REGULATORY ORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8302020004 DOC.DATE: 83/01/28 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Southern Californ 05000361

"AUTHOR AFFILIATION

BASKIN, K.P. Southern California Edison Co.

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

MILLER, W.O. License Fee Management Branch

SUBJECT: Forwards fees for Amends 9 & 10 to License NPF-10, changing Tech Specs re mod to turbine overspeed.

NOTES: J Hanchett 1cy PDR Documents. ELD Chandler 1cy.
NRR Scaletti 1cy.

05000361

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES	
	NRR LB3 BC	04	1	1	ROOD, H.	01	1	1
INTERNAL:	ADM/LFMB	06	1.	1	REG FILE	04	. 1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR NTIS	03 05	1	1 1	NRC PDR	02	1	1
NOTES:			3	3				



Southern California Edison Company

SCE

P. O. BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA 91770

K. P. BASKIN

MANAGER OF NUCLEAR ENGINEERING,
SAFETY, AND LICENSING

January 28, 1983

TELEPHONE (213) 572-1401

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. William O. Miller, Chief
License Fee Management Branch
Office of Administration
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject:

Docket No. 50-361 Amendments 9 and 10

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Unit 2

Reference:

NRC-SCE letter, from R. Diggs to R. Dietch,

dated December 10, 1982

The referenced letter discusses Assessment of Fees for SCE Technical Specifications changes.

Item 1 of the letter reevaluates the submittal of August 16, 1982 from a Class II fee to a Class III fee. We have reviewed this item and concur with the NRC evaluation.

Item 2 of the letter discusses proposed changes to the Technical Specifications submitted on September 30, 1982 with a following letter on October 21, 1982. This proposed change pertained to a modification of the Turbine Overspeed Technical Specification. This modification had already been incorporated in the San Onofre Unit 3 Technical Specifications prior to the SCE proposed change for Unit 2.

For this reason we conclude that the proposed change required no additional safety evaluation over that performed for Unit 3. We would find this to be a Class I change except that San Onofre Unit 3 was not licensed at that time. Therefore, we judge this to be a Class II change. Accordingly, from the following table we determine:

24-
Applicant Southern C. A. Edison Co
Check No. 046506
Amount (#4) 000
Date of Check
Date Check Rec'd 1.131183
Received By Augus

, Moog

8302020004 830128 PDR ADUCK 0500036:

<u>Item</u>	Submitted	Applicable	<u>Due</u>	
1	1200	4000	2800	
2	0	1200	1200	
			4000 Total Due	

A check for \$4,000 is enclosed.

If you have any questions regarding our position or require further clarification, please contact $\mbox{me}_{\raisebox{-.5ex}{\text{\circle*}}}$

Very truly yours,

WP Bushin

cc: H. Rood

January 31, 1982

NOTE TO: Jeff Bartlett, Document Control Desk (Room 016)

FROM: Reba Di

Reba Diggs, License Fee Management Branch, ADM.

SUBJECT:

PROCESSING LETTERS WITH CHECKS RECEIVED DIRECTLY BY THE

LICENSE FEE MANAGEMENT BRANCH

Please process the enclosed letter under the applicable docket and give the following distribution under code MOO8:

Original of ltr to Regulatory Docket File Action Cy w/check to W. O. Miller, LFMB (L-233) 3 cys to applicable Branch of DOR or DPM 1 to LPDR 1 to PDR

I am retaining the check and the following information is for your records.

Check No.: A-046506

Amount: \$4,000

Date: 1-26-83

Ltr. Date: 1-28-83

Applicant: Southern California Edison Company

Docket No: 50-361

Plant: San Onofre 2

Thanks!

License Fee Management Branch Office of Administration