

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2013 8:16 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 0648 payne.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51

FRN#: 78FR56775

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246

SECY DOCKET DATE: 10/22/13

TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

COMMENT#: 00060

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 69

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0012099507B00)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 10/23/2013 8:15:59 AM
Received Date: 10/23/2013 8:16:00 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	244	10/23/2013 8:16:00 AM
0648 payne.pdf	79207	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: October 22, 2013
Received: October 22, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-88b3-k71r
Comments Due: November 27, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0361

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0648

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-21708

Submitter Information

Name: Gail Payne

General Comment

70,000 metric tons of commercial high level nuclear waste have been created so far, with no long-term storage solution in sight. Overcrowding in irradiated/spent fuel pools is an unacceptable risk to the public. The NRC should take immediate action to reduce the number of fuel assemblies in the water filled pools, as well as analyzing the deficiencies of the current dry cask storage.

We must look at the option of NOT generating any additional waste. We must evaluate phasing out nuclear reactors in the near future.

Do you still claim nuclear power is safe? Ask the (mostly Native) Americans that are suffering from disease where uranium is mined.

You thought serious accidents would never happen at nuclear power plants. Turns out they happen every 7-10 years.

What power source requires such a large supply of water, which is getting in short supply as the earth continues to warm.

What power source depends on electricity to keep massive disaster at bay? Only nuclear power.

Let's end the use of this deadly 20th Century technology, and embrace the far cheaper (consider the cost of safeguarding the waste for 1,000,000 years) and safer wind, geothermal and solar energy sources.