

## NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

---

**From:** Sreenivas, V  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:13 AM  
**To:** 'david.heacock@dom.com'  
**Cc:** 'Tom Shaub'; Pascarelli, Robert; Waig, Gerald; Matharu, Gurcharan  
**Subject:** ACCEPTANCE REVIEW-(LAR)-NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNIT 2 (NAPS), AC Sources-Operating Revised Surveillance Requirement-TS 3.8.1 (TAC MF2721)

By letter dated September 10, 2013, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion) submitted a request for amendment to the Technical Specifications (TS) for North Anna Power Station (NAPS) Unit 2. The proposed license amendment requests the changes to the Technical Specification (TS) 3.8.1, "AC Sources-Operating." TS 3.8.1 contains Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.1.8, which requires verification of the capability to manually transfer Unit 1 4.16 kV **ESF** bus AC power sources from the normal offsite circuit to the alternate required offsite circuit and this surveillance is only applicable to Unit 1. Dominion is developing a plant modification to install an alternate offsite power feed to each of the two 4.16 kV ESF buses for Unit 2, such that it will be similar to the Unit 1 design. Therefore, the proposed change would delete Note 1 to SR 3.8.1.8 to remove the limitation that excludes Unit 2 and will be consistent with the verification currently performed for Unit 1. The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this proposed amendment. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plants.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-415-2597.

---

V. Sreenivas, PH.D., C.P.M.,  
Project Manager, LPL2-1,  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

**Hearing Identifier:** NRR\_PMDA  
**Email Number:** 853

**Mail Envelope Properties** (V.Sreenivas@nrc.gov20130925101200)

**Subject:** ACCEPTANCE REVIEW-(LAR)-NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNIT 2  
(NAPS), AC Sources-Opening Revised Surveillance Requirement-TS 3.8.1 (TAC MF2721)  
**Sent Date:** 9/25/2013 10:12:47 AM  
**Received Date:** 9/25/2013 10:12:00 AM  
**From:** Sreenivas, V

**Created By:** V.Sreenivas@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Tom Shaub" <tom.shaub@dom.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Pascarelli, Robert" <Robert.Pascarelli@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Waig, Gerald" <Gerald.Waig@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Matharu, Gurcharan" <Gurcharan.Matharu@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"david.heacock@dom.com" <david.heacock@dom.com>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:**

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2552	9/25/2013 10:12:00 AM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**