

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 11:17 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 0699 tompkins.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/14/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00195

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 207

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D00127B39A066C)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 11/15/2013 11:16:41 AM
Received Date: 11/15/2013 11:16:43 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	11/15/2013 11:16:43 AM
0699 tompkins.pdf	80478	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 15, 2013 Received: November 14, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-88qf-q2h4 Comments Due: December 20, 2013 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0699

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Tompkins

Address:

PO Box 87

Pearl River, NY, 10965

Email: hopeusa@earthlink.net

General Comment

Regarding Indian Point nuclear reactor site (Docket ID NRC-2012-0246) (located in Buchanan, New York) and its nuclear waste storage plan:

Does the plan realistically take into account Hudson River increasing water levels expected over this century due to global warming and in particular during storm or hurricane events and during an earthquake event, happening at the same time or separately? Does it realistically take into account activities related to terrorism (including theft), assault with bombs or war?

Does the plan realistically take into account presently proposed United Water desalination of water plant (Haverstraw Water Supply Project) several miles downstream and across the Hudson River? Or future desalination water plants that takes water from the Hudson River and place it into the water supply that is consumed by people? One concern is excess radiation release from the nuclear plant enters the water system. This excess radiation release can be from stored nuclear waste as well as other sources from the nuclear plant.