. 2			÷.			· •	
			<u> </u>	,			
3		REGULATO	IRY ORMA	TION DISTRIE	BUTION SYS	M (RIDS)	
CCD09T	-			TE- 0-10+ (-)		5- D - 110	DOGUET.
FACTI .	UN NOR: 50-361	8201690129 820 000406	Nuclear S	11E: 02/01/28	NUTARIZE	D: NU an Galifann	DUCKET
	50=362	San Onofre	Nuclear S	tation. Unit	3. Southe	nn Californ	0500038
AUTH.	NAME	AUTHO	R AFFILTAT	TON			0100030
BASKIN	.K.P.	Southe	nn Califon	nia Edison (
RECIP	NAME	RECIP	IENT AFFIL	IATION	· • •		
MIRAGL	IA,F.	Ŀic	ensing Bra	inch 3			
		4		·	s 6. 197	· · · · · ·	
SUBJEC	T: Forw	ards 82012	1 meeting	handouts & a	ssociated	changes re	_
	cont	rolaroom c	lesign.Chan	iges will be	inconporate	ed into FSAF	२
	Amer	10 EY.					
DISTRE	BUTTON		S COPLES	RECEIVED	FNCI /	1 STTE. 41	8
TITLE:	Proj M	ar Distrib	ution		tientessan ∕		
	· · - •	·					
NOTES:	L Chanc	ler:all FS	AR-& ER am	ends 1 cy:J	Hanchett (R	egion V).	0500036
	DScale	tti:1 cy a	ll envir i	nfo.			
	LChanc	ller:all FS	AR & ER am	ends.1 cy:J	Hanchett(R	egion V).	0500036
	DScale	still cy a	11.envir.i	info.			
				,			
	REC	TPTENT	COPTES	PECI	DTENT	CODIER	
		ODEZNAME	UTTR FN			LTTP ENCL	
FION:	ROOD	Η. 01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.4		thai to io to to bailing to the	
TERNAL	REGE	ILE 04	. 1	1			
			С. Х С	•			
TERNAL	LPUR	~ 0 3	· 1	1 NRC PD)R 02	. 1 1	
	NIIS		1	1			
			\$				
					• •		
	ć .					•	
	•						
		•				1	
					•		
							·
				· · ·			
						• •	,
					· · ·	,	
			• ,			,.	
				£		•	
		,	,				

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR & ENCL &

JAN 28, 1982

TELEPHONE

(213) 572-1401

Southern California Edison Company

P. O. BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD. CALIFORNIA 91770

K. P. BASKIN MANAGER OF NUCLEAR ENGINEERING, SAFETY, AND LICENSING

> Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Mr. Frank Miraglia, Branch Chief Licensing Branch No. 3 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:



Subject: Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3

Based on the results of three control room air tests conducted during the past three months to demonstrate that San Onofre Units 2 and 3 meet the NRC acceptance criterion of a one-eighth inch water guage pressure (Reference: NRC Question 312.18), it is necessary to make minor changes to the control room design. These changes and the associated changes in control room dose and toxic gas analyses were the subject of a meeting between the NRC and SCE on January 21, 1982. The purpose of this letter is to transmit formally seven copies (NRC Mail Code B028) of the handouts used in that meeting and the associated changes to the San Onofre Units 2 and 3 FSAR. These FSAR changes will be incorporated in FSAR Amendment 29.

The schedule for completion of the subject design changes (installation of (1) larger emergency ventilation system fan motors and (2) low leakage dampers on the normal ventilation system ducts) is August 1, 1982. This six month period is necessary to permit (1) procurement of safety grade fan motors, (2) procurement of low leakage dampers, (3) seismic qualification of the dampers and (4) installation. Therefore, SCE requests that the NRC apply an acceptance criterion of "a slight positive pressure" to the control room during the interim period between fuel loading and August 1, 1982. As was discussed during the January 21, 1982 meeting, the dose and toxic gas analyses for both the existing design and the design change which will be completed by August 1, 1982 demonstrate acceptable results.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

NIP Bastan

cc: H. Rood (with one attachment, deliver to addressee only)

PDR

8201290129 820128 PDR ADDCK 05000361



MEETING WITH NRC

SAN ONOFRE UNITS 253

CONTROL ROOM PRESSURIZATION TEST

JANUARY 21, 1982

- I. INTRODUCTION AND ORIGINAL DESIGN BASIS M. MEDFORD
- II. CONTROL ROOM TOXIC GAS EVALUATION B. DUNCIL
- III. CONTROL ROOM RADIOLOGICAL EVALUATION A. WEHRENBERG

IV. CONCLUSION

M. MEDFORD

61.00

CONTROL ROOM INLEAKAGE REEVALUATION

FOR TOXIC GASES

I. INTRODUCTION

ň

- II. CONTROL ROOM LEAKAGES
- III. CHLORINE PROFILE
- IV. METHODS
- V. CONCLUSION





CONTROL ROOM LEAKAGE RATES.

FSAR TABLE 6.4-1

Leak Path	Original In-Leakage Rate at 1/8-Inch Wg, Ft /min	Revised In-Leakage Rate at 1/8-Inch Wg, Ft /min	Effective In-Leakage Rate at 1/8-Inch Wg, Ft /min
Plaster Walls	9.4	1590	379.2
Duct-Piping and Electrical Penetrations	0.33		
Dampers	250.7	323.0	89.93
Elevator Shaft	380.0	10.8	2.5
Doors	315.0	68.0	41.4
No Airlock	10.0	10.0	10.0
TOTAL	965.43	2000	523









TIME - SECONDS

OVERA	LL SUMM	ARY OF LEA	KAGES INTO THE C	ONTROL R	OOM ENVELOP	PE (A)	
	L	EAKAGE IN	1 MINUTE	LEAKAGE IN 2 MINUTES			
LEAK SOURCES	FT ³	DILUTION RATIO	EQUIVALENT FT ³ AT 5744 PPM	FT3	DILUTION RATIO	EQUIVALENT FT ³ AT 5744 PPM	
DAMPERS FV-9769 FV-9779	215	11.3	19.03	430	5.65	76.11	
DAMPER FV-9742	19.20	20.88	0.92	38.4	10.44	3.68	
DAMPER FV-9762	19.20	22.50	0.85	38.4	11.25	3.41	
DAMPER FV-9711 FV-9712	70	41.62	1.68	140	20.81	6.73	
TOTAL	323		22.48	646.8		89.93	
DOORS D-1, D-2 D-11, D-12	17.8	46.51	0.38	35.6	23.26	1.53	
DOORS D-4, D-5 D-8, D-9	13.2	18.02	0.73	26.4	9.01	2.93	
DOORS D-6, D-7	16.0	1.0	16.0	32.0	1.0	32.0	
DOORS D-13, D-14 D-15	21.0	16.88	1.24	42.0	8.44	4.98	
TOTAL	68.0		18.35	136.0		41.44	
ELEVATOR	10.8	17.38	C.62	21.6	8.69	2.49	
WALLS, PENETRATIONS NO AIR LOCK	1600	16.88	94.79	3200	8.44	379.2	
		RG 1.78	+ 10.0		RG 1.78	+ 10.0	
TOTAL	2002.2		146.24	4004.4		523.1	

OVERA	LL SUMM	ARY OF LEA	KAGES INTO THE C	ONTROL R	OOM ENVELO	РЕ (В)	
	L	EAKAGE IN	1 MINUTE	LEAKAGE IN 2 MINUTES			
LEAK Sources	FT3	DILUTION RATIO	EQUIVALENT FT ³ AT 5744 PPM	FT3	DILUTION RATIO	EQUIVALENT FT ³ AT 5744 PPM	
DAMPER FV-9742	19.20	20.88	0.92	38.4	10.44	3.68	
DAMPER FV-9762	19.20	22.50	0.85	38.4	11.25	3.41	
TOTAL	38.4		1.77	76.8		7.10	
DOORS D-1, D-2 D-11, D-12	17.8	46.51	0.38	35.6	23.26	1.53	
DOORS D-4, D-5 D-8, D-9	13.2	18.02	0.73	26.4	9.01	2.93	
DOORS D-6, D-7	16.0	1.0	16.0	32.0	1.0	32.0	
DOORS D-13, D-14 D-15	21.0	16.88	1.24	42.0	8.44	4.98	
TOTAL	68.0		18.35	136.0		41.44	
ELEVATOR	10.8	17.38	0.62	21.6	8.69	2.49	
WALLS, PENETRATIONS NO AIR LOCK	1600	16.88	94.79	3200	8.44	379.2	
		RG 1.78	+ 10.0		RG 1.78	+ 10.0	
TOTAL	1717.2	· · ·	125.53	3434.4		440.3	

DILUTION RATIO METHODS

11110 1997 1

12.20

 $V_n = VOLUME (NET) DUCT, STAIRWELL FT³$ J = VOLUME FLOW RATE (IN-LEAKAGE) CFM t = TIME MINUTES = PPM CHLORINE CONCENTRATION OF OUTSIDE AIR I_{P} = PPM CHLORINE CONCENTRATION AT THE TIME OF ISOLATION IN THE CONTROL ROOM ENVELOPE. CALCULATED BY TOXGAS AND VERIFIED INDEPENDENTLY TO BE IP = .9 PPM. C₁ = PPM CHLORINE CONCENTRATION AT 1 MINUTE (FROM EACH LEAKAGE PATH) C₂ = PPM CHLORINE CONCENTRATION AT 2 MINUTES (FROM EACH LEAKAGE PATH) $C = (t \times J \times P) + (V_D - t \times J) \times I_P$ Vn AVERAGE CONCENTRATION OF CHLORINE FOR 1 MINUTE $= I_P + C = A_C$ **DILUTION RATIO FOR 1 MINUTE** DR = P A_C EQUIVALENT CUBIC FEET IN-LEAKAGE OF OUTSIDE AIR = J DR



أجمعتهم والمرجوب والمترقع فيراث المروحة ومرجو المحال والمحار والمعالي

TYPICAL DILUTION RATIO CALCULATION





CONTROL ROOM RADIOLOGICAL EVALUATION

RELEASE AND EXPOSURE PATHWAYS MODEL

II. ACTIVITY EQUATIONS AND SOLUTIONS

III. INPUT PARAMETERS

I.

IV. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS



- DIRECT UNFILTERED LEAKAGE FRACTION
- DIRECT FILTERED LEAKAGE FRACTION
- PRIMARY FILTER NONREMOVAL EFFICIENCY
- $\lambda_{1\ell}$ = LEAKAGE REMOVAL CONSTANT FROM PRIMARY

NOTES:

8

b

F1

- 1. THE PRIMARY HOLDUP SYSTEM MAY CONTAIN INTERNAL CLEANUP SYSTEMS. FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE LOCA CASE, THE CLEANUP SYSTEM WILL BE THE CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION 6.5.2.
- 2. UNFILTERED RELEASE PATHWAYS ARE A-D-E AND D-D-E.
- 3. FILTERED RELEASE PATHWAY IS B-C-D-E.





,

ACTIVITY IN CONTROL ROOM FROM SINGLE REGION SYSTEM

$$\frac{dA_{CR}(t)}{dt} = (F_2R_{FIN} + R_{UIN})\frac{\chi}{Q} [Releases (t)] - \lambda_3A_{CR}(t)$$
where:

A_{CR}(t) = activity in the control room at any time t
 F₂ = filter nonremoval fraction on intake
 R_{FIN} = filtered intake rate
 R_{UIN} = unfiltered intake rate = 0
release(t) = release rate given in equation 4a of section 15B.6.2

$$\lambda_{3} = \lambda_{3\ell} + \lambda_{d} + \lambda_{r}$$

where:

 $\langle \rangle^{t}$

1

 $\lambda_3 = \text{total removal } \lambda \text{ from CR}$ $\lambda_{3l} = \text{exhaust from CR}$ $\lambda_d = \text{isotopic decay constant}$ $\lambda_r = \text{recirculation removal } \lambda$

$$\frac{dA_{1}}{dt} - L_{21}A_{2} = 0$$

$$\frac{dA_{2}}{dt} + (\lambda_{d} + \lambda_{s} + L_{21}) A_{2} = 0$$

$$\frac{dA_{3}}{dt} - \frac{\chi}{Q} (L_{u} + (1 - f_{L}) L_{f}) L_{21} A_{2}$$

$$+ (L_{f} + L_{u} + f_{R}R_{c} + \lambda_{d}) A_{3} = 0$$

APPENDIX 15B

where:

$$A_{1}(t) = \text{activity in the environment, (Ci)}$$

$$A_{2}(t) = \text{activity in the containment, (Ci)}$$

$$A_{3}(t) = \text{activity in the control room, (Ci)}$$

$$\lambda_{d} = \text{radioactive decay constant, (s^{-1})}$$

$$L_{21} = \frac{T_{21}}{(100)(24)(3600)}, (s^{-1})$$

$$T_{21} = \text{leak rate from the containment to the environment (%/day)}$$

$$\lambda_{s} = \text{the spray removal constant, (s^{-1})}$$

$$L_{u} = \frac{T_{u} \cdot (.3048)^{3}}{60}, (m^{3}/s)$$

$$T_{u} = \text{unfiltered inleakage into the control room, (ft^{3}/min)}$$

$$L_{f} = \frac{T_{f}(.3048)^{3}}{60}, (m^{3}/sec)$$

$$T_{f} = \text{filtered air intake rate into the control room, (ft^{3}/min)}$$

$$L_{u} = \text{the spray removal constant for the control room, (ft^{3}/min)}$$

$$L_{f} = \text{filtered air intake rate into the control room, (ft^{3}/min)}$$

$$L_{i} = \text{filtered is persion factor for the control room, (s/m^{3})}$$

$$R_{c} = \frac{T_{r}}{(V_{c})(60)}, (s^{-1})$$

$$T_{R} = \text{filtered recirculation rate in the control room, (ft^{3}/min)}$$

$$V_{c} = \text{control room free volume, (ft^{3})}$$

$$f_{R} = \text{filter efficiency of the filter on the recirculation unit}$$
The coefficient matrix is:

$$\overline{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -L_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 0
- $(\lambda_{d} + \lambda_{s} + L_{21})$ -x/Q(L_u + (1 f_L) L_f) L₂₁ 0 +($L_f + L_u + f_R R_c + \lambda_d$)

15B-18

0 -

Parameter	Assumption
Number of emergency ventilation systems operating	1
Intake rate, standard ft ³ /min	1,800 1,000
Intake cleanup filter efficiency	
Iodine, elemental, % Iodine, organic, % Iodine, particulate, % Others, %	99(b) 99(b) 99 99 99
Recirculation rate, standard ft ³ /min	34,500
Recirculation cleanup filter efficiency	
Iodine, elemental, % Iodine, organic, % Iodine, particulate, % Others, %	95 95 99 99
Leak rate, standard ft ³ /min (out leakage)	1,800 1,000
Control room volume, standard ft ³	293,300

 Table 15B-5

 CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY VENTILATION SYSTEM PARAMETERS (a)

a. There are two completely redundant emergency control room ventilation systems.

b. Based on multiple, in series, bed filtration as depicted in section 158.7.

> 23 - 24 - 194

> > Ċ



Table 15.6-17PARAMETERS USED IN EVALUATING THE RADIOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCESOF A LOSS-OF-COOLANT ACCIDENT

	Parameter	Design Basis Assumptions
A	Source data	
	1. Power level, MWt	3,560
	 Fraction of core activity initially airborne in the containment, % 	
	a. Noble gas b. Iodine	100 25
В.	Activity release data	
	1. Containment leakage rate, Vol %/d	
	a. 0 to 24 hours b. 1 to 30 days	0.1 0.05
•	 Fraction of containment leakage that is unfiltered, % 	100
	3. Credit for containment spray system	
	a. Iodine removal constants, hr-1	
	 Elemental Organic Particulate 	4.8 0.0 0.22
	b. Decontamination factor	
	(1) Elemental(2) Particulate	100 5,000

Table 15.6-18 RADIOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF A POSTULATED LOSS-OF-COOLANT ACCIDENT

j,

Result	Design Basis Assumptions
Control room dose (O to 30 days), rem	
Radiation external to the control room	
Total-body gamma	
Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage Due to piping Due to HVAC charcoal filter Radiation internal to the control room Thyroid	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 2 \times 10^{-4} \\ 0.4 \\ -9.24 \\ 0.43 \end{array}$
Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage Total-body gamma	1.6 1.03 0.24 0.43 1.5
Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1.1}{7.6 \times 10^{-5}} \\ 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$
Beta-skin Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage	$ \begin{array}{r} 14.1 \\ \frac{25.4}{1.1 \times 10^{-5}} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-5} \end{array} $

BETA-SKIN DOSE CONVERSION FACTORS

(REM-M³ / CI-HR)

ISOTOPE	PREVIOUS VALUE ¹	PRESENT VALUE ²	RATIO
KR 83M	3.06 E1		-
KR 85	2.08 E2	1.53 E2	0.74
KR 85M	2.09 E2	1.67 E2	0.80
KR 87	1.10 E3	1.11 E3	1.01
KR 88	3.11 E2	2.70 E2	0.87
KR 89	- 7	1.15 E3	-
XE 131M	1.18 E2	5.43 E1	0.46
XE 133M	1.57 E2	1.13 E2	0.72
XE 133	1.12 E2	3.49 E1	0.31
XE 135M	7.87 E1	8.11 E1	1.03
XE 135	2.62 E2	2.12 E2	0.81
XE 137	-	1.39 E3	-
XE 138	5.02 E2	4.71 E2	0.94

1 From FSAR Chapter 15B

2 From Regulatory Guide 1.109 Rev. 1



6.4.2.3 Leak Tightness

Tables 6.4-1A and 6.4-1B present the actual control room leakage rates derived from pressurization tests conducted on the San Onofre Units 2 and 3 control room envelope.

Table 6.4-1B presents the actual leakage rates into the control room envelope from the leakage paths depicted in figure 6.4-1A. The dilution ratios are the ratio of the average chlorine concentration in the volumes adjacent to the envelope to the chlorine concentration outside the control building. These ratios account for the dilution of the chlorine in the air volumes adjacent to the control room envelope. The calculated dilution ratios are then applied to the in-leakage rates for the individual flow path to calculate the effective in-leakage of outside air into the control room. The toxic gas hazard was then reevaluated per paragraph 6.4.4.2.2. The results of this evaluation are presented in table 6.4-4.

Leak Path	Outleakage Rate ₃ At 1/8-inch WG, Ft ³ /min
Plaster Walls Duct-piping and Electrical Penetrations	}1311.2
Dampers Outleakage Inleakage	460 (-)285
Elevator Shaft	10.8
Doors	68
No Airlock	10.0
Total	1575
1	

Table 6.4-1A CONTROL ROOM OUTLEAKAGE RATES

ó.4-5

	1	Leakage In 1	Minute	Leakage in 2 Minutes		
Leak Sources	Ft ³	Dilution Ratio ^(a)	Equivalent Ft ³ At 5774 ppm	Ft ³	Dilution Ratio	Equivalent Ft ³ At 5774 ppm
Dampers FV-9769 FV-9779	215	11.3	19.03	430	5.65	76.11
Damper FV-9742 Damper FV-9761 Damper FV-9711 FV-9712	19.20 19.20 70	20.88 22.50 41.62	0.92 0.85 1.68	38.4 38.4 140	10.44 11.25 20.81	3.68 3.41 6.73
TOTAL	323		22.48	646.8		89.93
Doors D-1, D-2 D-11, D-12	17.8	46.51	0.38	35.6	23.26	1.53
Deors D-4, D-5 D-8, D-9	13.2	18.02	0.73	26.4	9.01	2.93
Doors D-6, D-7 Doors D-13, D-14 D-15	16.0 21.0	1.0 16.88	16.0 1.24	32.0 42.0	1.0 8.44	32.0 4.98
TOTAL	68.0		18.35	136.0	1	41.44
Elevator Walls, Penetrations No Air Lock	10.8 1600	17.38 16.88	0.62 94.79	21.6 3200	8.69 8.44	2.49 379.2
	-	RG 1.78	+10.0		RG 1.78	+10.0
TOTAL	2002.2		146.24	4004.4		523.1

Table 6.4-1B OVERALL SUMMARY OF LEAKAGES INTO THE CONTROL ROOM ENVELOPE

a. The one-minute and two-minute average chlorine concentrations in volumes adjacent to the control room envelope are compared with the chlorine concentration in the outside air to yield dilution ratios for each individual leakage path.

6.4-6

HABITABILITY SYSTEMS

San Onofre 2&3 FSAR



6.4.2.4 Shielding Design

The design basis loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) dictates the shielding requirements for the control room. Control room shielding design bases are discussed in paragraph 12.3.2.2.7. Descriptions of the design basis LOCA source terms and control room shielding parameters, and evaluation of design basis accident doses to control room personnel are presented in paragraph 15.6.3.3.5.

Drawings of the control room and its location in the plant, identifying distances, and shield thicknesses with respect to each radiation source discussed in paragraph 15.6.3.3.5 are shown in figures 12.3-3 and 12.3-4.

6.4.3 SYSTEM OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

6.4.3.1 Normal Mode

Control room HVAC system operation in the normal mode is described in subsection 9.4.2.

6.4.3.2 Emergency Mode

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Upon receipt of a control room isolation signal (CRIS), actuated by an SIAS signal or a normal supply air duct high radiation signal, the control room HVAC system is automatically shifted to the emergency mode of operation. Transfer to the emergency mode may also be initiated manually from the control room.

Transfer to the emergency mode consists of automatically closing the outside air isolation dampers from the normal supply air handling unit and all exhaust isolation dampers, stopping the control building supply and exhaust fans, activating both train A and train B outside air isolation dampers to the emergency ventilation units, and starting the emergency air conditioning units, opening the outside air isolation damper to the emergency filtration trains, and starting the fans. The emergency ventilation supply train fans discharge into the emergency recirculation type air conditioning units, which are started by the emergency mode transfer. Thus, each emergency ventilation supply train fan draws outside air through HEPA filters and carbon adsorbers, and discharges into the respective emergency recirculation air handling unit. Since there is no control room exhaust, the control room atmosphere exfiltrates to the outside of the control room. The development of the CRIS signal, including the quantity and setpoints of parameters sensed and actuation logic, is discussed in section 7.3.

21

27

1-312.15

6.4-7

		Toxicity	Objectives of	Effecti Iso	veness of Dete lation as a Mi	tion and Contr igating Measu	rol Roomi re v (1)	C=Continuous Release P≖Puff release
Hazardous Chemical	Postulated Accident	Limit For Gas or Vapor (ppm by vol)	Reg. Guide 1.78 Met Without Toxle Gas Protection	Set Point (ppm)	Detector Response Time (sec)	Isolation Time (sec)	Хст @ 120 sec	Either P or C
Nitrogen	Rupture of 91,800 1b onsite tank	143,000	Yes		(No Protect	ion Required)		
Hydrogen	Rupture of 7,620 scfonsite cylinder	143,000						
Diesel Oil	Rupture of 350 gal fire pump day tank	200						
Hydrazine	Rupture of 55 gal onsite drum	5				•		
Sulfuric Acid	Rupture of 10,000 gal onsite tank	0.09						
Halon 1301	Discharge of 140 lb cylinder in control room	70,000		•				
Gasoline	Rupture of 4,500 gal cargo tank IS	780						
Propane	Rupture of 8,485 gal cargo tank 15	1000 ⁽²⁾	No	133(3)	30	6	29.3	P
Carbon dioxide	Rupture of 13 ton onsite tank	50,000		5000	30	6	89 6	P+C
Butane	Rupture of 8,485 gal cargo tank, 15	750 ⁽²⁾		100 ⁽³⁾	30	6	29.1	P+C
Chlorine	Rupture of 2000 1b cylinder, 15	15		5	10	6	13.6	P+C
Aqueous ammonia	Rupture of 3000 gal onsite tank	100		50	⁻ 30	6	7	С
				1				

San Onofre 2&3 FSAR

HABITABILITY SYSTEMS

24

Table 6.4-4 SUMMARY OF RESULTS EFFECT OF POSTULATED TOXIC GAS RELEASES ON THE HABITABILITY OF THE SAN ONOFRE UNITS 2 AND 3 CONTROL ROOM

1. χ_{cr} = The toxic gas concentration inside the control room 120 seconds following an alarm from the detector.

2. 1750 mg/m³ = 1000 ppm propane = 750 ppm butane

3. 100 ppm butane setpoint is equivalent to 133 ppm propane setpoint.

/82

ŝ 4-15

Amen dmen t 13 C2





DECREASE IN REACTOR COOLANT INVENTORY

Table 15.6-18 RADIOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF A POSTULATED LOSS-OF-COOLANT ACCIDENT (Sheet 2 of 2)

Result	Design Basis Assumptions	Realistic Assumptions	
Control room dose (O to 30 days), rem			
Radiation external to the control room			
Total-body gamma			
Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage Due to piping Due to HVAC charcoal filter	$0.2 \\ 2 \times 10^{-4} \\ 0.4 \\ 0.43$	1.36×10^{-9} 0 0 0	21
Radiation internal to the control room			
Thyroid			
Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage	1.6 0.43	4.7×10^{-7}	
Total-body gamma			
Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage	1.5 1.4 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.04×10^{-4}	
Beta-skin			
Containment leakage Due to recirculation leakage	14.1 2.0 x 10^{-5}	2.66×10^{-5}	

15.6-45

з,

San Onofre 2&3 FSAR

APPENDIX 15B

DOSE MODELS USED TO EVALUATE THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF ACCIDENTS

15B.1 INTRODUCTION

This section identifies the models used to calculate offsite radiological doses that would result from releases of radioactivity due to various postulated accidents.

The postulated accidents are:

- A. Steam generator tube rupture (SGTR)
- B. Primary sample or instrument line break
- C. Inadvertent opening of a steam generator atmospheric dump valve (IOSGADV)
- D. Waste gas system failure
- E. Radioactive liquid waste system leak or failure
- F. Loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA)
- G. Steam system piping failures
- H. Fuel handling accident (FHA)
- I. CEA ejection accident (CEAEA)

15B.2 ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions are basic to both the model for the whole body dose due to immersion in a cloud of radioactivity and the model for the thyroid dose due to inhalation of radioactivity:

- A. All radioactive releases are treated as ground level releases regardless of the point of discharge.
- B. The dose receptor is a standard man, as defined by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP).
- C. No credit is taken for cloud depletion by ground deposition and radioactive decay during transport to the exclusion area boundary (EAB) or the outer boundary of the low-population zone (LPZ).
- D. Isotopic data, including decay constants and decay energies presented in table 15B-1, are taken from references 2 through 6.

15B.2.1 REFERENCES

- 1. "Report of ICRP Committee II, Permissible Dose for Internal Radiation (1959)," Health Physics, 3, p. 30, 146-153, 1960.
- 2. Martin, M. J. and Blichert-Toft, P. H., Radioactive Atoms, Auger-Electron, α -, β -, γ -, and X-Ray Data, Nuclear Data Tables <u>A8</u>, 1, 1970.
- Martin, H. J., "Radioactive Atoms Supplement 1," <u>ORNL-4923</u>, August 1973.
- 4. Bowman, W. W. and MacMurdo, K. W., "Radioactive Decay Gammas, Ordered by Energy and Nuclide," Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables <u>13</u>, S9, 1974.
- 5. Meek, M. E. and Gilbert, R. S., "Summary of Gamma and Beta Energy and Intensity Data," NEDO-12037, January 1970.
- 6. Lederer, C. M., Hollander, J. M., and Perlman, I., Table of the Isotopes, 6th edition, March 1968.

15B.3 WHOLE BODY GAMMA AND BETA SKIN DOSE

The whole body dose delivered to an offsite receptor is obtained by considering the dose receptor to be immersed in a radioactive cloud that is infinite in all directions above the ground plane; i.e., an infinite hemispherical cloud. The concentration of radioactive material within this cloud is uniform and equal to the maximum centerline ground level concentration that would exist in the cloud at the appropriate distance from the point of release.

The gamma dose due to gamma radiation, equation (1), and the beta dose due to beta radiation, equation (2), for a given time period are given by Regulatory Guide 1.4, Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential Radiological Consequences of a Loss of Coolant Accident for Pressurized Water Reactors, are as follows:

$$D_{wb} = 0.25 \cdot \chi/Q \cdot \Sigma (Q_i \cdot \overline{E}_i)$$

$$D_{s} = 0.23 \cdot \chi/Q \cdot \Sigma (Q_{1} \cdot \overline{E}_{i})$$

where:

Ŋ

Ĵ

 D_{ub} = whole body dose from gamma radiation (rem)

D_s = skin dose from beta radiation (rem)

i

i

(2)

(1)

(3)

 χ/Q = Site atmospheric dispersion factor during time period (s/m³)

Q₂ = Total activity of isotope i released during time period (Ci-s)

The isotopic data are given in table 15B-1. The atmospheric dispersion factors used in the analysis of the environmental consequences of accidents are given in chapter 2 of this report.

15B.4 THYROID INHALATION DOSE

The thyroid dose to an offsite receptor for a given time period is obtained from the following expression:

$$D = \chi/Q \cdot B \sum_{i} (Q_{i} \cdot DCF_{i})$$

where:

ंग्रे

숺

1.0

D = thyroid inhalation dose (rem)

 χ/Q = site₃ atmospheric dispersion factor during the time period (s/m³)

B = breathing rate during the time period (m^3/s)

 Q_i = total activity of isotope i released during time period (Ci)

DCF_i = dose conversion factor for isotope i (rem/Ci inhaled)

The isotopic data are given in table 15B-1. The atmospheric dispersion factors used in the analysis of the environmental consequences of accidents are given in chapter 2 of this report.

Dose conversion factors for radioactive iodines and breathing rates required for computing thyroid inhalation dose are tabulated in tables 15B-2 and 15B-3, respectively.

15B.4.1 REFERENCES

1. DiNunno, J. J., et al., <u>Calculation of Distance Factors for Power</u> and Test Reactor Sites, <u>TID 14844</u>, March 1962.

ġ.

48 18 14

 $\{ \mathbf{I} \}$



Table 15B-1 ISOTOPIC PARAMETERS

Isotope	Half-Life	MeV/Disintegration (gamma)	Average MeV/Disintegration (beta)
T-131	8.06 D	0.381	0.10/
T-132	2 28 U	0.001	0.194
1_132		2.555	0.519
I-135 T 127		0.608	0.403
1-134	52 M	2.529	0.558
1-135	6.7 H	1.635	0.475
Kr-83m	1.86 H	0.002	0.037
Kr-85m	4.48 H	0.159	0.253
Kr-85	10.73 Y	0.002	0.251
Kr-87	76.31 M	0.793	1.324
Kr-88	2.80 H	1.950	0.375
Xe-131m	11.9 D	0.20	. 0. 143
Xe-133m	2.25 D	0.0416	0.190
Xe-133	5.29 D	0.0454	0.135
Xe-135m	15.65 M	0.432	0.095
Xe-135	9.15 H	0.247	0.316
Xe-138	14.17 M	1.183	0.606
H-3	12.3 Y	None	0.006
			• .

Table 15B-2 IODINE DOSE CONVERSION FACTORS FOR OFFSITE RECEPTORS

Isotope	Rem-thyroid/Curie Inhaled
I-131	1.48×10^6
I-132	5.35×10^4
I-133	4.00×10^5
I-134	2.50×10^4
I-135	1.25×10^5

15B-4



APPENDIX 15B

21

25

Table 15B-3 BREATHING RATES

Time After Accident	m ³ /s
0 to 8 hours	3.47×10^{-4}
8 to 24 hours	1.75×10^{-4}
l to 30 days	2.32×10^{-4}

15B.5 CONTROL ROOM DOSE

ĥ

•

2 j

During the course of an accident, control room personnel may receive doses from the following sources:

- A. Direct whole body gamma dose from the radioactivity present in the containment building.
- B. Direct whole body gamma dose from the radioactive cloud surrounding the control room.
- C. Whole body gamma, thyroid inhalation, and beta skin doses from the airborne radioactivity present in the control room.
- D. Direct whole body gamma dose from the radioactivity present in piping.
- E. Direct whole body gamma dose from emergency HVAC charcoal filters. 26

In calculating the exposure to control room personnel, occupancy factors were obtained from reference 1 as follows:

o 0-24 hours: occupancy factor = 1

o 1-4 days: occupancy factor = 0.6

o 4-30 days: occupancy factor = 0.4

The dose model for each of the radiation sources is discussed below:

A. Direct whole body gamma dose from the radioactivity present in the containment building (direct containment dose).

Time integrated (0 to 30 days) isotopic concentrations in the containment are calculated. For conservatism, no credit is taken for reduction of the containment activity by means other than radioactive decay. The containment is modeled by an equivalent volume cylindrical source having a diameter of 150 feet and height of 130 feet. The radioactivity present in the containment is assumed to be uniformly distributed in the cylindrical source.

Shielding is provided by the 3-foot 9-inch concrete containment walls, 330 feet of air separating the containment building from the control building, and 2-foot thick control room walls.

No credit is taken for any shielding that could be provided by the penetration building.

B. Direct whole body gamma dose from the radioactive cloud surrounding the control room (outside cloud dose).

Leakage from the containment building, or any building, will result in the formation of a radioactive cloud. For conservatism it is assumed that this cloud surrounds the control room. Gamma radiation from this cloud can penetrate the control room roof and walls resulting in a whole body gamma dose to control room personnel. The radius of the cloud is computed using a mass balance of the radioactivity released due to leakage and the volume of the cloud; therefore, the radioactive cloud is time variant and expands for the duration of the accident.

Radioactivity concentrations (Ci/m^3) in the radioactive cloud surrounding the control room is the product of the building leak rate (Ci/s) and the control room atmospheric dispersion factor, χ/Q (s/m³). Calculations used to compute χ/Q are presented in subsection 2.3.4. A tabulation of control room χ/Qs is presented in table 15B-4.

Meteorological parameters are given in section 2.3, while the calculated χ/Q values, for those accidents for which control room dose calculations were performed, are presented in table 15B-4.

The calculational model for the control room is an equivalent volume hemisphere of radius 52 feet. Credit is taken for 2 feet of concrete shielding provided by the control room walls and ceiling.

C. Dose from the airborne radioactivity present in the control room (occupancy dose).

Airborne radioactivity will be drawn into the control room due to the intake of outside air required to maintain a positive pressure in the control room. This contributes to the whole body gamma, thyroid inhalation, and beta skin doses. The major parameters of the control room ventilation system are presented in table 15B-5.

Meteorological parameters are given in section 2.3, while the calculated χ/Q values, for these accidents for which control room dose calculations were performed, are presented in table 15B-4.

1

25

• · · ·		$\chi/Q (s/m^3)$				
	Control	Room	E/	\B	L	PZ
Time Period	5%	50%	5%	50%	5%	50%
Hourly			2.72×10^{-4}	3.60×10^{-6}		
0-8 hours	3.1×10^{-3}	7.9×10^{-4}			7.72×10^{-6}	9.24 x 10 ⁻⁷
8.24 hours	1.8×10^{-3}	4.6 x 10^{-4}			4.74 x 10 ⁻⁶	6.03×10^{-7}
1-4 days	5.9×10^{-4}	1.5×10^{-4}			3.67×10^{-6}	3.65×10^{-7}
4-30 days	9.6 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.5×10^{-6}			2.67×10^{-6}	3.28×10^{-7}
. <u>.</u>			· .			

Table 15B-4ATMOSPHERIC DISPERSION FACTORS FOR THE SAN ONOFRE SITE

San Onofre 2&3 FSAR

Parameter	Assumption
Number of emergency ventilation systems operating	1
Intake rate, standard ft ³ /min	3,600
Intake cleanup filter efficiency	
Iodine, elemental, % Iodine, organic, % Iodine, particulate, % Others, %	99(b) 99(b) 99 99
Recirculation rate, standard ft ³ /min	34,500
Recirculation cleanup filter efficiency	
Iodine, elemental, % Iodine, organic, % Iodine, particulate, % Others, %	95 95 99 99
Leak rate, standard ft ³ /min (out leakage)	3,600
Control room volume, standard ft ³	293,300

> ji ji

		· ·	Table 15B-5		(5)	•
CONTROL	ROOM	EMERGENCY	VENTILATION	SYSTEM	PARAMETERS	,

a. There are two completely redundant emergency control room ventilation systems.

For a more detailed description of this system, refer to subsection 9.4.2.

b. Based on multiple, in series, bed filtration as depicted in section 15B.7.

The technical support center is located within the control room emergency HVAC envelope. The doses to technical support center personnel will be lower than that for the control room due to the concrete floor attenuating the radiation from the HVAC charcoal filter.

1.312.17

APPENDIX 15B

(2)

The gamma dose to the control room personnel is calculated assuming a finite hemispherical cloud model. The gamma dose due to gamma radiation in the control room for a given time period is:

$$D_{wb} = \frac{(CRVOL)^{0.338}}{1173} DCF_{wbi} \frac{(IQ_i)(3600)(CRO)}{(CRVOL)(0.02832)}$$
(1)

where:

D	Ξ	whole body	gamma dose	to control	room personnel
WD		from gamma	radiation,	(rem)	

CR0 = the control room occupancy factor <1

- 3600 = conversion factor, s/h
- $.02832 = \text{conversion factor, ft}^3/\text{m}^3$
- $CRVOL = control room volume, ft^3$
- IQ = total integrated activity for nuclide i in control room for the time period, (Ci-hr)
- DCF_{wbi} = the semi-infinite cloud whole body gamma dose conversion factor for nuclide i, (rem-m²/Ci-s). (See table 15B-6).

The expression (CRVOL) $\cdot \frac{338}{1173}$ is a geometrical correction factor to ratio a finite cloud to infinite cloud (reference 1).

The beta skin dose to control room personnel is calculated assuming a tissue depth of 7 mg/cm³. The beta skin dose to control room personnel for a given time period is:

$$D_{\beta s} = \frac{CRO}{(CRVOL)(.02832)} \sum_{i}^{\Sigma} D_{\beta si} \cdot IQ_{i}$$

where:

 $D_{\beta si}$ = the beta skin dose conversion factor for nuclide i, (rem-m³/Ci-h). (See table 15B-6 for factor)

and all other parameters are as previously defined.

An inhalation thyroid dose results from the radioactive iodine present in the control room.

San Onofre 2&3 FSAR

(3)

The inhalation thyroid dose is given by the following expression:

$$D_{thy} = \frac{(B)(CRO)}{(CRVOL)(.02832)} \sum_{i} DCF_{thy} \cdot IQ_{i}$$

where:

В

 t_{ij}

; e

13

11

D_{thv} = inhalation thyroid dose (rem)

- = breathing_rate for duration of accident
 (3.47-4 m³/sec)
- DCF_{thy} = thyroid dose conversion factor for nuclide i, (rem/Ci inhaled) (see table 15B-6)

All other parameters are as previously defined.

D. Direct whole body gamma dose from radioactivity present in piping.

Direct radiation from piping used in the post-accident mode of operation will contribute to the control room whole body gamma dose.

21

			Tab:	le 15E	3-6			
	CONTROL	ROOM	WHOLE	BODY	GAMMA,	BETA	SKIN,	(~)
AND	INHALAT	ION T	HYROID	DOSE	CONVERS	SION	FACTORS	(a)

Radionuclide	Beta Skin DCF (rem - m ³ /Ci - h)	Whole Body Gamma DCF (rem - m ³ /Ci - s)	Inhalation Thyroid DCF (rem/Ci)
I-131	1.14E2	8.72E-2	1.49E6
I-132	4.75E2	5.13E-1	1.43E4
I-133	2.65E2	1.55E-1	2.69E5
I-134	3.32E2	5.32E-1	3.73E3
I-135	4.64E2	4.21E-1	5.6E4
Kr-83m	0	5.02E-6	
Kr-85	1.53E2	5.25E-4	
Kr-85m	1.67E2	3.72E-2	
Kr-87	1.11E3	1.87E-1	
Kr-88	2.70E2	4.64E-1	
Kr-89	1.15E3	5.25E-1	
Xe-131m	5.43E1	2.92E-3	•
Xe-133m	1.13E2	8.00E-3	
Xe-133	3.49E1	9.33E-3	•
Xe-135m	8.11E1	9.92E-2	
Xe-135	2.12E2	5.72E-2	
Xe-137	1.39E3	4.53E-2	
Xe-138	4.71E2	2.81E-1	· · ·

a. From Regulatory Guide 1.109

1. . L

;lk

20

15B-11

This piping is modeled as a finite length shielded cylinder. Credit is taken for concrete shield floors and walls of the penetration, control and radwaste buildings, as well as the control room shield door.

E. Direct whole body gamma dose from radioiodine buildup on control building emergency HVAC filter.

The quantity of iodine entering the filter, following a LOCA, is determined in a manner identical to that described in item C. The dose in the control room is determined by numerical integration of a distributed source model. Filter self-attenuation and dose buildup is modelled. Attenuation by interposed equipment is conservatively neglected.

Conservatively, only one emergency HVAC system is assumed to be in operation with a 100% iodine removal efficiency.

15.B.5.1 REFERENCES

 Murphy, K. G. and Campe, K. M., Dr., "Nuclear Power Plant Control Room Ventilation System Design for Meeting General Design Criterion 19," Proceedings of the 13th AEC Air Cleaning Conference held August 12-15, 1974, CONF. 740-807, Vol. I, pp. 401-430.

15B.6 ACTIVITY RELEASE MODELS

15B.6.1 ACCIDENT RELEASE PATHWAYS

The release pathways for the major accidents are given in table 15B-7 and shown schematically in figure 15B-1. The letters (A-D, D-D, etc.) refer to the labels used in figure 15B-1. The accident and their pathways are as follows.

26

Table 15B-7 ACCIDENT LEAKAGE PATHWAYS (Refer to figure 15B-1)

	Accident	Pathway	Legend	
A.	Steam generator tube rupture	Steam dump and \rightarrow Atm \rightarrow Control Room Safety Valves	D-D-E	
В.	Primary sample or instrument line break	Auxiliary building (radwaste → Atm → Control Room area)	А-D-Е	
c.	IOSGADV	Steam dump valve → Atm → Control Room	D-D-E	
D.	Waste gas system failure	Release pathway identical to B above	A-D-E	
E .	Radioactive liquid waste system leak or failure	Release pathway identical to B above	A-D-E	
F.	LOCA			
	1. Containment leakage	Primary containment → Atm → Control Room	A-D-E	
	2. ESF leakage	Safety Equipment building \rightarrow Atm \rightarrow Control Room	D-D-E	
	3. Hydrogen purge	Primary containment → Filter → Atm → Control Room	B-C-D-E	
G.	Steam system piping failure (main steam line break)	Release pathway identical to A above	D-D-E	
н.	FHA	Fuel handling building → Filter → Atm → Control Room	в-с-р-е	
I.	CEAEA		· .	
	1. Primary release	Release pathway identical to F.1 above	A-D-E	
	2. Secondary release	Release pathway identical to A above	D-D-E	

15B-13

San Onofre 2&3 FSAR

APPENDIX 15B

(1)

15B.6.1.1 Direct Filtered

The accident release pathways for the fuel handling accident (FHA) and LOCA (hydrogen purge releases) involve direct filtered leakage. The release pathway is B-C-D, as shown on figure 15B-1. The applicable equation for calculating activity release for offsite doses is equation (5). Control room internal doses are based on activity release calculated using equation (6).

15B.6.1.2 Direct Unfiltered

6 The accident release pathways for the accidents listed in paragraph 15.B.1, less those accidents described in paragraph 15B.6.1.1, involve direct unfiltered leakage. The release pathway is A-D or D-D, as shown on fig-

6 ure 15B-1. The applicable equation for calculating activity release for offsite doses is equation (5). Control room internal doses are based on activity release calculated using equation (6).

15B.6.2 SINGLE REGION RELEASE MODEL

A single region release model was used for all accident activity release calculations. For the LOCA analysis, a two-region spray model was used, as described in subsection 6.5.2. Effective spray removal coefficients were calculated for the iodine species of interest; and the effective spray removal coefficients were used in the single region release models.

The single region release model is based on two release paths to the environment; (1) direct unfiltered, and/or (2) direct filtered.

It is assumed that any activity released to the holdup system instantaneously diffuses to uniformly occupy the system volume.

The following equations are used to calculate the integrated activity released from postulated accidents.

 $A_{1}(t) = A_{1}(0)e^{-\lambda_{1}t}$

where:

 $A_1(0)$ = initial source activity at time t = 0, Ci

 $A_1(t)$ = source activity at time t, Ci

 λ_1 = total removal constant from primary holdup system

 $\lambda_1 = \lambda_d + \lambda_{1\ell} + \lambda_r$

APPENDIX 15B

(2)

(3)

where:

 λ_d = decay removal constant

$$\lambda_{1\ell}$$
 = primary holdup leak or release rate

$$\lambda_r$$
 = internal removal constant (i.e., sprays, plateout, etc.)

From this we get the direct release rate to the atmosphere from the primary holdup system.

$$R_{ij}(t) = a \lambda_{10} A_{j}(t)$$

where:

1

÷.

ę,

-1

51

12

1.9 1.9

3

$$R_{u}(t)$$
 = unfiltered release rate (Ci/sec)

and

$$R_{f}(t) = b \lambda_{10} F_{1} A_{1}(t)$$

where:

b = direct filtered fraction of leak

F₁ = filter nonremoval efficiency

R_f(t) = filtered release rate (Ci/sec)

The total release rate is then the sum of the two release pathways.

$$R_{t}(t) = R_{u}(t) + R_{f}(t)$$
 (4)

or

$$R_{t}(t) = a \lambda_{1\ell} A_{1}(t) + b \lambda_{1\ell} F_{1}A_{1}(t)$$
(4a)

The total integrated activity release is then the integral of the above equation.

 $IAR(t) = R_u(t) + R_f(t)$

This yields

$$IAR(t) = \frac{(a\lambda_{1\ell} + b\lambda_{1\ell}F_1)A_1(0)}{\lambda_1} \quad 1 - e^{-\lambda_1 t} \quad (5)$$

APPENDIX 15B

where:

IAR(t) = total integrated activity release at time (t) (Ci)

15B.7 INTEGRATED ACTIVITY IN CONTROL ROOM

The integrated activity in the CR during each time interval is found by multiplying the release by the appropriate χ/Q to give a concentration of the CR intake. This activity is brought into the CR through the filtered intake valves and by unfiltered inleakage and is subjected to the CR ventilation system of recirculation through charcoal filters and exhaust to the atmosphere.



1.312.17 From this, calculate the total integrated activity in the CR during any time interval.

15B.7.1 ACTIVITY RELEASE MODEL FOR CONTROL ROOM

15B.7.1.1 General Equation

The activity released from a postulated accident is calculated by using the following matrix equation for each isotope and each specie of iodine:

$$\frac{dA}{dt} + \overline{C} \overline{A} = \overline{S}; \text{ Initial Condition } \overline{A}(t_0) = \overline{A}_0$$
(6)

$$A_{1} = \overline{L} \cdot \overline{AI}$$

where:

$$\overline{A}(t) = (a_i(t))$$

$$a_i = \text{the activity in the ith node, (Ci)}$$

$$\overline{C} = (C_{ij}) \text{ matrix}$$

$$C_{ij} = \text{the transfer rate from the ith node to the jth node, (s-1)}$$

San Onofre 2&3 FSAR

APPENDIX 15B

 $\overline{S} = (S_i)$ vector

 $S_i =$ the production rate in the ith node (Ci/sec)

Α,

= the activity released to the environment over the time period
 (Ci)

 $\overline{L} = (\ell_i) \text{ matrix}$

l_i

-1

- = the leak rate from the ith node to environment (/sec)
- $\overline{AI} = {t_1 \atop t_0} \overline{A}(t) dt (Ci-sec)$

Each node represents a volume where activity can be accumulated. The environment and the control room are each represented by a node. To ensure that the system of differential equations has constant coefficients, the time scale is broken up into time intervals over which all parameters are constant. Thus, all coefficients and sources are assumed to be representable by step functions.

The matrix equation is solved using matrix techniques. The particular solution is obtained by Gaussian elimination. The homogenous solution is obtained by solving for the eigenvectors and the eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix C. They are determined by using QR transformation techniques.

The following sections describe how the coefficient matrix and the source vector are calculated for the different accident calculations.

15B.7.1.2 The Model for Containment Leakage

The model for LOCA containment leakage is shown in figure 15B-1. The system of differential equations for estimating the released activity is as follows:

 $\frac{dA_1}{dt} - L_{21}A_2 = 0$ $\frac{dA_2}{dt} + (\lambda_d + \lambda_s + L_{21}) A_2 = 0$ $\frac{dA_3}{dt} - \frac{\chi}{Q} (L_u + (1 - f_L) L_f) L_{21} A_2$ $+ (L_f + L_u + f_R R_c + \lambda_d) A_3 = 0$

(7c)

(7b)

(7a)

1 J. W

t ⁱ

٠ģ

 $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}}$

APPENDIX 15B

who	ere:		
	A ₁ (t)	=	activity in the environment, (Ci)
	$A_2(t)$	=	activity in the containment, (Ci)
	A ₃ (t)	=	activity in the control room, (Ci)
	λ _d	=	radioactive decay constant, (s ⁻¹)
	L ₂₁	=	$\frac{T_{21}}{(100)(24)(3600)} , (s^{-1})$
	^T 21	=	leak rate from the containment to the environment (%/day)
	λ _s	=	the spray removal constant, (s ⁻¹)
	Lu	Ξ	$\frac{T_u \cdot (.3048)^3}{60}$, (m ³ /s)
	т _u	=	unfiltered inleakage into the control room, (ft ³ /min)
	^L f	=	$\frac{T_{f}(.3048)^{3}}{60}$, (m ³ /sec)
	T _f	=	filtered air intake rate into the control room, (ft^3/min)
	f _L	<u>,</u> =	filter efficiency of the filters on the intake units
	χ/Q	Ξ	atmospheric dispersion factor for the control room, (s/m^3)
	R c	=	$\frac{T_r}{(V_c)(60)}$, (s ⁻¹)
	T _R	=	filtered recirculation rate in the control room, (ft^3/min)
	v _c	=	control room free volume, (ft ³)
	f _R	Ξ	filter efficiency of the filter on the recirculation unit
Th	e coef:	fic:	ient matrix is:
	<u>c</u> =	-	
		0	-L ₂₁ 0

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\lambda_{d} + \lambda_{s} + L_{21}) & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi/Q(L_{u} + (1 - f_{L}) L_{f}) L_{21} & +(L_{f} + L_{u} + f_{R}R_{c} + \lambda_{d}) \end{bmatrix}$

15B-18

After solving for A(t), the integrated activity in each node can then be calculated.

From the integrated activity, the doses to the operators in the control room can be calculated using the dose models given in section 15B.5.