

From: [Louise Petering](#)
To: [Spritzer, Ronald](#); [Williams, Onika](#)
Subject: Fermi 3 proposed reactor in MI
Date: Friday, October 25, 2013 4:59:24 PM

Dear NRC Staff Members Spritzer and Williams,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Fermi 3 proposed reactor. Please enter my comments below in the official record of proceedings regarding the proposed Fermi 3 reactor.

I am opposed to the Fermi 3 proposed reactor for the following reasons:

- power from it isn't needed
- it's near my birth home and threatens the health of family and friends who still live there, given "routine" radioactivity releases
- it presents short and long term financial costs, \$20bn + now and continued costs of managing & guarding both the plant and the low and high level radioactive wastes produced by it
- it presents hazards to people and the environment (including Lk Erie's coastal wetlands) now and for 10s of 1000s of years to come
- it means production of energy by a financially non-competitive technology
- it's non-renewable
- it can result in nuclear weapons proliferation
- it's wastes have no grave! After decades-long discussions the U.S. still does not have a high-level radioactive waste facility - NO ONE WANTS IT IN THEIR BACK YARD - SO LET'S QUIT FOOLING THE PUBLIC AND THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY THAT nuclear power IS A VIABLE ENERGY OPTION for the future.

Sincerely,
Louise Petering
7229 N. Santa Monica Blvd.
Milwaukee, WI 53217
l.petering14@att.net