DOC.DATE: 81/04/28 NOTARIZED: NO ACCESSION NBR:8105010391 FACIL:50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Southern Californ 650003618 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Southern Californ 05000362

DOCKET #

AUTH_NAME BASKIN, K.P. AUTHOR AFFILIATION

Sacramento Municipal Utility District

RECIP. NAME

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

TEDESCO, R.L.

Assistant Director for Licensing

SUBJECT: Responds to 810326 comments on suppl to DES, NUREG=0490. Detailed response encl. Comments compiled from federal agencies, joint intervenors & others.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: COO2S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 3 ENCL 3 SIZE: 3 TITLE: Environ. Comments.

NOTES: Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler. .. 1 cy:J Hanchett (Region V).D Scaletti,1 cy of all envir info 05000361

Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler.

05000362

1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V).D Scaletti,1 cy of all envir info

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL	
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC		7	7	ROOD, H.	05	1	1
INTERNAL:	ACCIDNT EVAL	BR	1	1	EF TREAT SYS	BR	1	1
	ENV ENG BR	09	1	1	HYD/GEO BR		1	1
	HYDRO-GEO BR		1	1	I&E	07	2	2
	NRC PDR	0.2	1	1	OELD		1	0
	RAD ASSESSMT	BR	ī	1	SEG FILE	01	1	1
		10	1	1	UTIL FINANCE	BR	1	1
EXTERNAL:	ACRS		1	0	LPDR	03	1	1
	NATL LAB	20	5	5	NSIC	04	1	1

MAY 0 4 1981

ENV4



Southern California Edison Company



P. O. BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD. CALIFORNIA 91770

K. P. BASKIN

MANAGER OF NUCLEAR ENGINEERING, SAFETY, AND LICENSING

April 28, 1981

TELEPHONE (213) 572-1401

Director, Division of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Robert L. Tedesco, Assistant Director

for Licensing

Division of Licensing

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Units 2 and 3



This letter is in response to your letter dated March 26, 1981 to Mr. Robert Dietch of our Company and Mr. D. W. Gilman of San Diego Gas & Electric Company. Your letter enclosed comments for our review from joint intervenors to the San Onofre Project, Federal agencies and others regarding the supplement to the Draft Environmental Statement, NUREG-0490.

Our review of the comments is complete and our reply consists of three signed originals and 40 copies for your use. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Very truly yours,

WP Bushin

Coox 13

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

ON THE SUPPLEMENT TO

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

RELATED TO THE OPERATION OF

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

NUREG 0490

DOCKET NOS. 50-361 AND 50-362

PREPARED BY THE
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

The Supplement to Draft Environmental Statement (DES) in this proceeding was issued in January, 1981 and circulated for comment. Comments were submitted to the NRC by various governmental entities as well as certain intervenors in the licensing proceeding and other interested commentors.

Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("Applicants"), pursuant to letter of March 26, 1981, from Robert L. Tedesco to Applicants, hereby submit their response to the comments of the Consolidated intervenors. Applicants have not attempted to address individual comments of the intervenors which contain factual errors too numerous to mention. However, it is worthy of note that the stated conclusion of Consolidated Intervenors comments is pased on "the unique earthquake hazard which could cause a coremelt accident and common-cause failure of essential safety systems at SONGS 2 and 3." There is no such "unique earthquake hazard" in that the structures, systems and equipment important to safety have been designed to withstand the effects of a large, nearby earthquake, postulation of which has been shown to be conservative by investigations, by analyses and evaluations conducted over the past approximately ten years. conservatism of the seismic design basis has been borne out by review by the NRC Staff and the ACRS and their consultants including prominent independent geologists and seismologists as well as the U.S. Geological Survey. Review by the NRC Staff and the ACRS included consideration of input from the California Division of Mines and Geology as well as information provided by Consolidated Intervenors.