Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Waste Confidence Directors

Monthly Status Update

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Teleconference

Date: Wednesday, October 30, 2013

1:30 p.m. EST

Work Order No.: NRC-348 Pages 1-40

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	WASTE CONFIDENCE DIRECTORS
5	MONTHLY STATUS UPDATE
6	+ + + +
7	WEDNESDAY
8	OCTOBER 30, 2013
9	+ + + +
10	The status update was held via teleconference at
11	1:30 p.m., Sarah Lopas, facilitator, presiding.
12	
13	NRC STAFF PRESENT:
14	LISA LONDON, OGC
15	SARAH LOPAS, NMSS/WCD
16	CARRIE SAFFORD, NMSS/WCD
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	NEAL R. GROSS

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-1-N-G-1

1:33 p.m.

MODERATOR LOPAS: Hi, everybody. Welcome to the Waste Confidence Directorate Monthly Status Teleconference.

My name is Sarah Lopas and I'm the NEPA Communications project manager for the Directorate. I'll be facilitating today's call.

You might want to have a pen and paper on hand because we will be providing some information that you may want to jot down.

In the room with me here at the NRC is Carrie Safford, who's the deputy director of the Waste Confidence Directorate, and Lisa London from our Office of General Counsel. Most of our team is up in Tarrytown, New York, for our public meeting there tonight.

Our operator today is Audra. And Audra will be unmuting our individual call lines when we get to the question and answer period. Note that unless you're asking a question all the call lines will be muted.

We'll start by going over the format of today's call and some basic ground rules. There aren't any slides or meeting materials associated with today's call; however, we will adhere to this short agenda.

Carrie Safford will provide some

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

information on our upcoming public meetings and the extended public comment period and then we'll open the phone lines for questions.

When we get to the question and answer period, to ask a question you'll just press Star 1 on your phone and that will indicate to Audra that you'll need your line unmuted.

Today's call is scheduled to end promptly at 2:30 Eastern Time and we're obligated to keep that schedule, so please try to limit yourself to one question. And if we get to everybody once, we'll go back for additional questions.

If we run out of time and you didn't get to ask your question, please feel free to call me. My name is Sarah Lopas and my office phone number is 301-287-0675.

Today's teleconference is being transcribed by a court reporter. And this is so that folks that weren't on the call can review the transcript and see what was discussed.

When your phone line is opened to ask a question, please start by introducing yourself and stating your affiliation, if you have one. And please speak clearly so that the court reporter can get an accurate and clean transcript of what was said today.

NEAL R. GROSS

Please note that although this call is being transcribed, any comments or statements you make today are not considered as formal comments on the Waste Confidence Generic Environmental Impact Statement or proposed rule.

We have other official ways of submitting your comments on the documents. And if you need help with that, please give me a call.

The transcript of today's teleconference and a meeting summary of the call will be publicly available in ADAMS within three weeks. We will send a W.C. Outreach e-mail with links to the transcript and meeting summary and these documents will also be available on the public involvement page of the NRC's Waste Confidence website.

If you would like to be on our W.C. Outreach e-mail distribution list, please send an e-mail with that request to w-c-o-u-t-r-e-a-c-h-@-n-r-c-dot-g-o-v, that's wcoutreach@nrc.gov.

And if you have any questions about access to information or about the Waste Confidence review or public meetings in general, please call me at 301-287-0675.

And with that, we'll get the call started with Carrie Safford the Deputy Director of Waste

NEAL R. GROSS

Confidence Directorate.

MS. SAFFORD: Thanks, Sarah. Good afternoon, everyone.

Before I get started, I want to thank you all for participating today and for showing an interest in the agency's activities regarding Waste Confidence.

We appreciate your participation and input.

The purpose of today's call is to communicate with the public by providing you with an update on the revised public meeting schedule and the new deadline for the public comment period.

Due to the lapse in appropriations and the subsequent shutdown of the NRC, five Waste Confidence public meetings scheduled across three weeks were postponed.

Seven previously scheduled meetings were unaffected. The unaffected meetings include the public meeting held here in Rockville, Maryland, on October 1st, and Denver, Colorado, on October 3rd, Chelmsford, Massachusetts, which took place on Monday, October 28th, and tonight we'll be holding a public meeting in Tarrytown, New York.

And just a brief side note on that, the location for the Tarrytown meeting, it's still in the same hotel but it has been moved to the Grand Ballroom,

NEAL R. GROSS

Salon E. And I can repeat that information later if folks need it.

The meeting in Charlotte, North Carolina, will occur as scheduled on November $4^{\rm th}$ and the meeting in Orlando, Florida, will occur as scheduled on November $6^{\rm th}$.

And, finally, our previously scheduled webcast meeting to be held here in headquarters in Rockville, Maryland, on November 14th will be held as planned.

So that leaves five meetings that had to be rescheduled. And the following schedule is as follows:

Oak Brook, Illinois, on November 12th; Carlsbad,

California, on November 18th; San Luis Obispo,

California, on November 20th; Perrysburg, Ohio, on

December 2nd; and Minnetonka, Minnesota, on December 4th.

In addition to the original schedule, we are also adding a final wrap-up meeting on December 9th. This meeting will be in the form of a facilitated teleconference allowing an additional venue for participants who are not able to attend a regional meeting to provide their oral comments.

Our most recent W.C. Outreach e-mail sent out on Monday, October 28th, contained the incorrect phone number and pass code for the December 9th facilitated

NEAL R. GROSS

teleconference. So in the next day or two we'll send out a correction e-mail with the link to the December 9th teleconference meeting notice and it will include the correct phone number and pass code.

We will also update the Waste Confidence Public Involvement website with the teleconference

Public Involvement website with the teleconference information.

And, finally, in order to accommodate the rescheduled meetings and the final teleconference, the public comment period has been extended from November 27th to December 20th. The December 20th date provides about ten days after the last meeting for individuals to finalize their comments and submit them before the end of the comment period.

I'll now turn it back over to Sarah Lopas and we'll open up the line for questions on the new schedule.

FACILITATOR LOPAS: Thank you, Carrie.

Okay. So at this point we're going to open up the phone

lines. To ask a question be sure to press Star 1.

And just a reminder that when we do open up your phone line to start off by introducing yourself, that's so the court reporter can get your name, and state your affiliation if you have one, and speak clearly.

Okay. Audra, can we hear from Dean Baker,

NEAL R. GROSS

	8
1	please?
2	MR. BAKER: Sarah?
3	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Hi, Dean. Go ahead.
4	MR. BAKER: Sarah, with the recent
5	government shutdown and the decision to extend the public
6	comment period, the schedule for completion of the final
7	rule is potentially challenged.
8	When will you be able to let us know if
9	there's going to be an actual schedule impact to that
10	final action?
11	MS. SAFFORD: Hi, Dean. It's Carrie Safford.
12	Thanks for the question.
13	We're currently evaluating any impact to
14	the overall schedule and don't want to try to provide any
15	guesses here. So we want to be able to give you accurate
16	information.
17	As soon as we know we will post that
18	information. We hope to be able to do so within the
19	coming weeks. But, again, just as soon as we know
20	something we'll post information on the public website
21	and through W.C. Outreach, as well.
22	MR. BAKER: Thank you.
23	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Thanks, Dean. Audra,
24	can we hear from Gail Snyder, please?
25	MS. SNYDER: Hi. This is Gail Snyder.

Can you hear me? Hi. I was wondering have you transferred all those that had registered for the original meeting date to the new meeting date or do people need to re-register? MS. SAFFORD: This is Carrie. We've already transferred everyone to the new meeting date so there's no need to re-register. MS. SNYDER: Thank you. FACILITATOR LOPAS: Thank you, Gail. If you have a question press Star 1. And we probably not hang on, we're not going to hang on the line until 2:30 so get your questions in now. Star 1. Can we hear from Stephen Sondheim, please? MR. SONDHEIM: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? FACILITATOR LOPAS: We can. MR. SONDHEIM: Hi. It's Stephen Sondheim. I'm from the Sierra Club in Memphis, Tennessee. I want to tell you that I appreciate the transcripts that have come out for Denver and for the D.C. And I hope that the transcripts coming out, you know, from each session are timely because it helps us to get what was said and what else needs to be said.

And I also want to ask if I had heard that

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hi, Stephen.

1	some of these are being recorded by NRC. Is that the case
2	or not? I'm just curious.
3	MS. SAFFORD: Hi. This is Carrie Safford
4	again. The California meeting will be videotaped with
5	an audio recording that goes along with it. They will
6	also have a court reporter there with the traditional
7	transcript. But those are the only two meetings where
8	we have plans for videotaping.
9	MR. SONDHEIM: The two California
L O	meetings, okay.
1	MS. SAFFORD: Yes.
_2	MR. SONDHEIM: Well, and how soon will the
L3	transcripts come out after each meeting approximately?
4	MS. SAFFORD: Within a number of days we'll
_5	be able to post them on our website.
-6	MR. SONDHEIM: Okay.
_7	MS. SAFFORD: And, again, a W.C. Outreach
8 .	will go out letting people know and giving the link for
_9	the transcripts.
20	MR. SONDHEIM: Thank you so much. Okay.
21	MS. SAFFORD: Thank you.
22	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Audra, can we hear from
23	Erica Gray, please?
24	MS. GRAY: Hello?
25	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Hi, Erica.
1 1	

1	MS. GRAY: Hi. Yes. I think I'm trying to
2	understand, too. And Stephen just mentioned about the
3	transcripts.
4	So the transcripts will be made available
5	from all the meetings, am I understanding that correctly?
6	MS. SAFFORD: Yes, that's correct.
7	MS. GRAY: Okay. And I think it was just
8	mentioned that the California meetings will be
9	videotaped as well as the transcripts?
10	MS. SAFFORD: That's correct.
11	MS. GRAY: And I'm assuming the next one at
12	Maryland headquarters will also be webinared; is that
13	correct?
14	MS. SAFFORD: Yes. It will be a webinar
15	with the facilitator teleconference line just like the
16	kickoff meeting on October 1 st .
17	MS. GRAY: Okay. And, I'm sorry, where can
18	I find those transcripts?
19	MS. SAFFORD: The transcripts, the link to
20	the transcripts are posted on our Waste Confidence page.
21	FACILITATOR LOPAS: And, Erica, this is
22	Sarah Lopas.
23	MS. GRAY: Yes.
24	FACILITATOR LOPAS: I believe I have your
25	e-mail. If you do you have my e-mail address, Erica,

1 or do you know how to get a hold of it? Do you have a 2 You could jot it down real quick. 3 MS. GRAY: Okay. FACILITATOR LOPAS: It's Sarah, 5 S-a-r-a-h-dot-l-o-p-a, in apple-s, as as in 6 Sam-@-N-R-C.gov. 7 If you just send me an e-mail, I'll be able 8 to shoot you links to those transcripts. But all the 9 transcripts, we e-mail them out by a W.C. Outreach e-mail. 10 MS. GRAY: Okay. So --11 12 FACILITATOR LOPAS: We also post them on our Waste Confidence public involvement 13 website. 14 15 MS. GRAY: Okay. I quess I just haven't 16 come across it yet. 17 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. MS. GRAY: Can't think of anything else 18 19 right now. Thank you. FACILITATOR LOPAS: All right. 20 Thank you. Audra, can we next hear from Mike Carberry, please? 21 MR. CARBERRY: Yes. Good afternoon. And 22 thank you for having this call. 23 I would like to ask why some of these 24 meetings are being scheduled, the Waste Confidence 25

13 public hearings, in a place -- in places that are very inaccessible to a lot of the public. Perrysburg, Ohio; Oak Brook, Illinois. Oak Brook, Illinois, is not Chicago. It's very hard for

people that do not have a car, which a lot of people in frontline communities may not have them because they are of lower means. And so I just am very confused about why the

NRC has put some of these meetings in fairly inaccessible places. Minnetonka, Minnesota, is not Minneapolis and it's not St. Paul. So Tarrytown, New York, some of the other places. The Boston meeting, the Boston area meeting should have been in Boston.

And, you know, and also I'd like to register basically a complaint saying that all of these meetings should be recorded, video and audio, to be available for the public.

If there are public comment periods, then they really should be available for all of the public, not just the public that can afford the means to get to these out-of-the way hearings. Thank you.

I think, Mike -- this is MS. SAFFORD: Carrie again -- I can, you know, give you a little bit of information on how we chose the meeting locations.

Overall in the geographic regions we base

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that upon information that we received during the scoping process that began last November. And we solicited public input on locations where the public wanted to have meetings when we came around to the public comment period.

We also solicited input and heard feedback from folks during our monthly status teleconferences. And we used those attendance lists as well. We get a printout of all the folks who participate in our monthly status telecons and we can get an idea of what regions of the country are we getting the most interest from the public and the most participation.

And we also based that on historical experience that we have here at the NRC in putting on public meetings across the country and where we have areas of high attendance or low attendance.

And, finally, a lot of it, you know, some of it just comes down to sheer logistics. Where we can get a hotel or meeting space to accommodate the size and the nature of our meetings. And that, in itself, can be quite restrictive and is a challenge for us when we try to find and pinpoint a location.

So but we appreciate your comments and we do take them into consideration.

MR. CARBERRY: Yes. If you would -- yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

15 If you'd like me to send you a list of downtown hotels in Chicago that would be available during that same date, I probably could come up with that. I just, it's just Oak Brook, Illinois, is very inaccessible to the people that want to that could potentially speak. Okay. Thank you.

> MS. SAFFORD: Thank you.

FACILITATOR LOPAS: All right. Audra, next can we hear from Carol Kurtz, please?

I have a question about MS. KURTZ: Yes. the size in Oak Brook on the 12th. How large will the room, what is the capacity, the size? And also is that meeting going to be taped and recorded?

Thank you.

MS. SAFFORD: Hi. So the meeting space in Oak Brook, Illinois, I don't have the exact numbers in front of me here, but I'm quessing it's going to be at least a couple hundred people will be able to be accommodated in that facility.

And no, the only meetings that will be videotaped will be the California meetings. We do not have plans for videotaping any of the other regional We will have webcast and the facilitator teleconference for the November 4th meeting -- 14th, my apologies, the November 14th meeting here at

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 headquarters. MS. KURTZ: Okay. Thank you. FACILITATOR LOPAS: 3 Thank you, Carol. Audra, next can we hear from Mary Olson, 5 please? MS. OLSON: Hi, can you hear me? 6 7 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Hi, we can. Hi, Mary. 8 MS. OLSON: Hi. As a good facilitator I 9 know it's a typical process to create a cue of speakers. But I'd like a cue about walk-ins versus people who called 10 ahead. 11 12 If we're having a really good turnout at one of these places, is there a chance that walk-ins just 13 don't, I mean, be able to speak? 14 15 MS. SAFFORD: Hi, Mary. It's Carrie. the most part we're going to make every effort possible 16 17 to accommodate everyone. We -- pre-registration is really the way to 18 19 I think you already know that and a lot of folks do. And we've received a lot of response for some of our 20 meetings and that helps us in our planning in determining 21 how long we can afford each speaker to talk at the podium. 22 And that's the best I can say is that the 23 more pre-registration we get the better we can plan to 24

accommodate and allow everyone an opportunity to speak.

MS. OLSON: And I get that. It's just that, you know, I actually have gotten to the level of doing radio outreach and some people are doing pretty generic advertising-type outreach. And so it's just getting to be a feel that we could actually have people that we did not have any prior knowledge of actually show up.

And since that's sort of the goal of this activity, I would feel like that was a total success that if they bounce off completely then -- and yet, you know, anyway.

MS. SAFFORD: Yes. We appreciate your feedback. And we will take that into consideration as we head into some of these meetings with larger totals of pre-registered speakers.

MS. OLSON: One more corollary question which is about the 10 p.m. ending time. Is that, you know, hard stop? Is that like you still got 10 to 15 people you're going to keep going? What's the deal there?

MS. SAFFORD: In general, we do have a little bit of flexibility on the 10 p.m. ending time. It's not hard and fast. But because we do hold these in hotels we do have to adhere to whatever the agreement with the hotel is in allowing their staff to come in after us to

NEAL R. GROSS

clean up and set up for whatever the next day's activity 1 in that room is. 2 But we do have some flexibility. We can't 3 stay all night. We can't keep it going for hours after 5 10 o'clock. But we do have some flexibility. Like you said, if there's 10 or 15 people left we'll make every 6 7 effort we can to make sure everyone gets that opportunity to speak. 8 9 MS. OLSON: Okay. Just FYI, there's 10 pretty good convergence happening for Charlotte. 11 think Negassi say -- it's not Negassi, it's a different 12 commissioner who is still on the commission has suggested Orlando, that one looks to me to be maybe his meeting, 13 but we'll find out. 14 15 MS. SAFFORD: Okay. Thank you. MS. OLSON: 16 Yep. 17 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. Thank you, 18 Mary. Next can we hear from Lou Zeller? 19 Okay. 20 And a reminder to press Star 1 if you would like to ask 21 a question. Hi, Lou. 22 Hi. Thank you. I'm Lou Zeller. 23 MR. ZELLER: I'm the executive director of Blue Ridge Environmental 24 25 Defense League here in the southeast from Georgia on up

to Virginia.

My question has to do with the venue, the spot in Charlotte that Mary Olson just mentioned. That it's a private hotel, as you know.

My question is who is the gatekeeper there and who would be in charge of security? And my question is simply on, you know, will it be the NRC in charge or a private firm or, you know, Charlotte Municipal Police?

The reason I ask this is because there have been -- we've had difficulties in the past with heavy-handed tactics by, and trickery actually, in Charlotte being the city that it is.

But, in other words, if we have questions, people might bring signs, photographs to show to the commissioners. They may wear shirts, banners, buttons. People coming long distance would want to gather somewhere in arriving before the meeting, of course, because it's a long trip.

Where can people gather where if we've got a number of people on the hotel site and how early can they arrive? If questions arise on the site, I plan to be there, who do we talk to from the NRC or from whoever's in charge who would be at the Charlotte Hilton?

MS. SAFFORD: Hey, Lou. This is Carrie.

And there was a lot of information there in your comment

NEAL R. GROSS

so I'm going to try to hit on just a few of them that I remember.

And if I forget anything I think it would be easy for you also, if you could also touch base with Sarah Lopas after the call and she can fill in any gaps that I might not cover.

But, first of all, I guess I'm not familiar with any other meetings at least that the NRC has hosted in Charlotte that would have been heavy-handed.

I can say for purposes of our public meetings we do coordinate with local law enforcement, we coordinate with the hotel. It is their site and we are a guest on their property for hosting these meetings.

The specifics on either you want to bring in posters or signs or whatnot, typically those are okay for our public meetings. One thing that you might hear would be that the signs during the public meeting, itself, you know, we prefer that they don't obstruct anyone's view or prevent anyone from speaking or seeing what's going on. So you might be asked to stand at the side of the room or the back of the room with your sign.

I'm trying to think of some of the other questions. Typically, so we have an open house at each of these meetings that's going to start from 6 to 7 p.m.

NRC staff is typically on premises a little earlier than

NEAL R. GROSS

1 that. I can't guarantee what time. Probably by 5 o'clock 2 at which point, you know, we start coordinating and 3 setting up with the hotel and the local law enforcement. I can't provide you with any good direction 5 on where groups can meet to gather or protestors of that 6 nature. 7 Then, again, if I missed anything in some 8 of the points that you asked about, I would encourage you 9 to get in touch with Sarah and we can help you from there. 10 MR. ZELLER: Okay. I should e-mail, then, 11 to Sarah Lopas? 12 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Yep. Do you have my e-mail, Lou? 13 MR. ZELLER: Yes, I do. Thank you. 14 15 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. Great. Audra, can we hear from Gail 16 All right. Snyder again, please? 17 18 MS. SNYDER: I was just wondering when you 19 pre-register there doesn't seem to be a confirmation that your registration was received. So I'm just wondering, 20 say, for instance if we have someone from Nebraska who 21 pre-registers, comes into the Oak Brook meeting in 22 Illinois, they knew they were pre-registered and then 23 it's not on the pre-registration and never get the chance 24 to publicly speak. 25

1 That was a long way to come to not be able 2 It's happened to me in Michigan in meetings 3 that weren't pre-registered meetings, but it's rather frustrating to go a long way and not have the opportunity. 5 So how does one confirm their registration 6 has gone through? Can you get to the meeting and check 7 to see that your name is on the registration list? 8 can we do to kind of confirm that we're on the list? 9 MS. SAFFORD: Okay. So my understanding 10 is that you should receive a confirmation e-mail when you pre-register. And then when you get to the meeting we 11 list of all the individuals who have 12 keep pre-registered. 13 And so when you come in, come up to the info 14 15 desk and just let us know you're there and let us know that your intent is still to speak or if you've changed 16 17 your mind and don't want to speak, let us know that, too. Because it helps us manage the meeting. Thank you. 18 MS. SNYDER: Okay. Did you say that we 19 should receive a confirmation e-mail? 20 MS. SAFFORD: Yes. if you 21 Yes. So haven't, I don't know if you said you haven't received 22 one for --23 I just registered today 24 MS. SNYDER: No. so I don't know if I've received one yet so I would give 25

1	that another 24 hours or something. I would imagine it'd
2	be faster but.
3	MS. SAFFORD: Yes. It is typically five
4	days. Because not many people are registering for
5	many meetings so we have to make sure that we can get back
6	to everybody.
7	But I would check
8	MS. SNYDER: Okay.
9	MS. SAFFORD: if you don't hear something
10	then just let us know and make sure you're on the list.
11	Okay. Thank you.
12	MS. SNYDER: Hello? It's hard to hear your
13	reply.
14	MS. SAFFORD: I'm sorry. I was just saying
15	if you don't get a confirmation e-mail within the next
16	few days, just let us know and we'll make sure that you're
17	on the list for the meeting that you intend to come to.
18	MS. SNYDER: Thank you. I have another
19	question if you don't have other people listed or I'll
20	wait for another turn.
21	MS. SAFFORD: Go ahead with one more
22	question, Gail.
23	MS. SYNDER: Well, at the first meeting that
24	was held before the government shutdown I had made a
25	statement on the phone that, you know, there's 12

meetings being held. And really when you configure the time allowed for people to speak there's really only about 30 hours total of publicly-facilitated commentary time spread across the entire country.

And I really think that the public hearing time is very important. And it plays a role in the public input process that is completely different than submitting comments in written form. If it wasn't important we would just all submit comments in written form.

So I had asked at that first meeting that they really do facilitate teleconferencing and webcasting at all of the meetings, if not more than just the two that are scheduled.

And I know you have your plans in place. But I'm wondering if there is any discussion or if I can submit to you and some people who asked as well on this call that, you know, you already know how to do teleconferencing and webcasting. It isn't new to the NRC. I think it's unreasonable to request that more of the meetings are facilitated in this way.

Because, you know, I do agree with one of the earlier speakers that some of these meetings are held in odd locations. And there are people who it's just very inconvenient to get to them. And, you know, the

1	Chicago one being an example. If it was in Chicago a lot
2	more people would probably come.
3	MS. SAFFORD: Okay. Well, I appreciate your
4	feedback and your input on that. One of the reasons we
5	added the December 9 th meeting was to provide that
6	additional opportunity for folks who weren't able to make
7	it to a regional meeting to be able to present their
8	comments orally and in front of a group, although, I mean,
9	be it on a teleconference, but everyone can hear and
10	participate in that fashion.
11	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. Thank you for
12	your comments.
13	Next can we hear from Laura Swett, please?
14	MS. SWETT: Yes. I was just wondering if
15	you could reiterate the new deadline for the public
16	comment period.
17	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Sure. It's Friday,
18	December 20 th .
19	MS. SWETT: Wonderful. Thank you.
20	FACILITATOR LOPAS: You're welcome. A
21	reminder to press Star 1 if you have a question.
22	Our next question will be Stephen Sondheim
23	again.
24	MR. SONDHEIM: Hi. It's Stephen again. I
25	want to reiterate what Mike Carberry was saying and
J	

1 emphasize, you know, about central locations where people are. 2 That I know there was a bit of a push and 3 pull between, for example, I think Tarrytown in New York 5 was picked because it's closer to Indian Point and there's a lot of people living in the Hudson River 6 communities and I understand that being close to them was 7 8 important. 9 At the same time, my God, there's millions of people in New York City who are and would be affected 10 by radiation from Indian Point. 11 12 And so I urge you all to in the future to consider the major population centers. It's just so 13 important. And that's the -- that's another reason. 14 15 And I still don't understand why you can't 16 at least do a teleconference, telephone conference. technology's there. We do it all the time, each of our 17 little groups do it all the time. And at the very least 18 19 it would allow people in the major population centers, you know, to chime in. 20 21 So please consider those. Thank you. 22 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. Thank you, Stephen. 23 All right. A reminder to press Star 1 if 24 25 you have a question. Right now we don't have anybody in

1 the queue so we will close out the call shortly if we don't 2 have anymore questions. So press Star 1 if you would 3 like to ask a question about the rescheduled meetings or the extended comment period. 5 Next can we hear from Tom Rielly, then. Good afternoon. MR. RIELLY: Yes. 6 7 is Tom Rielly at Vista 360 in Chicago. 8 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Hi, Tom. 9 MR. RIELLY: Hey. Regarding the Friday, December 20th, public comment period ending. One, could 10 you speak to the issue of how these public comment windows 11 12 are set? And, secondly, due to the depth, volume, 13 scope, and complexity of the material that the public 14 15 would comment on, in this case there's a team of roughly I guess 40 people at the NRC putting documents together 16 17 regarding this GEIS and the related scope. Could the meeting, could the public comment 18 19 meeting be extended or is that prohibited by some rule 20 or law? Thank you. MS. SAFFORD: Thanks, Tom. This 21 There is no prohibition on extending the public 22 Carrie. comment period any further. 23 Right now what we're trying to do is 24 accommodate a reasonable period of time. It will also 25

1 accommodate our public meeting schedule and traveling to 2 the regions. You had asked about the timeframe. I think 3 with the extension it takes us to about 98 days, which 5 is not unusual for this type of proceeding at the NRC. And when I say this type, I mean high-public interest and 6 7 high-public participation. 8 I'm sorry, did you have -- was there other 9 points or did I just answer your question? I think you adequately 10 MR. RIELLY: No. 11 addressed it. Thank you. 12 MS. SAFFORD: Okay. Thank you. FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. 13 Next can we hear from Erica Gray again? 14 MS. GRAY: Yes, hello? 15 FACILITATOR LOPAS: Hi, Erica. 16 17 MS. GRAY: Yes. It's Erica Gray again. Well, what was just mentioned about, you know, public 18 19 timeframes on commenting and whatnot. I mean, I got a copy in Maryland, the headquarters of the GEIS, and the 20 thing just sat. 21 I mean, it was published in September and 22 comments started a month later. So, I mean, I am a little 23 frustrated on the timeframe. I really believe the 24 public needs more time. 25

But I also do believe that the public at large does not know and is unaware of what's going on. So the transparency issue I think should be brought forward here.

I mean, I don't understand why the NRC

I mean, I don't understand why the NRC cannot, number one, it should be put in the newspapers or maybe even on the local news. Because this is an important issue that's going to affect everybody everywhere.

So I think that if we really want to be transparent I want to know why the NRC cannot put something in the newspaper at least a few weeks prior to the end of whatever the comment period ends up being. I mean, December 20th, right before Christmas, I mean, like I said, most the people I talk to don't even know what I'm talking about.

So really there should be some sort of, you know, information put out in local newspapers or on the local news. Thank you.

FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay, Erica. Thank you for that comment. And I will note that we do issue press releases that are typically picked up by papers, you know, across the country. So thank you for that. Next can we hear from Lou Zeller, please? Hi, Lou.

MR. ZELLER: Thank you. Yes, this is Lou

NEAL R. GROSS

1 Zeller again. I have a question --FACILITATOR LOPAS: Lou, hang on. speak up because your phone's a little --3 MR. ZELLER: Hello? 5 FACILITATOR LOPAS: We're having a hard time hearing you. Can you try again? Your reception 6 7 sounds not so good. 8 MR. ZELLER: All right. Is it any better? 9 FACILITATOR LOPAS: No. But give it a shot and we'll see if we can decipher what it is you're saying. 10 It's very fuzzy on this end, but go ahead. 11 12 MR. ZELLER: All right. Thank you. have a question if the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is 13 aware of fracking on nuclear reported sites and the 14 15 potential impact on seismic activity at the reactor sites which is a really big issue right now in North Carolina. 16 And I just wondered if anybody in the room 17 is familiar with any awareness about this news which I 18 19 just learned about in the last little while. Ms. Safford: I'm sorry, Lou. We couldn't 20 get any of that. There's a lot of static on the line. 21 We did have it earlier but maybe there's a problem with 22 your connection. And, I apologize, no one here can 23 decipher the question. 24

NEAL R. GROSS

If it doesn't improve, I mean, maybe if we

1 can have the operator disconnect him and reconnect Lou. 2 And if that doesn't improve then he can get in touch with 3 us. MS. ZELLER: Any better? 5 FACILITATOR LOPAS: No. 6 MR. ZELLER: Hello? FACILITATOR LOPAS: No. Are you on a cell 7 8 phone, Lou? 9 All right. Okay. Well, we might have to -- Lou, you might have to, if you can hear me I know you 10 were going to call or e-mail me, so maybe we can take up 11 12 whatever you were just going to ask there but we could not hear it. 13 So if anybody else has a question please 14 press Star 1 on your phone. I'll give it a couple more 15 Oh, here we go. 16 seconds. 17 All right. Can we hear from Myla Reson, please? 18 19 MS. RESON: Yes. Hi. This is Myla. I'm just really interested in what Lou had to say. I 20 21 think he was asking about fracking and the impact, if I heard him correctly through all that static, about 22 fracking and the impact that might have on seismic 23 activity around the country at these nuclear power 24 25 plants.

32 But I thought if I could just call in and ask a question, that may give Lou enough time to reconnect with a better line and let us all hear what he asked because I'm actually not sure if that was what he was asking about. Thanks. Thanks, Myla. It's Carrie MS. SAFFORD: Safford.

That's a great question. For today's call, though, we're really limiting it to just the revised schedule and the extension of the public comment period. That would be a great question for the -- for submittal in the public comment period.

I don't know if either you or Lou have plans to come to one of the public meetings, the regional meetings, as well, but you can talk with staff during the open house portion of those meetings.

Well, then let me ask another MS. RESON: question. I'd just like to reiterate what Mike Carberry said.

I'm just pretty unhappy about reasoning in terms of limiting the meetings to places where that are often quite inaccessible to large metropolitan areas that where people who are impacted might better be able to attend. So I just want to chime in on that and reinforce what was said.

When I listened to your reasoning on it,

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

frankly, it just it's pretty thin beer. It just I think that it's a rationale that just doesn't wash. And if you were to look at places in Chicago that are -- and I'm in Southern California, by the way -- but accessible in Chicago by public transportation, I can't imagine that you wouldn't be able to find venues during that same time period. Thanks.

MS. SAFFORD: Thanks, Myla

FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. Audra, can we go to Stephen Sondheim again?

MR. SONDHEIM: Hi.

FACILITATOR LOPAS: Hi, Stephen.

MR. SONDHEIM: It's Stephen. Stephen Sondheim. I'm sorry, but I thought of something else which is different. And that is the comments, when you all respond to them, are you going to respond to them generally or are -- and/or are you going to respond to the individual who made the comment?

And part of the reasoning is sometimes it would -- it might be more pointed to respond to the individual as well. It might even create some dialog. Will there be a chance for dialog I guess is my question?

In other words, are you just going comment and that's it or if something's brought up that's, you know, to question and you give an answer, will there be

NEAL R. GROSS

a chance to discuss that answer?

Thank you.

FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay, Stephen. So you're asking kind of how the NRC is going to be responding to comments and kind of what that format is going -- what that's going to look like, what the format of our response to comments, right?

MR. SONDHEIM: Yes.

MS. SAFFORD: Okay. This is Carrie. And, in general, when the comments will all come in and we here at the NRC look through all the comments. We try to pull out common threads. I'm not sure if you're familiar with our environmental scoping report that was issued earlier in March.

We followed a very similar, if not the identical process. But we'll categorize the comments so we get similar comments together in groups so we can respond to them together in one location. And that will be appended to our final documents when that is issued.

So we do not respond to individual comments back to the writer, but we do respond to them and provide an explanation of our response in the final documents. Every document that comes in is input into ADAMS and you can track your comment with the appropriate response in the final document.

1 MR. SONDHEIM: Okay. So there'll be no 2 chance for dialog, then. Let's say your comments miss 3 the point or don't cover it all or are just not on target 5 MS. SAFFORD: Not in a written -- yes, not in a written format. If you have an opportunity to come 6 7 to one of the public meetings and attend one of the open houses and have a chance to talk with one of the NRC staff, 8 9 that is a way to ask some questions. But the actual public comment period at each 10 of those meetings will be for receipt of comment only and 11 12 not for dialog or Q and A. MR. SONDHEIM: Oh, no, I understand. 13 what I'm asking is after you respond to the comments is 14 15 there a chance to dialog either in writing or --MS. SAFFORD: No. 16 17 MR. SONDHEIM: -- you know, often points made in EISs, you know, in any kind of EIS, aren't on 18 19 target, they miss the point or there's other information. How would be, as the public, be able to respond to that 20 or do we have to take that on ourselves and do it in a 21 public forum or media forum? 22 MS. SAFFORD: There is no opportunity to 23 have a dialog back and forth on the written submitted 24

comments or on any of the comments submitted during the

1	public comment period.
2	MR. SONDHEIM: Okay. Thank you.
3	MS. SAFFORD: Yep.
4	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Okay. Press Star 1 if
5	you have a question about the comment period, the
6	extension of the comment period to December 20 th , or any
7	of the rescheduled upcoming public meetings.
8	It is now 2:14 and if nobody else has any
9	other questions oh, here we go. Here is Marv Lewis.
10	Can we hear from Marv, please?
11	MR. LEWIS: I might as well get my two cents
12	in. Look
13	FACILITATOR LOPAS: Of course, Marv. Come
14	on in.
15	MR. LEWIS: All right. So I appreciate it.
16	You're doing a damn good job. I appreciate it. And I
17	appreciate the stuff you sent me and everything.
18	Look, back in I guess the 70s what the NRC
19	tried and I loved and the other agencies tried and did
20	was they took comments on an 800 number and typed it in
21	themselves. And it was really great and was really easy.
22	And, hell, it didn't even cost electricity from me.
23	But I was wondering if you're ever really
24	thinking of going back to that?
25	MS. SAFFORD: Hey, Marv, it's Carrie

Safford. That is similar to the intent of the December
9^{th} meeting. The December 9^{th} meeting is going to be a
facilitated teleconference just like what we're
participating in right now and it will be an opportunity
for folks to call in and speak their comments. And those
will be transcribed and they will be entered as part of
the record as a comment received.
So, very similar. And it will be transcribed
ultimately when the transcriptionist, the court
reporter, issues the document.
MR. LEWIS: December 9 th meeting, I don't
have my schedule up. But no, I'm sure that Sarah will
send me information or if she hasn't already. And thank
you very much.
It's just a suggestion to keep in mind
anyway whether to present them on time or not. Thank
you. Bye.
FACILITATOR LOPAS: Thanks, Marv. Next
can we hear from Tom Rielly again?
MR. RIELLY: Yes. Good afternoon. Tom
Rielly, Vista 360 in Chicago.
See, I'm just following up on my original
inquiry and your response and then, if you will, request
for comment on the public comment period.

Why not extend this to, the public comment

Т	period, to January 20 , which is 30 days? And depending
2	on, if you will, the volume or the response from the
3	public in total, extend it yet again to January 31 st , which
4	would be about 40-some days versus the 98 that you sort
5	of outlined.
6	In this case it would give the public and/or
7	any other late arrivals or the rescheduled meetings the
8	opportunity to make comment and to effectively, you know,
9	give input to the record.
10	Is that possible and should we make that
11	request in writing?
12	MS. SAFFORD: I think as Sarah outlined
13	earlier at the beginning of the call, comments received
14	here on this teleconference are not formal comments on
15	the record in this proceeding.
16	If you would like to make that formal
17	request, I'd suggest that you do that in writing.
18	MR. RIELLY: Sure. Very well. Thank you
19	very much.
20	MS. SAFFORD: Thank you.
21	FACILITATOR LOPAS: All right. Thank you,
22	Tom.
23	Okay. Press Star 1 if you have a question
24	about the meeting or any of the upcoming meetings or the
25	comment period. If there aren't any other questions, we

39 1 will go ahead and close out this telecon. 2 All right. Last call to press Star 1. Okay. And with that I think we're going to 3 close this teleconference. I am going to send it back 5 to Carrie Safford. We will -- I will send out the transcript 6 7 of this telecon and the meeting summary within a couple weeks from W.C. Outreach e-mail. 8 9 So, Carrie? MS. SAFFORD: I just want to thank everybody 10 11 for calling in today. We received some -- we heard some 12 good comments and some good input and we do appreciate 13 that. We hope to see some of you at the regional 14 15

meetings and hear from you during the comment period. Thank you very much.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled proceeding was concluded at 2:18 p.m.)

16

17