

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 7, 2013

Mr. Thomas D. Gatlin Vice President, Nuclear Operations South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station Post Office Box 88, Mail Code 800 Jenkinsville, SC 29065

SUBJECT: VIRGIL C. SUMMER NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1 – ACCEPTANCE REVIEW CONCERNING LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST TO CHANGE THE COMPLETION DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION MILESTONE 8 (TAC NO. MF2899)(LAR-13-01414)

Dear Mr. Gatlin:

By letter dated October 3, 2013, the South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) submitted a license amendment request for Virgil C. Summer, Unit 1 (VCSNS). The proposed amendment would revise the scheduled completion date of the Cyber Security Plan Milestone 8. The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that irripact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

T. Gatlin

If you have any questions, please contact the Mr. Shawn Williams, (301) 415-1009.

Sincerely,

Shawn Williame

ſ

Shawn Williams, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch II-1 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-395

cc: Distribution via Listserv

T. Gatlin

If you have any questions, please contact the Mr. Shawn Williams, (301) 415-1009.

Sincerely, /RA/

Shawn Williams, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch II-1 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

\*via e-mail

Docket No. 50-395

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION: PUBLIC LPL2-1 R/F RidsAcrsAcnw\_MailCTR Resource RidsNrrDorlLpl2-1 Resource RidsNrrLASFigueroa Resource RidsNrrPMSummer Resource RidsRgn2MailCenter Resource NSIR\_CSD Resource MCoflin

## ADAMS Accession No.: ML13308C421

OFFICE	LPLII-1/PM	LPLII-1/LA	NSIR/CSD	LPLII-1/BC	LPLII-1/PM
NAME	SWilliams	SFigueroa	RFelts	RPascarelli	SWilliams
DATE	11/6/13	11/6/13	10/30/13	11/7/13	11/7/13

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**