



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 130 TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-13

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-206

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated April 11, 1989, Southern California Edison Company (SCE or the licensee) requested a change to the Technical Specifications appended to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-13 for operation of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1, located in San Diego County, California.

2.0 DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

The proposed amendment was submitted at the request of the NRC staff when it was apparent that differences between the NRC and licensee's copy of the Technical Specifications have evolved over the years. Pagination and text arrangement was different and the original NRC page numbering system (serial) made it difficult to insert new pages.

The proposed amendment is purely administrative in nature. Specific changes include reordering and deleting numbers for definitions in Section 1.0, renumbering tables in some sections to be consistent with the numbering scheme in the remaining sections, renumbering pages starting with each subsection to simplify future page number changes, and revising defined terms to the proper defined term and capitalized type. Also, a list of effective pages is added so that the copyholder can be sure that the document is complete and current. These administrative changes will eliminate the differences found. The changes represent an improvement in clarity and readability and are acceptable. Since the changes are all non-substantive and editorial, no marginal lines have been added.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves changes in administrative procedures and requirements. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for

8908310013 890821
PDR ADOCK 05000206
P PNU

categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

4.0 CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that:
(1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner,
(2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and (3) the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors: C. Trammell

Dated: August 21, 1989