UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

James L. Kelley, Chairman Elizabeth B. Johnson Cadet H. Hand



SERVED JUN 9 1981

In the Matter of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, <u>ET AL</u>. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station,

Units 2 and 3)

Docket Nos. <u>50-361-01</u> 50-362-0L

June 8, 1981

ORDER

(Time and Place of Final Prehearing Conference on Emergency Planning Issues and Change of Place for Evidentiary Hearing on Seismic Issues)

A final prehearing conference pursuant to 10 CFR 2.752 on emergency planning issues in this proceeding will begin at 9:00 a.m. on June 18, 1981 in San Diego, California in the Executive Room of the Stardust Hotel and Country Club. The Hotel is located at 950 Hotel Circle North. The conference will be open to the public.

The parties are directed to submit proposed agenda items to the Board Chairman at the informal discovery session earlier that week. Where appropriate, as in the case of revised contentions, specific language should accompany the agenda item. The parties should be prepared to discuss the possibility of partial or complete consolidation of the Intervenors' GUARD and Friends of the Earth.



D 50 2 5 0/1 The Board previously issued an Order stating that the evidentiary hearing on seismic issues would be held in Courtroom 8 of the United States District Court in San Diego. That Courtroom subsequently became unavailable for that purpose. The evidentiary hearing on seismic issues will be held in the Stardust Room of the Stardust Hotel and Country Club, 950 Hotel Circle North, San Diego. The hearing will begin at 9:00 a.m. on June 22, 1981.

It is so ORDERED.*

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

James L. Kelley, Chairman

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 8th day of June, 1981.

^{*} The Applicants filed a motion proposing that a final prehearing conference be held on June 10, 1981, this motion we are granting except for the exact date. The Applicants' proposed date and alternative dates were discussed at length in telephone conference calls on May 29 and June 2, 1981. All parties had a full opportunity to express their preferences and views. In these circumstances, we advised the parties that written responses to the Applicants' motion were unnecessary and would be dispensed with.