

April 6, 1990

Docket No. 50-206

Mr. Harold B. Ray
Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
Irvine Operations Center
23 Parker
Irvine, California 92718

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
NRC & LPDRs
JZwolinski
CTrammell
PShea
OGC
PD5 Plant File
EJordan
JTatum (2)

ACRS (10)
JHayes, Jr.
ESullivan

Dear Mr. Ray:

SUBJECT: INSERVICE TESTING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION (GENERIC LETTER 89-04),
SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. 74792)

By letter dated November 30, 1989, you submitted your response to Generic Letter 89-04, "Guidance on Developing Acceptable Inservice Testing Programs." Based on our review of your response, we understand that you have revised your IST program to conform with the 11 positions stated in Attachment A of the Generic Letter (GL). Additionally, in your response you stated that "the implementing procedures required to perform component testing will be revised by March 1, 1990, or by the next due date for the test, whichever is later." We interpret this statement to mean that should inservice testing be required for a component prior to the next scheduled due date for some reason, the implementing IST procedure will be revised at that time to accommodate the situation. With this clarification, we find your response to the GL to be acceptable.

In implementing the requirements of the GL, you identified four pump relief requests (PRRs) that require NRC review and approval. We are currently reviewing these PRRs and our conclusions will be the subject of future correspondence.

As stated in Paragraph F of the GL, your implementation of the GL requirements may be the subject of future NRC inspections. Enforcement action may result in cases where the program and procedures are not in conformance with 10 CFR 50.55a(g), as allowed by the GL or otherwise accepted by us. Additionally, aspects of your IST program not addressed by Attachment 1 of the GL may also be inspected.

If you should have any questions regarding this matter, please contact us.

Sincerely,

original signed by James E. Tatum
James E. Tatum, Project Manager
Project Directorate V
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

9004180144 900406
FDR ADOCK 05000206
P PDC

cc: See next page
*See previous concurrence
DRSP/PD5*
PShea
4/2/90

[Signature]
DRSP/PD5
JTatum:dr
4/3/90

[Signature]
DRSP/PD5
JHayes, Jr.
4/3/90

[Signature]
(A) DRSP/D:PD5
CTrammell
4/3/90

[S01 RAI 74792]

DF01
110 MAH



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 6, 1990

Docket No. 50-206

Mr. Harold B. Ray
Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
Irvine Operations Center
23 Parker
Irvine, California 92718

Dear Mr. Ray:

SUBJECT: INSERVICE TESTING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION (GENERIC LETTER 89-04),
SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. 74792)

By letter dated November 30, 1989, you submitted your response to Generic Letter 89-04, "Guidance on Developing Acceptable Inservice Testing Programs." Based on our review of your response, we understand that you have revised your IST program to conform with the 11 positions stated in Attachment A of the Generic Letter (GL). Additionally, in your response you stated that "the implementing procedures required to perform component testing will be revised by March 1, 1990, or by the next due date for the test, whichever is later." We interpret this statement to mean that should inservice testing be required for a component prior to the next scheduled due date for some reason, the implementing IST procedure will be revised at that time to accommodate the situation. With this clarification, we find your response to the GL to be acceptable.

In implementing the requirements of the GL, you identified four pump relief requests (PRRs) that require NRC review and approval. We are currently reviewing these PRRs and our conclusions will be the subject of future correspondence.

As stated in Paragraph F of the GL, your implementation of the GL requirements may be the subject of future NRC inspections. Enforcement action may result in cases where the program and procedures are not in conformance with 10 CFR 50.55a(g), as allowed by the GL or otherwise accepted by us. Additionally, aspects of your IST program not addressed by Attachment 1 of the GL may also be inspected.

If you should have any questions regarding this matter, please contact us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "James E. Tatum".

James E. Tatum, Project Manager
Project Directorate V
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

Mr. Harold B. Ray
Southern California Edison Company

San Onofre Nuclear Generating
Station, Unit No. 1

cc
David R. Pigott
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Mr. Richard J. Kosiba, Project Manager
Bechtel Power Corporation
12440 E. Imperial Highway
Norwalk, California 90650

Mr. Robert G. Lacy
Manager, Nuclear
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
P. O. Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Mr. Phil Johnson
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region V
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS
U.S. NRC
P. O. Box 4329
San Clemente, California 92672

Mayor
City of San Clemente
San Clemente, California 92672

Chairman
Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego
1600 Pacific Highway Room 335
San Diego, California 92101

Regional Administrator, Region V
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. John Hickman
Senior Health Physicist
Environmental Radioactive
Management Unit
Environmental Management Branch
State Department of Health Services
714 P Street, Room 616
Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. Don Womeldorf
Chief, Environmental Management
California Department of Health
714 P Street, Room 616
Sacramento, California 95814