

JAN 19 1988

Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361, 50-362

Southern California Edison Company
P. O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 92770

Attention: Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin, Vice President
Nuclear Engineering Safety and Licensing

Gentlemen:

Subject: Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP)

The NRC Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) Board has completed its periodic evaluation of your performance associated with the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station during the period June 1, 1986 through September 30, 1987.

The performance of San Onofre was evaluated in the functional areas of plant operations, radiological controls, maintenance, surveillance, fire protection, emergency preparedness, security and safeguards, outages, quality programs and administrative controls, licensing activities, training and qualification effectiveness, and engineering/technical support. The criteria used in conducting this assessment and the SALP Board's evaluation of your performance in these functional areas are contained in the enclosed SALP report.

A management meeting to discuss the results of the SALP Board's assessment is not a requirement, but may be held at a public meeting at the discretion of the licensee or NRC. We believe that a management meeting to discuss the SALP assessment would be useful and productive, especially to establish a performance baseline given the recent senior management change at the San Onofre site. Accordingly, we are requesting such a management meeting and request that meeting arrangements be coordinated with Mr. P. H. Johnson of my staff.

Overall, the SALP Board found your performance to be acceptable and directed toward safe facility operation. Improvement in plant operating performance was observed during this period, particularly in the reduction of plant trips and forced outages. A new functional area, Engineering/Technical Support, has been added. Additionally, we perceive that improvements may be in order to strengthen the problem identification and correction roles exercised by your quality assurance organization and oversight committees. We intend to focus additional attention on these areas during the next SALP period, and encourage you to do the same.

A management overview is provided in Section III, Summary of Results, of the enclosed SALP report. Perceived strengths and weaknesses, along with the SALP Board's recommendations, are identified in Section IV, Performance Analysis.

8802020088 880119
PDR ADOCK 05000206
Q PDR

-2- JAN 19 1988

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room, as well as any comments you may wish to submit to NRC regarding the content of the SALP report.

No reply to this letter is required; however, should you have any questions concerning the enclosed SALP report, we would be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

J. B. Martin
Regional Administrator

Enclosure: SALP Report Nos. 50-206/87-26, 50-361/87-26, 50-362/87-28

cc w/enclosure: D. J. Fogarty, SONGS
H. B. Ray, SONGS
H. E. Morgan, SONGS (San Clemente)
State of California

bcc w/enclosure: Resident Inspector
Project Inspector
Drew Persinko, NRR
G. Cook
B. Faulkenberry
J. Martin
docket file
T. Murley, NRR
M. Johnson, OEDO
Commissioners
J. Roe, NRR

bcc w/o enclosure: M. Smith
LFMB

REGION V
PJ Johnson 12/31/87
R Zimmerman 12/13/88
A Chaffee 12/ /88
DKirsch 12/13/88

REQUEST COPY] REQUEST COPY] REQUEST COPY] REQUEST COPY]
YES / NO] YES / NO] YES / NO] YES / NO]

R Scarano 12/13/88
G Knighton 12/30/87
J Martin 12/ /88
Per Telecon

REQUEST COPY] REQUEST COPY] REQUEST COPY]
YES / NO] YES / NO] YES / NO]

SEND TO PDR]
YES / NO]

↓
to Wm. L. ...