

May 16, 1989

Docket No. 50-206

Dr. Larry T. Papay, Senior Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
Post Office Box 800  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Rosemead, California 92770

Dear Dr. Papay:

SUBJECT: OPERATION OF SAN ONOFRE UNIT 1

This refers to the NRC Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) dated January 31, 1989 and the CAL followup letter dated February 8, 1989. These letters identified certain technical issues to be resolved before Unit 1 restart and requested your assessment of the aggregate significance of the various single failure and other design problems identified in recent months.

As discussed during our May 1, 1989 meeting and documented in the enclosed meeting report, your letters dated March 17 and April 18, 1989 presented the bases for your conclusion that Unit 1 could safely return to service. We concur with your characterization of the identified problems and recognize that you have established programs to identify other potential deficiencies. We also understand that there will be other design deficiencies hereafter identified by these ongoing programs (e.g., enhanced engineering/design activities, design basis upgrade program) and expect that they will be assessed and handled consistent with established procedures and the requirements of your license. Accordingly, the NRC hereby concurs with your intention to restart San Onofre Unit 1.

This conclusion was reached in coordination with the Region V Regional Administrator and is also based on your satisfactory response to NRC concerns, as documented in the meeting summary and your written certification dated May 12, 1989 that all commitments made to the NRC for actions to be completed during the Cycle 10 refueling outage have in fact been completed.

Sincerely,

Original signed by S. Varga for  
Thomas E. Murley, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

*m/A  
cert*

Enclosure:  
Meeting Summary

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

\*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

DISTRIBUTION:  
Docket File (50-206)  
NRC/Local PDRs  
PDV Reading  
GHolahan  
MVirgilio.

EJordan  
BGrimes  
ACRS (10)  
JLee  
CTrammell  
OGC (FYI)

*Telephone  
concern  
Rt*  
*JBMartin  
5/16/89*  
*QFO1  
/10*

8906020312 890516  
PDR ADDCK 05000206  
PDC

[INPUT FOR SONGS-1 MEETING/page 4-5]

OFC	:PM:PDV*	:	PD:PDV*	:(A)AD35:DRSP*	:(A)D:DRSP*	:AD:ADP	:	D/DTR:NRR	:(DTR:NRR
NAME	:CTrammell:sam:	GKnighton:	MVirgilio	:GMHolahan	:JGartman	:JHSniezek	:	TEMurley	
DATE	:5/15/89	:	5/15/89	:5/15/89	:5/16/89	:5/16/89	:	5/16/89	:5/16/89



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 16, 1989

Docket No. 50-206

Dr. Larry T. Papay, Senior Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
Post Office Box 800  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Rosemead, California 91770

Dear Dr. Papay:


SUBJECT: OPERATION OF SAN ONOFRE UNIT 1

This refers to the NRC Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) dated January 31, 1989 and the CAL followup letter dated February 8, 1989. These letters identified certain technical issues to be resolved before Unit 1 restart and requested your assessment of the aggregate significance of the various single failure and other design problems identified in recent months.

As discussed during our May 1, 1989 meeting and documented in the enclosed meeting report, your letters dated March 17 and April 18, 1989 presented the bases for your conclusion that Unit 1 could safely return to service. We concur with your characterization of the identified problems and recognize that you have established programs to identify other potential deficiencies. We also understand that there well may be other design deficiencies hereafter identified by these ongoing programs (e.g., enhanced engineering/design activities, design basis upgrade program) and expect that they will be assessed and handled consistent with established procedures and the requirements of your license. Accordingly, the NRC hereby concurs with your intention to restart San Onofre Unit 1.

This conclusion was reached in coordination with the Region V Regional Administrator and is also based on your satisfactory response to NRC concerns, as documented in the meeting summary and your written certification dated May 12, 1989 that all commitments made to the NRC for actions to be completed during the Cycle 10 refueling outage have in fact been completed.

Sincerely,

  
Thomas E. Murley, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
Meeting Summary

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin  
Southern California Edison Company

San Onofre Nuclear Generating  
Station, Unit No. 1

CC  
Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin  
Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Post Office Box 800  
Rosemead, California 91770

David R. Pigott  
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe  
600 Montgomery Street  
San Francisco, California 94111

Mr. Robert G. Lacy  
Manager, Nuclear  
San Diego Gas & Electric Company  
P. O. Box 1831  
San Diego, California 92112

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS  
U.S. NRC  
P. O. Box 4329  
San Clemente, California 92672

Mayor  
City of San Clemente  
San Clemente, California 92672

Chairman  
Board of Supervisors  
County of San Diego  
1600 Pacific Highway Room 335  
San Diego, California 92101

Regional Administrator, Region V  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210  
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. Paul Szalinski, Chief  
Radiological Health Branch  
State Department of Health  
Services  
714 P Street, Office Bldg. #8  
Sacramento, California 95814

(7)

Docket No. 50-206

Dr. Larry T. Papay, Senior Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
Post Office Box 800  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Rosemead, California 92770

Dear Dr. Papay:

SUBJECT: OPERATION OF SAN ONOFRE UNIT 1

This refers to the NRC Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) dated January 31, 1989 and the CAL followup letter dated February 8, 1989. These letters identified certain technical issues to be resolved before Unit 1 restart and requested your assessment of the aggregate significance of the various single failure and other design problems identified in recent months.

As discussed during our May 1, 1989 meeting and documented in the enclosed meeting report, your letters dated March 17 and April 18, 1989 presented the bases for your conclusion that Unit 1 could safely return to service. We concur with your characterization of the identified problems and recognize that you have established programs to identify other potential deficiencies. We also understand that there well may be other design deficiencies hereafter identified by these ongoing programs (e.g., enhanced engineering/design activities, design basis upgrade program) and expect that they will be assessed and handled consistent with established procedures and the requirements of your license. Accordingly, the NRC hereby concurs with your intention to restart San Onofre Unit 1.

This conclusion was reached in coordination with the Region V Regional Administrator and is also based on your satisfactory response to NRC concerns, as documented in the meeting summary and your written certification dated May 12, 1989 that all commitments made to the NRC for actions to be completed during the Cycle 10 refueling outage have in fact been completed.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Murley, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
Meeting Summary

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File (50-206)  
NRC/Local PDRs  
PDV Reading  
GHolahan  
MVirgilio

EJordan  
BGrimes  
ACRS (10)  
JLee  
CTrammell  
OGC (FYI)

\*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

[INPUT FOR SONGS-1 MEETING/page 4-5]

OFC	:PM:PDV*	:	RD:PDV*	:(A)AD35:DRSP*	(A)DRSP	:AD:ADP	:D/DIR:NRR	:DIR:NRR
NAME	:CTrammell:sam:	GK	MJVirgilio	GMHolahan	:JGPartlow	:JHSniezek	:TEMurley	
DATE	:5/15/89	:	5/15/89	:5/15/89	:5/16/89	:5/ /89	:5/ /89	:5/ /89

Docket No. 50-206

Dr. Larry T. Papay, Senior Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
Post Office Box 800  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Rosemead, California 92770

Dear Dr. Papay:

SUBJECT: OPERATION OF SAN ONOFRE UNIT 1

This refers to the NRC Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) dated January 31, 1989 and the CAL followup letter dated February 8, 1989. These letters identified certain technical issues to be resolved before Unit 1 restart and requested your assessment of the aggregate significance of the various single failure and other design problems identified in recent months.

As discussed during our May 1, 1989 meeting and documented in the enclosed meeting summary, your letters dated March 17 and April 18, 1989 presented the bases for your conclusion that Unit 1 could safely return to service. We have reviewed these submittals and based on that review we have no objection to your returning San Onofre Unit 1 to operation.

This conclusion was reached in coordination with the Region V Regional Administrator and is also based on your satisfactory response to NRC concerns, as documented in the meeting summary and your written certification dated May 12, 1989 that all commitments made to the NRC for actions to be completed during the Cycle 10 refueling outage have in fact been completed.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Murley, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
Meeting Summary

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File (50-206)  
NRC/Local PDRs  
PDV Reading  
GHolahan  
MVirgilio  
JLee  
CTrammell  
OGC (FYI)

EJordan  
BGrimes  
ACRS (10)

[INPUT FOR SONGS-1 MEETING/page 4-5]

OFC	:PM:PDV	:	PD:PDV	:	(A)AD35:DRSP	:	(A)B-DRSP	:	AD:ADP	:	D/DIR:NRR	:	DIR:NRR
NAME	:CTrammell:sam:	:	GMinton:	:	MJVirgilio	:	GMHolahan	:	JGPartlow	:	JHSniezek	:	TEMurley
DATE	:5/15/89	:	5/15/89	:	5/15/89	:	5/15/89	:	5/ /89	:	5/ /89	:	5/ /89