Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361 and 50-362

Southern California Edison Company P. O. Box 800 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, California 91770

Attention:

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin, Vice President

Nuclear Engineering, Safety and Licensing Department

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION SAN ONOFRE UNITS 1, 2 AND 3

This letter refers to the inspection conducted by Mr. J. E. Russell of this office on January 19 through 22, February 1 through 5 and a telephone conversation on February 8, 1988, of activities authorized by NRC License Nos. DPR-13, NPF-10 and NPF-15 and to the discussion of our findings held by Mr. Russell with Mr. McCarthy and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during this inspection are described in the enclosed inspection report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that one of your activities was not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements as set forth in the Notice of Violation, enclosed herewith as Appendix A.

Your response to this Notice is to be submitted in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201 as stated in Appendix A, Notice of Violation.

Based on our inspection efforts we believe that, in part, the root cause of the apparent violation stems from a failure to clearly define organizational responsibilities for implementation of the quality assurance requirements related to transportation of radioactive materials. IE Information Notice No. 84-50: Clarification of Scope of Quality Assurance Programs for Transport Packages pursuant to 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, dated June 21, 1984 provides a discussion on this subject. In your response to the apparent violation please describe how your 10 CFR 50, Appendix B quality assurance program defines the organizational responsibilities with respect to 10 CFR 71 Subpart H requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790(a), a copy of this letter and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

ILO6

The response directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

Original signed by F. A. Wensizwski

Ross A. Scarano, Director Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards

Enclosures:

Appendix A (Notice of Violation)

Inspection Report Nos. 50-206/88-04, 50-361/88-04 and 50-362/88-04

cc w/enclosures:

D. J. Fogarty, SCE

C. B. McCarthy, SCE (San Clemente)

H. E. Morgan, SCE (San Clemente)

State of CA

bcc w/enclosures:

RSB/Document Control Desk (RIDS) (IEO6)

Project Inspector

Resident Inspector

G. Cook

B. Faulkenberry

J. Martin

Docket File

bcc w/o enclosure B:

LFMB

M. Smith ma

REGION V/dot JRussell

3/ / /88

GYuhas

AJohnson _2/1/88

FAWen's lawski

3/2/88

RAScarano Jaw

2/ 5/88

REQUEST COPY] REQUEST COPY] REQUEST COPY REQUEST REQUEST COPY 20P YES NO YES

SEND TO PDR YES NO