

Remsburg, Kristy

From: Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of S. Etherton
<lazylinepainter@gmx.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 12:12 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource
Subject: Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Aug 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. S. Etherton
504 E 63rd St
New York, NY 10065-7919

Remsburg, Kristy

From: Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Fern Stearney
<fz.stearney@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 12:12 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource
Subject: Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Aug 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fern Stearney
58 Cobb Ln
Tarrytown, NY 10591-3033

Remsburg, Kristy

From: Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Catherine Miller
<trevellor@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 12:42 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource
Subject: Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Aug 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Miller
201 W 92nd St Apt 6c
New York, NY 10025-7465

Remsburg, Kristy

From: Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Annmarie Parmenter <xzarri@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 2:12 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource
Subject: Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Aug 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Annmarie Parmenter
201 Belleville Ave
Belleville, NJ 07109-2435

Remsburg, Kristy

From: Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Maria DeAngelis
<mariadeangelis@optonline.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 3:42 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource
Subject: Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Aug 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maria DeAngelis
270 Hall Ave
White Plains, NY 10604-2222
(914) 686-6119

Remsburg, Kristy

From: Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Doreen Tignanelli <doreentig@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 4:12 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource
Subject: Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Aug 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built.

I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Doreen Tignanelli
29 Colburn Dr
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603-5103
(845) 462-0235

Remsburg, Kristy

From: Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Kevin Hughes
<anevolver@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 7:43 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource
Subject: Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Aug 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Hughes
4 Newfield Ln
Newtown, CT 06470-1314