

## WCRM-GEIS1CEm Resource

---

**From:** Sierra Club [information@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Bradley Roon [bradroon@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 22, 2013 9:56 PM  
**To:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** Protect our communities from radioactive waste!

Sep 22, 2013

Allison McFarlane

Dear McFarlane,

The idiots building nuke power plants are too stupid to know how to safely store or eliminate the deadly, toxic, inevitable by-products of their stupid process.

Why do i call it stupid? Because all that toxicity, all that technical woo-woo is there for one simple purpose: TO BOIL WATER.

THAT is how a nuclear, or coal fired power plant works. It's just a big teapot with a tube catching the steam. There is no safe nuclear power, and i resent the pyramid scheme forcing citizenry to pay for power to a handful of wealthy influential people as these owners ALSO steal tax money from us for their federal subsidization. Stop that shyt. Now.

I am writing you because I am concerned about how we store our most hazardous waste, the radioactive fuel rods from nuclear reactors. We should not license or re-license any reactor until it has been proven that we can successfully isolate this waste.

While we are working on the solution, I am also concerned that many of our nuclear reactors have over-crowded fuel pools on site. These present safety threats to the communities and industries that surround the plants. The NRC should take immediate action to reduce the number of fuel assemblies in the water-filled pools. Before transferring the fuel rods to cask storage, the cask storage needs to be examined and reinforced to be able to safely store the fuel rods particularly those that qualify as high burn up fuel. Hardened on-site storage of the casks should become the choice for storage.

I am also concerned about the sustainability of nuclear power. The EIS needs to evaluate the true costs of nuclear power after the subsidies are stripped away. The long-term costs of decommissioning need to be considered as well. The ongoing costs to US taxpayers should be transparent in this EIS.

Finally, the EIS should consider the option of not making any n uclear waste at all in the future by comparing the environmental footprint of nuclear from mining to long term waste disposal to that of renewables and energy efficiency.

Sincerely,

Bradley Roon  
12012 Elk Mountain Rd  
Upper Lake, CA 95485-9244

**Federal Register Notice:** 78FR56775  
**Comment Number:** 6113

**Mail Envelope Properties** (6806017.1379901336328.JavaMail.www)

**Subject:** Protect our communities from radioactive waste!  
**Sent Date:** 9/22/2013 9:55:36 PM  
**Received Date:** 9/23/2013 6:26:26 AM  
**From:** Sierra Club

**Created By:** information@sierraclub.org

**Recipients:**  
"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** app230

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2193	9/23/2013 6:26:26 AM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**