit which * appear to present deficiencies, the auditor shall bring this to the attention of the audit team leader (as appropriate) and the management representative escorting the auditor. This communication is considered necessary in order to provide a meaningful discussion during the exit interview and to provide a basis for required corrective action recommendations.

5.4.5 At the conclusion of the audit, an exit interview or post-audit conference shall be held with the management of the audited organization to present the audit findings. The audit team leader shall provide a summary of the findings addressing the positive points as well as the negative points. Recommended corrective action associated with the negative points should be explored with the management of the audited organization to arrive at a mutually agreed-to solution to the problem prior to concluding the post-audit conference. Results of the post-audit conference as well as any agreements reached shall be documented by the audit team leader in AUDIT COMMENTS (Attachment 2) unless another audit report format is used. AUDIT COMMENTS shall also include a summary of audit results (i.e. an evaluation statement regarding the effectiveness of the quality assurance program elements audited and any recommendations for correcting program deficiencies or improving the quality assurance program).

5.5 Audit Reporting

CH 99-185-1 445 7 4/06

5.5.1 The Audit Report (Attachment 2) shall be completed in accordance with the instructions provided in Attachment 4. The audit team leader is responsible for finalizing the report.