4/22/81

DISTRIBUTION: ¿ Docket File 50-361/362 ; LPDR PDR NSIC TERA LB#3 Files HDenton ECase DGEisenhut RTedesco FMiraglia HRood JLee (w/cy of incoming) SCavanaugh (NRR-81-153) EHughes (w/cy of incoming) LBerry PPAS SHanauer RMattson **TMurley** BSnyder RVollmer

<u>____</u>



2 ANN AN

Ũ

Mr. Kenneth Fowler, President Costa Mesa Chamber of Commerce 2960 Harbor Boulevard, Suite D Costa Mesa, California 92626

Dear Mr. Fowler:

The March 19, 1981 resolution of the Costa Mesa Chamber of Commerce supporting the licensing of the San Onofre 2 and 3 nuclear power plants has been referred to me for reply. I am pleased to provide the following information regarding nuclear power plant licensing in general, and licensing of San Onofre 2 and 3 in particular.

Since the TMI-2 accident, a significant amount of the NRC resources have been concentrated on identifying the lessons to be learned from that accident and the associated requirements that are necessary and sufficient for the continued operation of licensed facilities and for the issuance of new operating licenses. That effort culminated with the issuance of the NRC's TMI Action Plan, approved in June 1980.

The development of that document and the NRC's increased attention to the safety in the 70 operating reactors took so much of our attention and our resources that we were unable to license new plants for a year after the accident. Following the issuance of the Action Plan, new operating licenses were issued to Sequoyah and North Anna units late last summer and to Farley, Unit 2 in March of this year. In addition, a low power test authorization was issued to Salem Unit 2. We anticipate Salem 2 going to full power in the near future.

Currently, the overall picture is one of a licensing process that is returning to predictability at a considerably enhanced level of safety. However, the implementation of this enhanced level of safety has raised a number of potential new issues in the contested hearings for both operating licenses and construction permits around the country. Some of these units were substantially complete at the time of the Three Mile Island accident or have been completed since then. Thus, we do face a situation in which, for the first time, our hearings are or will be continuing for a significant number of plants that will be complete and ready to operate before the hearings conclude.

This situation is an indirect consequence of the TMI accident, which required a re-examination of the entire regulatory structure. We are not satisfied with the present situation and we are working to find ways to accelerate the hearings on these plants whose continued idleness prevents a substantial investment from benefiting either the consumers or the operating utilities.



P.

To that end, major improvements in the licensing process are underway or being considered. These improvements include:

- -- Expedited and rescheduled review by the NRC staff for plants in the short term category--those presently complete and those to be completed in 1981 and 1982.
- -- Increased efficiency of the hearing process and subsequent Commission and Appeals Board review. The time now being taken between issuance of the supplemental staff evaluation report and initial decisions by licensing boards averages 18 months. The NRC believes it can compress that time to about 10 months by tightening up the times allowed for each part of the prehearing process and by providing firmer time management of the whole process. The Commission is publishing for public comment proposed changes to its rules which would accomplish this.
- -- Changes in the review process the Commission itself exercises over these cases. The Commission is considering two alternatives to shorten this review period which could save at least two months in each case that has been in hearing.
- -- Early completion of NRC staff review for plants to be completed in 1983 and beyond. This will require better scheduling of reviews and increased staff resources applied to casework. Some staff resources can be redirected by deferring lower priority work and shifting some work to other NRC offices. Before making such a change, the Commission will carefully review the impact on other essential safety-related activities.

One further step to be considered is legislation to authorize the Commission to issue limited, interim operating licenses before completion of hearings where all applicable safety requirements have been met.

In summary, we are confident the actions we have taken and those we will take will provide major improvements in licensing schedules without compromising the regulatory requirements for safety.

With regard to licensing of the San Onofre Units 2 and 3, the staff has completed the major part of its review. Staff safety evaluations were issued on December 31, 1980, February 6, 1981 and February 25, 1981. The review of the San Onofre Units 2 and 3 by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards was completed on March 12, 1981. A supplement to the Safety Evaluation Report addressing issues identified by the ACRS and the remaining outstanding issues is in preparation and is scheduled to be issued in early May. We anticipate the hearings on this project could commence in July.



EX

We appreciate your interest in the San Onofre project. Please be assured that the NRC is taking every reasonable action to expedite the licensing process, consistent with our commitment to ensure the public health and safety.

Sincerely

signed (An) Darrel isenhue

Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director Division of Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION: SEE NEXT PAGE.

NRC FORM	318 (10/80) NRCM	0240	OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☆ USGPO: 1980-329-824
	4/14/81	4////81	4/1//81	4 6 - /81			
SURNAME			_	Ducustinut			
	HRood:ib 😽	FJM i nagil ara	RLTedesco	DGEtsenhut			****
OFFICE	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3					
	D		DI 6471		r ************************************		12

10 Kewo

PLEASE REVIEW THE DUE DATE IMMEDIATELY

If the due date does not allow adequate time to respond to this ticket, you may request a revised due date. The request must include a valid justification, must accompany the next WITS update and be submitted through your correspondence coordinator.

The revised due date, if approved by PPAS, will be used to track division correspondence completion schedules.

FROM:	DATE OF DOCUMENT	DATE RECEIVED	NO.:
Nathan L. Reade	3/19/81	4/1/81	NRR-81-153
	LTR. MEMO:	REPORT:	OTHER:
s	•	·	\mathbf{X}^{*}
TO: 1	ORIG.: CC:	OTHER:	
H. Benton			DATE ANSWERED:
			BY: *
CLASSIF.: POST OFFICE	FILE CODE:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
REG. NO.:			
DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)	REFERRED TO	DATE RE	CEIVED BY DATE
submits resolution supporting plant		cc: C	ise
licensing passed at regular monthly	Eisenhut		enton
meeting. Cites overdependence on fo	eign		PPAS
ENCLOSURES: Oil & middle ease instability	ledes 0,00		
	Mugalia 1000	t 4 5 5	·
*for approp. action	(J	6.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
REMARKS: PLICA:SE PLACE SUSAN CAVANAUGH ON			
DISTRIBUTION FOR ANY REPLY AND			
RETURN ORIGINAL YELLOW TICKET	PREPARE FOR THE SI	I GNATOKE OU. S	
WITH RESPONSE	DIRECTOR, NRR	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	DIVISION DIRECTOR O	R DESIGNEE	FORM NRC-326S

MAIL CONTROL FORM

FROM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE OF DOCUMENT	DATE RECEIVED		NO.:	•
Nathan L. Reade		3/19/81	4/1/81		NRR-81-1	53
		LTR. MEMO:	REP	ORT:	OTHER:	
то: .		ORIG.: CC:	ОТН	IER:		•
H. Bonton		ACTION NECESSARY			DATE ANSWERED:	· · ·
CLASSIF.	POST OFFICE REG. NO.:	FILE CODE:			•	
DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)		REFERRED TO	DATE	RE	CEIVED BY	DATE
	on supporting plant		4/1	cc: Ca		· -
licensing passed at regular monthly meeting. Cites overdependence on f			~~/ A	Denton 1. PPAS		
enclosures: 011 8 Biddle Case	e instability			2.	Hanauer Ross	
		1 	الار يو الا ا	4.	Murley Snyder	,
for approp. action	Ω			б.	Vollaer	
	••••••					
REMARKS:						
, • •						•
					·	
	U. S	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	MAIL	CONTROL FO	FOR	I IM NRC-3265 (6-76)

FROM:	DATE OF DOCUMENT	DATE RECEIVED	NO.
Nuthan L. Reade	3/19/81	4/1/81	NRR-81-153
	LTR. MEMO:	REPORT:	OTHER:
TO: 1	ORIG.: CC:	OTHER:	•
.H. Bonton		CONCURRENCE [DATE ANSWERED:
CLASSIF.: POST OFFICE REG. NO.:	FILE CODE:		
DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)	REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY DATE
subsits resolution supporting plant		ce:	Case
了某些人们也有时的 网络哈伦丽尔 化中 神经探索了可知 地名纳尔托莱格			
licensing passed at regular conthly meeting. Cites overdependence on for	- Lisonhut Clign		Denton 1. PPAS
moeting. Cites overdependence on for			Denton 1. PPAS 2. Hanauer
moeting. Cites ovordependence on for ENCLOSURES:			Denton 1. PPAS 2. Hanauer 3. Ross 4. Murley
moeting. Cites ovordependence on for ENCLOSURES:			Denton <u>1. PPAS</u> 2. Hansuor <u>3. Ross</u>
moeting. Cites ovordependence on for enclosures: 011 & middle case instability			Denton 1. PPAS 2. Hansuor 3. Ross 4. Murley 5. Snyder 6. Vollaer
moeting. Cites ovordependence on for enclosures: 011 & middle case instability			Denton 1. PPAS 2. Hansuor 3. Ross 4. Murley 5. Snyder 6. Vollaer

2. - 1. A. A.

Sala and

114 200

The second

「日本の

The second second

Numero -