

ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

From: Snyder, Amy
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 11:59 AM
To: ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource
Cc: McLellan, Judith
Subject: Final and DRAFT RAI 582
Attachments: IBMgetContent.pdf

Hearing Identifier: AREVA_EPR_DC_RAIs
Email Number: 4676

Mail Envelope Properties (AF843158D8D87443918BD3AA953ABF78E21F6BC95F)

Subject: Final and DRAFT RAI 582
Sent Date: 9/9/2013 11:59:08 AM
Received Date: 9/9/2013 11:59:10 AM
From: Snyder, Amy

Created By: Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"McLellan, Judith" <Judith.McLellan@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource" <ArevaEPRDCPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3	9/9/2013 11:59:10 AM
IBMgetContent.pdf	222612	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

From: WILLIFORD Dennis (AREVA) [Dennis.Williford@areva.com]
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Snyder, Amy
Cc: Buckberg, Perry; ANDERSON Katherine (EXTERNAL AREVA); DELANO Karen (AREVA); LEIGHLITER John (AREVA); ROMINE Judy (AREVA); RYAN Tom (AREVA); MONTAGUE Kelvin (EXTERNAL AREVA); LENTZ Tony (EXTERNAL AREVA)
Subject: Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application FINAL RAI 592, Chapter 14, Section 14.03.02, Structural and Systems Engineering - ITAAC
Attachments: RAI 592 Response US EPR DC.pdf

Amy,

Attached please find AREVA NP Inc.'s response to the subject request for additional information (RAI). The attached file, "RAI 592 Response US EPR DC.pdf," provides a schedule since a technically correct and complete response to the one question cannot be provided at this time.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 592 Response US EPR DC.pdf," that contain AREVA NP's response to the subject question.

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 592 — 14.03.02-63	2	2

The schedule for a technically correct and complete response to this question is provided below.

Question #	Advanced Response Date	NRC Comment Request Date	Final Response Date
RAI 592 — 14.03.02-63	July 19, 2013	August 15, 2013	August 22, 2013

Sincerely,

Dennis Williford, P.E.
U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager

AREVA NP Inc.

7207 IBM Drive, Mail Code CLT 2B

Charlotte, NC 28262

Phone: 704-805-2223

Email: Dennis.Williford@areva.com

From: Snyder, Amy [<mailto:Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2013 10:09 AM

To: ZZ-DL-A-USEPR-DL

Cc: Chakravorty, Manas; Xu, Jim; Buckberg, Perry; Segala, John; Welch, Christopher; Kowal, Mark

Subject: U.S. EPR Design Certification Application FINAL RAI 592, Chapter 14, Section 14.03.02, Structural and Systems Engineering - ITAAC

Attached please find the subject request for additional information (RAI). A draft RAI was provided to you on July 3, 2013. On July 8, 2013, you informed us that the draft RAI does not contain proprietary information and that the draft RAI is clear and no further clarification is needed. As result, the RAI was not changed.

The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs,. For any RAIs that cannot be answered **within 30 days or August 12, 2013**, it is expected that a date for receipt of this information will be provided to the staff within the 30-day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the published schedule.

Thank You,

Amy

Amy Snyder, U.S. EPR Design Certification Lead Project Manager

Licensing Branch 1 (LB1)

Division of New Reactor Licensing

Office of New Reactors

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

 Office: (301) 415-6822

 Fax: (301) 415-6406

 Mail Stop: T6-C20M

 E-mail: Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: AREVA_EPR_DC_RAIs
Email Number: 4614

Mail Envelope Properties (554210743EFE354B8D5741BEB695E6561DF564)

Subject: Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application FINAL RAI 592, Chapter 14, Section 14.03.02, Structural and Systems Engineering - ITAAC
Sent Date: 7/19/2013 4:35:46 PM
Received Date: 7/19/2013 4:36:00 PM
From: WILLIFORD Dennis (AREVA)

Created By: Dennis.Williford@areva.com

Recipients:

"Buckberg, Perry" <Perry.Buckberg@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"ANDERSON Katherine (EXTERNAL AREVA)" <katherine.anderson.ext@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"DELANO Karen (AREVA)" <Karen.Delano@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"LEIGHLITER John (AREVA)" <John.Leighliter@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"ROMINE Judy (AREVA)" <Judy.Romine@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"RYAN Tom (AREVA)" <Tom.Ryan@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"MONTAGUE Kelvin (EXTERNAL AREVA)" <kelvin.montague.ext@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"LENTZ Tony (EXTERNAL AREVA)" <Tony.Lentz.ext@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Snyder, Amy" <Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: FUSLYNCMX03.fdom.ad.corp

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2624	7/19/2013 4:36:00 PM
RAI 592 Response US EPR DC.pdf		81318

Options

Priority: Standard

Return Notification: No

Reply Requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date:

Recipients Received:

Response to

Request for Additional Information No. 592

7/11/2013

U.S. EPR Standard Design Certification

AREVA NP Inc.

Docket No. 52-020

**SRP Section: 14.03.02 - Structural and Systems Engineering - Inspections, Tests,
Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria**

Application Section: 14.03.02

Question 14.03.02-63:**Follow-up Question to RAI 557, Question 14.03.07-39**

In item a of RAI 527, Question 14.03.02-62 the staff had requested that the applicant revise Tier 1, Table 2.1.3-1 to reflect that the NAB will withstand design basis SSE and tornado wind loading without loss of structural integrity and have a margin of safety equivalent to that of a Category I structure. In its response the applicant stated that the U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 1, Section 2.1.3 and Table 2.1.3-1, Item 3.1 would be revised in the response to RAI 557, Question 14.03.07-39 in which the staff had requested a commitment by the applicant to apply the guidance of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.143 for natural phenomena and man-induced hazards to the design of the NAB.

While the FSAR mark-up of Tier 1, Section 2.1.3, and Table 2.1.3-1 provided with the RAI 557, Question 14.03.07-39 response reflects verification of RG 1.143 commitments, it does not provide for verification of Seismic Category (SC) II design commitments for the NAB to withstand the design basis tornado and SSE loading described in FSAR Sections 3.3.2.3 and 3.7.2.8. Note that Revision 4 to FSAR Tier 2 Section 3.7.2.8 for the NAB (classified as SC II) states, *"The NAB is analyzed to SSE load conditions and designed to the codes and standards associated with Seismic Category I structures so that the margin of safety is equivalent to that of a Category I structure with the exception of sliding and overturning criteria."* Revision 4 to FSAR Section 3.3.2.3 also states, *"The NAB is a non-Seismic Category I structure. However, due to the proximity of this structure to Seismic Category I structures, there is potential for tornado wind load induced interaction. Therefore, this structure is analyzed using RG 1.76 tornado wind characteristics and designed to the codes and standards associated with Seismic Category I structures so that the margin of safety is equivalent to that of a Category I structure with the exception of sliding and overturning criteria."* Note that the earthquake load of RG 1.143 is one-half the SSE while the tornado load is three-fifths of the criteria in RG 1.76. As such, in addition to meeting the design guidance of RG 1.143 for RW-IIa structures, the licensing basis for the NAB should also meet the SC II commitments specified in the FSAR Sections 3.3.2.3 and 3.7.2.8. As the markups of Tier 1 Section 2.1.3 and Table 2.1.3-1 only address the RG 1.143 guidance and fail to address the SC II design commitments, the staff finds the response to be unacceptable.

The applicant is requested to address this issue and revise the U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 1, Section 2.1.3, "Mechanical Design Features" and Table 2.1.3-1, Item 3.1 markup accordingly to reflect verification of both RG 1.143 and SC II commitments regarding the design basis SSE and tornado wind loading.

Response to Question 14.03.02-63:

A response to this question will be provided by August 22, 2013.