

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8106260295 DOC. DATE: 81/06/15 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Southern California 05000361  
 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Southern California 05000362  
 AUTH. NAME: LZAKOWSKI, G. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Affiliation Unknown  
 RECIP. NAME: Office of the Secretary of the Commission

SUBJECT: Comments on proposed rule 10CFR2 re amends to NRC licensing regulations. Opposes rule. Facilities are unsafe.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 1  
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler. 05000361  
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V), D Scaletti, 1 cy of all environ info  
 Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler. 05000362  
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V), D Scaletti, 1 cy of all environ info

ACTION:	RECIPIENT	COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTR	ENCL		ID CODE/NAME	LTR
	LIC BR #3 BC ROOD, H.	1	1	LIC BR #3 LA	1	1
INTERNAL:	ASLAP	5	5	ASLB	1	1
	I&E	2	2	NRC PDR	1	1
	OELD, BLANTON	1	1	DGC	1	1
	PUBLIC AFFAIRS	1	1	REG. FILE	1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	1	NSIC	1	1

JUN 29 1987

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 19 ENCL 9  
 18 9  
 LL



DOCKET NUMBER 50-361,362  
PROG. & UTIL. PLAN  
DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE PR-2 (6)  
Oceanside, Ca. (46FR 30349)  
June 15, 1981

Secretary of the Commission  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: amendments to NRC licensing regulations (Ca. San Onofre plants)

Sirs:

The NRC has asked that the public send comments on the amendments effective June 8th.

If floods of letters were to appear from the public, what purpose would be served? Would the decision of the commission be influenced?

Whom can we trust with our lives, our futures, our well-being? This is a democratic form of government. The NRC has been created to do a job. They are assigned the responsibility to license nuclear plants only if the known safety requirements are complied with. A grave burden.

Since construction of Units 2 and 3, reams of material are at the disposal of the commission to facilitate their decision-making process-new findings, new studies, new hazards. Why is the latitude of negative input being squeezed? It should be accepted and used for the survival of millions-human beings, not dollars. Instead, it is being tossed aside as "irrelevant" or "inappropriate".

The simulated "nuclear accident" evacuation on May 12 was a sham-a Mickey Mouse episode-a child-like attempt to get approval for these plants. A nuclear accident will be enough to deal with in such a populated area, but to visualize an accident in the aftermath of an earthquake-there will be no escape. There will be pandemonium followed by silence and death-a holocaust caused by irresponsible decisions.

Must the U.S. continue to be the laughing-stock of the world? Must we destroy ourselves for the cause of "energy"? Are there no heroes left who have pride in a job well done, with future generations in mind, to be recorded in the history books?

I am a retired, 60-year old widow, who came to California to enjoy "the golden years", but isn't it sad about the young folks, who are busy with their jobs and their children-they won't feel a need to respond. If these plants are approved, you will have failed them.

A complacent victim,

*Gertrude Takash*

DS03  
5  
1/0

Acknowledged by: 6/23/81 mdv.

8106260295