

Hennigan, James

From: Darden, Richard L SAC <Richard.Darden@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Thursday, March 07, 2013 4:08 PM
To: Becker, James M; Kuntzleman, Nancy
Cc: Eucker, Terry SAC; Vokoun, Patricia
Subject: RE: Lee Nuclear Station - Mitigation text figure modified (UNCLASSIFIED)
Attachments: WoodsFerryStudyAreaVicinityMap final March 7 2013.png

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Hi Jim,

Attached please find a revised figure. The project, including two T-line corridors and one railroad corridor, is shown in a single solid color (black) and should be easily distinguished from other features on the figure.

Regarding the area shown as Woods Ferry Study Area: From a review of the mitigation plan and a consultation with Duke's consultant, the figure accurately depicts the circumstances. Specifically, the Woods Ferry Study Area is accurately shown to extend slightly beyond the official National Forest boundary as shown, while the actual mitigation project that was identified, chosen and is being designed is entirely confined within the National Forest. This may be a subtle point, but nevertheless.

Thanks,
Richard

Richard L. Darden, Ph.D.
Regulatory Division
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Charleston District
69-A Hagood Avenue
Charleston, South Carolina 29403

(843) 329-8043 phone
(843) 329-3223 fax

In order to assist us in improving our service to you, please complete the survey found at <http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html>

-----Original Message-----

From: Becker, James M [<mailto:james.becker@pnnl.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 05, 2013 12:57 PM
To: Darden, Richard L SAC; 'Kuntzleman, Nancy'
Cc: Eucker, Terry SAC
Subject: RE: Lee Nuclear Station - Mitigation text figure modified (UNCLASSIFIED)

Hi Richard,

The figure looks much improved, but we do have yet a couple of recommendations.

Shouldn't the Woods Ferry Study Area be contained entirely within the boundary of Sumter National Forest (I don't recall from your mitigation write-up that any part of the mitigation site is located outside the national forest)?

It may be that the symbol used for the 2 transmission lines is the same as that used in the Lee site and Pond-C site, however, it is hard to tell. Also, it is hard to tell that the RR corridor is part of the Lee project area, as it looks like it could be a river. Would it be possible to change the symbol for the Lee project area to one that is distinctly different than the others in the legend, yet is discernible in small narrow areas such as the t-line corridors and the RR corridor? I would also recommend that the RR corridor be widened a bit to look like the t-line corridors, as that way it hopefully wouldn't be confused with a water course.

Let me know if I am amiss or if this is too much to ask.

Thanks,

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Darden, Richard L SAC [<mailto:Richard.Darden@usace.army.mil>]

Sent: Tuesday, February 26, 2013 5:57 AM

To: Becker, James M; 'Kuntzleman, Nancy'

Cc: Eucker, Terry SAC

Subject: Lee Nuclear Station - Mitigation text figure modified (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Hi Jim and Nancy,

Attached please find the Woods Ferry Study Area Vicinity Map modified to show the various polygons using different hatching styles so they can be better distinguished.

Hopefully this version will be easier for the reader to follow.

Thanks,
Richard

Richard L. Darden, Ph.D.
Regulatory Division
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Charleston District
69-A Hagood Avenue
Charleston, South Carolina 29403

(843) 329-8043 phone
(843) 329-3223 fax

In order to assist us in improving our service to you, please complete the survey found at <http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html>

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE