

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8107090208 DOC. DATE: 81/07/07 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Southern Californ 05000361  
 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Southern Californ 05000362  
 AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 MEISS, B.: Affiliation Unknown  
 HARMAN, J.: Affiliation Unknown  
 RECIPIENT NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 NRC - No Detailed Affiliation Given

SUBJECT: Package of two ltrs opposing licensing of facilities.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2  
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler. 05000361  
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V). D Scaletti, 1 cy of all environ info  
 Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler. 05000362  
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V). D Scaletti, 1 cy of all environ info


ACTION:	RECIPIENT	COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME	LTR	ENCL
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC	1	1	LIC BR #3 LA	1	1
	ROOD, H.	1	1			
INTERNAL:	ASLAP	5	5	ASLB	1	1
	I&E	2	2	NRC PDR	1	1
	OELD, BLANTON	1	1	OGC	1	1
	PUBLIC AFFAIRS	1	1	<u>REG FILE</u>	1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	1	NSIC	1	1
	NTIS	1	1			

JUL 13 1987


TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR <sup>20</sup>/~~19~~ ENCL <sup>0</sup>/~~19~~

SF

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C., 20555



July 1, 1981



Dear Sirs:

I am a resident and registered voter of Santa Ana, California and although I am unable to attend hearings concerning the licensing of Units 2 and 3 at San Onofre, Cal. I would like to voice my opposition to it.

First of all, it seems to me that we don't even need them right now. According to the California Energy Commission we will have an electricity surplus this year.

Secondly, the operators of Unit 1 have shown a disregard for the safety of the surrounding communities and the environment. They store radioactive waste on site in non-earthquake resistant "storage ponds". Leaking tubes have not been properly fixed, posing a further threat of radioactive water leaks in the future-- leaks which in addition to being dangerous would have to be repaired at great expense to the utility customers. Leaking radiation has already contaminated 360 cubic yards of sand below Unit 1, next to a state beach. There is no reason to believe these people would handle Units 2 and 3 any more responsibly.

And then there's the earthquake hazard. Earthquakes are something we all live with here-- we have no choice in the matter. But the possibility of a nuclear holocaust generated by a melt-down at San Onofre is not something we have to live with.

As a geology major in college, one of the most impressive things I saw on many field trips was the way seemingly hard, impenetrable rock, while giving an impression of security and solidness could, on a larger scale, be bent and folded like a sheet. One only has to view some of the road cuts through our local mountains to be truly awed at the power that created these formations. Three feet of concrete and steel is nothing in comparison to hundreds of feet of rock. Yet somehow we get a false sense of security when we compare the structure of a

DSO<sup>3</sup>  
5  
1/0

facility like San Onofre to our spindly matchbox homes. What is amazing is not how strong we can build something but how little it can take to destroy it -- by either man or nature.

The recent Israeli strike against an Iraqi nuclear reactor is a case in point. They didn't need a nuclear warhead or tons and tons of bombs. One small raid with conventional weapons did it-- luckily for the Iraqis it was not radioactive yet.

We don't need this kind of target for terrorists sitting right on our coast in the middle of a populated area.


I would like to raise my children here as my parents and grandparents did. We've always been willing to put up with the earthquake danger much as midwesterners put up with the blizzards and tornadoes in their part of the country. But I don't want to raise my kids next to the largest nuclear plant in the country!

Unit 1 is bad enough but adding two more is the straw that broke the camel's back as far as I'm concerned. I urge you to refuse to license Units 2 and 3 of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Plant. I would rather risk increased utility bills or occasional blackouts than this nuclear sword of Damocles.

Sincerely,



Mrs. Beverley Meiss  
1333 S. Ross st.  
Santa Ana, California 92707



To U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

My husband, my children, my friends & myself are totally opposed and very very frightened of the possible licensing of Units 2 and 3 at San Onofre. Please stop this terrible and real danger to the lives of our children & ourselves! We don't need any more Nuclear Power Plants or its horrible wastes!!

Thank you  
Sincerely,  
J. & E. Harmon

DS03  
5  
1/0