

January 18, 1980

Docket Nos. 50-361 OL  
50-362 OL

Richard J. Wharton, Esq.  
Wharton and Pogalies  
2667 Camino Del Rio South  
Suite 106  
San Diego, CA 92108

Dear Mr. Wharton:

This is in reply to your letter of December 20, 1979, requesting that future meetings between the Applicants and Staff regarding the seismic design of San Onofre Units 2 and 3, be held in California so that Intervenor may attend, and further requesting that a meeting between Intervenor and the Staff to discuss seismic design and "evacuation requirements" be arranged.

I have discussed your requests with the appropriate Staff members and have been advised that they are generally agreeable to both. With respect to the meeting between Applicants and Staff, the meeting will not take place during the week of January 14th as initially thought, but, rather, will likely occur at the end of February or in early March. This timeframe is based on Applicants submittal of responses to the Staff's latest questions on seismology, on or about February 15, 1980; a delay in that submittal would likely delay the meeting further. While the Staff is willing to conduct this meeting in California, its final decision must await a determination of the date and the manpower availability for that date, taking into consideration the need for travel time and other Staff commitments. Assuming that these factors are resolved favorably, the meeting would likely be held somewhere in Southern California.

The Staff is also generally agreeable to meeting with Intervenor FOE et al. to discuss seismic matters and emergency planning. We would, of course, like to schedule this meeting to coincide with the Staff's meeting with Applicants on seismic matters to minimize the time away from the office involved. In regard to seismic matters, the Staff considers it imperative that, for such meeting to have a mutual benefit, Intervenor FOE et al. have a person qualified by education and experience on this subject attend on its behalf; please let me know who you will have attend. As with meetings between the Staff and Applicants, any meeting with Intervenor FOE, et al. will be open to all interested persons, including the Applicants, who may attend and observe.

OFFICE >					
SURNAME >				8002050165	
DATE >					

Docket Nos. 50-361 OL  
50-362 OL

Richard J. Wharton, Esq.  
Wharton and Pogalies  
2667 Camino Del Rio South  
Suite 106  
San Diego, CA 92108

Dear Mr. Wharton:

This is in reply to your letter of December 20, 1979, requesting that future meetings between the Applicants and Staff regarding the seismic design of San Onofre Units 2 and 3, be held in California so that Intervenor may attend, and further requesting that a meeting between Intervenor and the Staff to discuss seismic design and "evacuation requirements" be arranged.

I have discussed your requests with the appropriate Staff members and have been advised that they are generally agreeable to both. With respect to the meeting between Applicants and Staff, the meeting will not take place during the week of January 14th as initially thought, but, rather, will likely occur at the end of February or in early March. This timeframe is based on Applicants submittal of responses to the Staff's latest questions on seismology, ~~within the period of February 15, 1980;~~ a delay in that submittal would likely delay the meeting further. While the Staff is willing to conduct this meeting in California, its final decision must await a determination of the date and the manpower availability for that date, taking into consideration the need for travel time and other Staff commitments. Assuming that these factors are resolved favorably, the meeting would likely be held somewhere in Southern California.

*on or about*

The Staff is also generally agreeable to meeting with Intervenor FOE et al. to discuss seismic matters and emergency planning. We would, of course, like to schedule this meeting to coincide with the Staff's meeting with Applicants on seismic matters to minimize the time away from the office involved. In regard to seismic matters, the Staff considers it imperative that, for such meeting to have a mutual benefit, Intervenor FOE et al. have a person qualified by education and experience on this subject attend on its behalf; please let me know who you will have attend. Also, you should realize that the meeting with Intervenor FOE, et al. will be open to attendance <sup>of</sup> to all interested parties, x including the Applicants, ~~who may~~ *may attend and observe.* *As with meeting between Applicants and Intervenor persons*

OFFICE >					
SURNAME >					
DATE >					

Docket Nos. 50-361 OL  
50-362 OL

Richard J. Wharton, Esq.  
Wharton and Pogalies  
2667 Camino Del Rio South  
Suite 106  
San Diego, CA 92108

Dear Mr. Wharton:

This is in reply to your letter of December 20, 1979, requesting that future meetings between the Applicants and Staff regarding the seismic design of San Onofre Units 2 and 3, be held in California so that Intervenors may attend, and further requesting that a meeting between Intervenors and the Staff to discuss seismic design and "evacuation requirements" be arranged.

I have discussed your requests with the appropriate Staff members and have been advised that they are generally agreeable to both. With respect to the meeting between Applicants and Staff, the meeting will not take place during the week of January 14th as initially thought, but, rather, will likely occur at the end of February or in early March. This timeframe is based on Applicants submittal of responses to the Staff's latest questions on seismology, within the period of February 8-15, 1980; a delay in that submittal would likely delay the meeting further. While the Staff is willing to conduct this meeting in California, its final decision must await a determination of the date and the manpower availability for that date, taking into consideration the need for travel time and other Staff commitments. Assuming that these factors are resolved favorably, the meeting would likely be held somewhere in Southern California.

The Staff is also generally agreeable to meeting with Intervenors FOE et al. to discuss seismic matters and emergency planning. We would, of course, like to schedule this meeting to coincide with the Staff's meeting with Applicants on seismic matters to minimize the time away from the office involved. In regard to seismic matters, the Staff considers it imperative that, for such meeting to have a mutual benefit, Intervenors FOE et al. have a person qualified by education and experience on this subject attend on its behalf; please let me know who you will have attend. *Also, you should realize that the meeting with Intervenors FOE, et al. will be open*

OFFICE >	<i>to attendance to all interested parties, including</i>				
SURNAME >	<i>the Applicants.</i>				
DATE >					

When the date, time and place for the Staff's meeting with the Applicants has been set, I will notify you so that you can make appropriate arrangements and we can schedule a meeting between Intervenor FOE et al. and the Staff.

Sincerely,

Lawrence J. Chandler  
Counsel for NRC Staff

DISTRIBUTION:  
L.Chandler  
H.McGurren  
S.Treby  
HKS/TFE/ESC  
H.Rood  
147-Phillips  
R.Baer  
147-Phillips  
DScaletti, P-522  
DSells, P-522  
~~HXSMMH~~  
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~  
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~  
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~  
D&SS  
ELD FF (2)  
Chron.

*Subject to change  
not marked on  
prev. page of yellow*

RSLB  
DKunihiro  
1/8/80

OFFICE	OELD	OELD	LWR-2	LWR-2	DE	RSDB
SURNAME	LJChandler:as	SATreby	HRood	RBaer	RJackson	FPagano
DATE	01/4/79	01/4/79	01/7/79	01/7/79	01/7/79	01/11/80