

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON)	
COMPANY and SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY)	
for a Class 104(b) License to Acquire,)	DOCKET NO. 50-206
Possess, and Use a Utilization Facility as)	
Part of Unit No. 1 of the San Onofre Nuclear)	Amendment No. 88
Generating Station)	

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY and SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.90, hereby submit Amendment No. 88.

This amendment consists of Proposed Change Nos. 86, 87, 88 and 90 to the Technical Specifications incorporated in Provisional Operating License No. DPR-13 as Appendices A and B.

Proposed Change No. 86 is a request to delete Technical Specification 3.1.2a(1)A, "Plankton Studies" from the Appendix B Environmental Technical Specifications.

Proposed Change No. 87 is a request to revise Section 4.4.E, "Auxiliary Feedwater System", of the Appendix A Technical Specifications.

Proposed Change No. 88 is a request to revise Technical Specification 3.5.2, "Control Group Insertion Limits", of the Appendix A Technical Specifications to allow San Onofre Unit 1 to be operated as described in the supporting report, "Reload Safety Evaluation, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, Cycle 8, January, 1980."

Proposed Change No. 90 is a request to (1) revise the definition of Containment Integrity as contained in Section 1.0 of the Appendix A Technical Specifications; (2) add requirements for calibration and testing of Auxiliary Feedwater Flow and Condensate Tank level instrumentation in Table 4.1.1 of Section 4.1 of the Appendix A Technical Specifications, and; (3) revise the requirements for the containment isolation system design in Section 5.2 of the Appendix A Technical Specifications.

In the event of conflict, the information in this Amendment No. 88 supersedes the information previously submitted.

Accordingly, it is concluded that (1) the proposed change does not involve an unreviewed safety question as defined in 10CFR50.59, nor does it present significant hazards considerations not described or implicit in the Final Safety Analysis, and (2) there is a reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by the proposed change.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 170.22, Proposed Change Nos. 86, 87, 88 and 90 submitted as Amendment No. 88, are determined to be Class III changes. The basis for this determination is that each proposed change involves a single safety issue (Proposed Change Nos. 87 and 90 are based on NRC requirements established following the Three Mile Island accident and are separated for convenience) and is deemed not to involve a significant hazards consideration. As indicated in the document entitled, "Guidance for Assessing the Proper Licensing Fee" which was transmitted by letter dated July 12, 1979, when a single amendment contains no more than three Class III safety issues they will be assessed as separate Class III fee types and not as a Class IV fee.

Accordingly, the fee of \$12,000.00 corresponding to this determination is remitted herewith as required by 10 CFR 170.22.

Subscribed on this 8th day of February, 1980


Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

By A. Arenal
A. Arenal
Vice President

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
8th day of February, 1980.

Agnes Crabtree
Notary Public in and for the County of
Los Angeles, State of California



My Commission Expires: Aug 27 1982


Charles R. Kocher
James A. Beoletto
Attorneys for Southern
California Edison Company

By James A. Beoletto

Subscribed on this 8TH day of FEBRUARY, 1980.


Respectfully submitted,

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY


By 


D. W. Gilman
Vice President of
Power Supply

David R. Pigott
Samual B. Casey
Chickering & Gregory
Attorneys for San Diego
Gas & Electric Company

By 
David R. Pigott

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
8th day of February, 1980.




Notary Public in and for the County of
San Diego, State of California

My Commission Expires: July 25, 1981.

Angela B. Snyder

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of SOUTHERN)
CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY)
and SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC)
COMPANY (San Onofre Nuclear)
Generating Station Unit No. 1) Docket No. 50-206

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of Amendment No. 88 was served on the following by deposit in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on the 8th day of February, 1980.

Henry J. McGurren, Esq.
Staff Counsel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

David R. Pigott, Esq.
Samuel B. Casey, Esq.
Chickering & Gregory
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, California 94111

I. R. Caraco
Bechtel Corporation
P. O. Box 60860, Terminal Annex
Los Angeles, California 90060

Michael L. Mellor, Esq.
Thelen, Marrin, Johnson & Bridges
Two Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, California 94111

Huey Johnson
Secretary for Resources
State of California
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814


Janice E. Kerr, General Counsel
California Public Utilities Commission
5066 State Building
San Francisco, California 94102

J. Rengel
Atomic Power Division
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

A. E. Gaede
P. O. Box 373
San Clemente, California 92672

Frederick E. John, Executive Director
California Public Utilities Commission
5050 State Building
San Francisco, California 94102

Docketing and Service Section
Office of the Secretary
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "James A. Beoletto", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized with a large initial "J" and a prominent "B".

James A. Beoletto
Assistant Counsel
Southern California Edison Company