

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION UNIT 1, DOCKET NO. 50-206  
 TECHNICAL MEETING ON SEISMIC REANALYSIS MAY 20, 1982  
 TRANSCRIPT CORRECTIONS

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Comment</u>
7	25	Add "...report, San Onofre meets its original design criteria."
9	18-20	In lieu of "...when we ...for the Housner," to "...that during the discussion we will be talking both about 0.5g Housner and 0.67g Housner and..."
10	1	In lieu of "...original ...do" change to "The reevaluation does include in-structure response."
10	2	Delete word "include."
13	2	Change ".02" to ".2"
22	11	Change "or the yield stress just" to "with the yield stress, just"
31	20	In lieu of "we checked... it is..." change to "we checked some original calculations and did some new independent calculations, so it is"
35	10	Change "130" to "1 and 30"
38	5	Change "peak considerations" to "peak stress considerations due."
38	10	Add "The factor of 7.5 referred to in this item applies to the comparison with in-structure response spectra. The comparison based on stress intensification factors results in a factor of 1 to 10 difference."
47	3, 4, 5	Delete "on the order of this being approximately 10 to 15 percent, then the ratio is"
48	25	Change "B" to "D"
56	10	Change "subject" to "subject in general."
57	3	Delete "Mr. Herring."
71	2	Change "points" to "points were anchored."
71	17	Change "strength" to "strain."
72	9	Change "and" to "at some."
72	17	Change "a curve" to "an earthquake."
90	17	Delete "Is that right." Add "At the time we prepared the April 28, 1980 report, we believed that the seismic reevaluation of piping would show stresses for a .67g earthquake below allowable. However, the calculations do not show this across the board. While we certainly believe that the majority of piping following an earthquake would remain within allowable stresses, a more appropriate representation of our views today is that the piping will maintain its integrity following a .67g earthquake."

PHHenrikson:4524