## ELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:9206020323 DOC.DATE: 92/05/22 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Southern Californ 05000361 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Southern Californ 05000362 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION ROSENBLUM, R.M. Southern California Edison Co. RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk) SUBJECT: Requests extension of submittal date for response to Generic Ltr 88-20 re individual plant exam for severe accident vulnerabilities from 920901 to 930501. DISTRIBUTION CODE: A011D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR  $\ell$  ENCL  $\mathcal O$ TITLE: Generic Ltr 88-20 re Individual Plant Evaluations NOTES: RECIPIENT COPIES RECIPIENT COPIES ID CODE/NAME LTTR ENCL ID CODE/NAME LTTR ENCL PD5 PD 1 FIELDS, M. 1 INTERNAL: ACRS HOUSTON, M. 1 AEOD/DSP/TPAB NRR HERNAN, R. NRR/DREP/PRAB REG 1 REG 2 REG\_3

REG 4

NSIC

RES FLACK, J.

RES/DSIR/SAIB/B

1

6

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

REG FILE

EXTERNAL: NRC PDR

RES MITCHELL, J.

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

ENCL -27 TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 27

1

1

R I D

R

D

D

D

S

S

Α

D D

S



## Southern California Edison Company

23 PARKER STREET

IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92718

R. M. ROSENBLUM MANAGER OF NUCLEAR REGULATORY AFFAIRS May 22, 1992

TELEPHONE (714) 454-4505

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

Generic Letter 88-20, "Individual Plant Examination (IPE) for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities - 10 CFR 50.54(f)"

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Units 2 and 3

Reference:

November 3, 1989, letter from R. M. Rosenblum (SCE) to Document Control Desk (NRC), Subject: Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361, and 50-362, Generic Letter 88-20, "Individual Plant Examination (IPE) for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3"

In the above reference Southern California Edison (SCE) committed to submit no later than September 1, 1992 the results of the Individual Plant Examination (IPE) for San Onofre Units 1, 2, and 3. This date is consistent with the requested schedule in Generic Letter (GL) 88-20.

This letter extends the date for submitting the IPE for Units 2 and 3 until May 1, 1993. While we would prefer to complete the IPE within the requested three-year time, we believe that this extension allows us to more fully meet the intent of the IPE guidance.

In May 1990, SCE contracted with an experienced Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) consultant to manage the Level 1 portion of the IPEs for San Onofre Units 1, 2, and 3. Unfortunately, this contractor underestimated the complexity of the IPEs which resulted in severe schedule slippages. When this was realized, SCE personnel began to assume contractor responsibilities on the Unit 1 IPE because of the Unit 1 non-standard design and accelerated schedule. As a result of the focus on Unit 1 and a large number of unanticipated design studies, SCE was not able to assume the contractor responsibilities for the Units 2 and 3 IPE in time to absorb the delay in the schedule.

020084

9206020323 920522 PDR ADOCK 05000361 PDR PDR MI 1/0

In evaluating our ability to maintain the IPE schedule we considered various alternatives; including alternative contractors, use of significant overtime, and scaling back our objectives. Our conclusion is that these alternatives are not feasible. However, in addition to refocusing the efforts of inhouse personnel to the Units 2 and 3 IPE we have also increased the PRA staffing. Therefore, we have a high confidence that the May 1, 1993 date will be met.

SCE is committed to achieving excellence in meeting the objectives of the IPE. The San Onofre IPE will be responsive to all requirements outlined in GL 88-20 and NUREG-1335, "Individual Plant Examination: Submittal Guidance," and will substantially exceed them in the areas of documentation, maintainability, and utility staff involvement.

Currently, the Level 1 portion of the IPE for Units 2 and 3 is approximately 60% complete, and the Level 2 portion is approximately 30% complete.

There is no safety impact due to the delay in submitting the IPE report as we believe, based on the results of partially completed PRAs for Units 2 and 3, that the IPE will not identify vulnerabilities warranting substantive plant modifications.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please let me know.

> Very truly yours, RM Posenth

J. B. Martin, Regional Administrator, NRC Region V

M. B. Fields, NRC Project Manager, San Onofre Units 2 and 3

C. W. Caldwell, NRC Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre

Units 1, 2, and 3