## Southern California Edison Company



P. O. BOX 800

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA 91770

. K. P. BASKIN

MANAGER, NUCLEAR ENGINEERING

AND LICENSING

July 9, 1980

TELEPHONE (213) 572-1401

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attention: D. M. Crutchfield, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch 5

Division of Licensing

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket No. 50-206

Implementation of Category "A" Lessons Learned Requirements

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Unit 1

By letter dated May 2, 1980, you forwarded the NRC staff's evaluation of the implementation of Category "A" Lessons Learned Requirements at San Onofre Unit 1. Based on the NRC staff's evaluation, our implementation of the "Post-Accident Sampling" requirements contained in Section 2.1.8.a of NUREG-0578 as clarified, was identified as an open item, and your May 2, 1980 letter requested that we respond with regard to our intentions to meet the requirements specified in the NRC staff's evaluation. Our May 22, 1980 letter provided our commitment to submit a description of the modifications to meet the "Post-Accident Sampling" requirements together with a more detailed justification for deferral of the modifications to the Systematic Evaluation Program, if this implementation schedule is sought, by July 1, 1980.

Accordingly, submitted herewith is the report entitled "Post-Accident Sampling System, Capabilities and Description, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, July, 1980." As described in our May 22, 1980 letter, the piping and instrumentation diagram and the site location plan included as part of the enclosed report are preliminary in nature. We will advise you of any changes in these drawings which significantly alter the design/operation of the Post-Accident Sampling System as described in the enclosed report.

Based on the information contained in the enclosed report, the Post-Accident Sampling System modifications will not be substantially impacted by any potential modifications identified by review of station design and operation in connection with the Systematic Evaluation Program. Therefore, it is our intention to complete the modifications described in the enclosed report by January 1, 1981, or during a unit shutdown on or about January 1, SEND DRAWINGS 1981 for completion of the modifications provided that (1) engineering

TERA (RETURN to REG Files after filming)

## D. M. Crutchfield, Chief

and procurement in support of the modifications continue to proceed on the present accelerated schedule in support of that deadline, and (2) system and regional reliability considerations at the time of the scheduled shutdown do not dictate otherwise. We will advise you of any changes in this implementation schedule.

As discussed with members of your staff, submittal of this information has been delayed beyond July 1, 1980 as originally indicated in our May 22, 1980 letter. If you have any questions or desire further information concerning the enclosed report, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

XP Buston

Enclosure

## Southern California Edison Company



P. O. BOX 800

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA 91770

K. P. BASKIN MANAGER, NUCLEAR ENGINEERING AND LICENSING

July 9, 1980

TELEPHONE (213) 572-1401

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attention: D. M. Crutchfield, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch 5

Division of Licensing

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket No. 50-206

Implementation of Category "A" Lessons Learned Requirements

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Unit 1

#,8007150579 SEE DRAWINGS # 8007150602

By letter dated May 2, 1980, you forwarded the NRC staff's evaluation of the implementation of Category "A" Lessons Learned Requirements at San Onofre Unit 1. Based on the NRC staff's evaluation, our implementation of the "Post-Accident Sampling" requirements contained in Section 2.1.8.a of NUREG-0578 as clarified, was identified as an open item, and your May 2, 1980 letter requested that we respond with regard to our intentions to meet the requirements specified in the NRC staff's evaluation. Our May 22, 1980 letter provided our commitment to submit a description of the modifications to meet the "Post-Accident Sampling" requirements together with a more detailed justification for deferral of the modifications to the Systematic Evaluation Program, if this implementation schedule is sought, by July 1, 1980.

Accordingly, submitted herewith is the report entitled "Post-Accident Sampling System, Capabilities and Description, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, July, 1980." As described in our May 22, 1980 letter, the piping and instrumentation diagram and the site location plan included as part of the enclosed report are preliminary in nature. We will advise you of any changes in these drawings which significantly alter the design/operation of the Post-Accident Sampling System as described in the enclosed report.

Based on the information contained in the enclosed report, the Post-Accident Sampling System modifications will not be substantially impacted by any potential modifications identified by review of station design and operation in connection with the Systematic Evaluation Program. Therefore, it is our intention to complete the modifications described in the enclosed report by January 1, 1981, or during a unit shutdown on or about January 1, SEND DRAWINGS **%**: 1981 for completion of the modifications provided that (1) engineering

TERA (RETURN to REG Files after filming)

A001

and procurement in support of the modifications continue to proceed on the present accelerated schedule in support of that deadline, and (2) system and regional reliability considerations at the time of the scheduled shutdown do not dictate otherwise. We will advise you of any changes in this implementation schedule.

As discussed with members of your staff, submittal of this information has been delayed beyond July 1, 1980 as originally indicated in our May 22, 1980 letter. If you have any questions or desire further information concerning the enclosed report, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

2 P Bushin.

Enclosure