- Roba Diggs

Southern California Edison Company



P. O. BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD. CALIFORNIA 91770

K. P. BASKIN

MANAGER OF NUCLEAR ENGINEERING,

SAFETY, AND LICENSING

June 10, 1982

TELEPHONE (213) 572-1401

Director, Office of Administration Attention: William O. Miller, Chief

License Fee Management Branch

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket 50-206

Amendment No. 100

License Fee Class Change

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Unit 1

By letter dated December 18, 1981, Proposed Change No. 110 to the San Onofre Unit 1 Technical Specifications was submitted as Amendment No. 100 to the Provisional Operating License No. DPR-13. Proposed Change No. 110 is a request to revise the Administrative Controls sections of the Appendix A and B Technical Specifications to incorporate station reorganization changes. The proposed change was determined to be a Class II change pursuant to 10 CFR 170.22 since it is administrative in nature and has no safety or environmental significance. A fee of \$1,200.00 corresponding to this determination was remitted.

Your letters dated February 22, and May 20, 1982 indicated that Proposed Change No. 110 had been determined to be a Class III change and an additional \$2,800.00 are due to the NRC. As a result of NRC actions which have occurred subsequent to our original submittal of Proposed Change No. 110, we believe that the following fee determination is now applicable to this request.

The fuel loading and low power operating license for San Onofre Unit 2 was issued on February 16, 1982. The Technical Specifications issued as part of this license included administrative controls which incorporated the organization changes proposed as part of Proposed Change No. 110. In addition, by letter dated May 14, 1982, we submitted Proposed Change NPF-10-11 to the San Onofre Unit 2 Technical Specifications as Amendment No. 3 to the license. This change incorporated some additional station organization changes which have been discussed with members of the NRC staff as part of their review of Proposed Change No. 110 to the Unit 1 Technical Specifications.

Mood

Proposed Change No. 110 should therefore be considered a duplicate request and determined to be a Class I Amendment in accordance with 10 CFR 170.22. The appropriate fee is therefore \$400.00 so that \$800.00 is owed to the Southern California Edison Company.

If you have any questions or desire additional information, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

2P Bushn.

ROrnelas:sm

cc: D. M. Crutchfield (NRC, Washington, D.C.)