REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTIO SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8205260026 DOC.DATE: 82/05/22 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Southern Californ 05000361

AUTHON AFFILIATION

MEDFORD, M.O. Southern California Edison Co.

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
MIRAGLIA, F. Licensing Branch 3

SUBJECT: Forwards revised description of 820521 proposed change to License NPF-10 to make Tech Specs consistent w/current valve time response requirements.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: BOOIS COPIES RECEIVED: LTR LENCL L SIZE: 2

NOTES: J Hanchett 1cy PDR Documents. ELD Chandler 1cy.

05000361

RECI	RECIPIENT		S	RECIPIENT		COPIES	
ID CODE/NAME		LTTR ENCL		ID CODE/NAME		LTTR ENCL	
A/D LI	A/D LICENSNG		- 0	LIC BR #3 BC		1 0	
DI∕C ∈BR	#3 L'A	1	° 0	ROOD,H.	01	1	1
INTERNAL: ELD/HD	\$2	1 -	·Ò	IE FILE		1	1
IE/DEP	EPDS 35	1	1	IE/DEP/EPL	B 36	± 3	₹3:
MPA		1	-0	NRR/DE/CEB		1	1
NRR/DE	/EQB 13	-3	• 3	NRR/DE/GB	28	2	· 2
NRRADE	/HGEB ≠30	. 2	2	NRR/DE/MEB		1	- 1
NRR/DE	/MTEB 17	1	1	NRR/DE/QAB		1	1.
NRR/DE		1	1	NRR/DE/SEB		1	1
NRR/DH	FS/HFEB40	. 1	1	NRR/DHES/L		1	1
	FS/OLB 34	. 1	1	NRR/DHES/P		1	ĩ
NRR/DS		1.	1	NRR/DSI/AS		1	1
NRR/DS		$\tilde{\mathbf{i}}$	1	NRR/DSI/CS		1	ĭ
14-	I/ETSB 12	1	1	NRR/DSI/IC		1	1
NRR/DS		. 1	. 1	NRR/DSI/RA		1	1
NBR/DS	· ·	1	1	NRR/DST/LG		. 1	1
REG ET		. 1.)	. 1	RGN5		έŽ	2
EXTERNAL: ACRS	41	10	1 0	BNL (AMDTS	ONLY)	1	1
FEMA-R	EP DIV 39	1	1	LPDR	03	1	1
NRC ∃PD	R 02	1.	1	NSIC	05	1	1
NTIS	•	1	1				***
NOTES:		3	¥ 3				

Southern California Edison Company



P.O. BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA 91770

May 22, 1982

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Mr. Frank Miraglia, Branch Chief Licensing Branch No. 3 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket No. 50-361

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Unit 2

SCE's letter of May 21, 1982 transmitted a proposed change to Technical Specification No. 3.3.2, Table 3.3-5 items 2.a(5)b, 3.a(4)b and associated NOTE 4, ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Unit 2(Operating License NPF-10). The May 21, 1982 letter requested revisions to the technical specifications consistent with current valve response time requirements.

Consistent with discussions with the NRC(Mr. H. Rood) on May 22, 1982 enclosed please find further clarification which replaces the "Reason for Proposed Change" of the enclosure to the May 21, 1982 letter. A formal request for an amendment to Operating License No. NPF-10 incorporating the enclosed clarification into the proposed change which was transmitted to the NRC on May 21, 1982 will be submitted to the NRC during the week of June 1, 1982. The formal request will include a check in the amount of \$1,200.00 for this change which has been determined to be a Class II change in accordance with 10CFR170.22.

If you have any questions concerning the enclosed information, please call me.

Very truly yours,

M. O. Medford

Supervising Engineer

San Onofre Units 2 and 3 Licensing

800

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE NPF-10-18 AND SAFETY ANALYSIS OPERATING LICENSE NPF-10

Revise "Reason for Proposed Change" as follows:

Reason for Proposed Change

The response time of containment emergency cooler CCW isolation valves must be limited by the Technical Specifications in order to assure that the containment emergency cooler system will operate in accordance with the specific assumptions of the containment pressure analyses (as described in FSAR Section 6.2, Tables 6.2-25 and 6.2-26). The CCW non-critical loop isolation valves were inadvertantly listed in lieu of the containment emergency cooler CCW isolation valves in the Technical Specifications; in addition, the implied 10 second stroke time for the valves has been revised to 12 seconds per FSAR Amendment 29 and the previously omitted 10 second allowance for emergency diesel operator starting and load sequencing has been added since these are A-C motor operated valves, making a correct total response time of 23.2/23.0 seconds in lieu of 11.2/11.0 seconds for these valves.

Although a response time limit for the CCW non-critical loop isolation valves is not required by the containment pressure analyses(as described in FSAR Section 6.2, Tables 6.2-25 and 6.2-26), the high energy line break analyses(described in FSAR Section 3.6) do require a response time limit for these valves to ensure integrity of the CCW critical loops following the rupture of non-critical loop piping by certain large-break LOCA's. It is therefore prudent to retain a Technical Specification limit on CCW non-critical loop isolation valve response time; the correct stroke time limit for these valves is 20 seconds, in lieu of the 10 seconds which pertained to the containment emergency cooler CCW isolation valves. (Since these are pneumatic valves, the 10 seconds for emergency diesel generator starting and load sequencing do not apply.)