September 16, 2013

Mr. Robert B. Sisk, Manager Regulatory Compliance Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC Suite 428 1000 Westinghouse Drive Cranberry Township, PA 16066

### SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR WITHHOLDING INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE IN RESPONSE TO SUBMITTAL OF "DNB CORRELATION APPLICABILITY – NRC AUDIT OF WCAP-17524"

Dear Mr. Sisk:

By letter dated July 10, 2013, Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC (Westinghouse) submitted an affidavit dated July 10, 2013, executed by Robert B. Sisk, which requested that the information contained in the following document be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Part 2, Section 2.390:

LTR-NRC-13-48 and LTR-NRC-13-38 NP - Attachment, Transmittal of "DNB Correlation Applicability – NRC Audit of WCAP-17524 (CRR)" (Proprietary/Non-Proprietary)

Non-proprietary copies of the enclosures have been placed in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) Public Document Room and added to the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Public Electronic Reading Room Accession Nos. ML13220A745 (letter) and ML13220A746 (nonproprietary presentation).

The affidavit stated that the submitted information should be considered exempt from mandatory public disclosure for the following reasons:

- (a) The information reveals the distinguishing aspects of a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.) where prevention of its use by any of Westinghouse's competitors without license from Westinghouse constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;
- (b) If used by a competitor it would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product; and
- (c) It reveals aspects of past, present, or future Westinghouse or customer funded development plans and programs of potential commercial value to Westinghouse.

R. Sisk

We have reviewed your application and the material in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.390 and, on the basis of your statements, have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains proprietary commercial information and should be withheld from public disclosure. Therefore, the version of the submitted information marked as proprietary will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the NRC. You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-6715.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

Bruce M. Bavol, Project Manager Licensing Branch 4 Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of New Reactors

Project No. 0793

cc: See next page

R. Sisk

We have reviewed your application and the material in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.390 and, on the basis of your statements, have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains proprietary commercial information and should be withheld from public disclosure. Therefore, the version of the submitted information marked as proprietary will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the NRC. You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-6715.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

Bruce M. Bavol, Project Manager Licensing Branch 4 Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of New Reactors

NRO-002

Project No. 0793

cc: See next page

#### DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC NRO\_DNRL\_LB4 Distribution RidsOgcMailCenter LBurkhart JDonoghue RButler

#### ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML13227A076

OFFICELA:DNRL/LB4PM:DNRL/LB4BC:DSRA/SRSBBC:DNRL/LB4NAMERButlerBBavolJDonoghueLBurkhartDATE8/14/1308/19/1309/11/1309/16/13

# OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

(Revised 07/25/2013)

DC Westinghouse - IRIS Mailing List cc:

Dr. Charles L. King Licensing Manager, IRIS Project Westinghouse Electric Company Science and Technology Department 20 International Drive Windsor, CT 06095

Page 1 of 2

DC Westinghouse - IRIS Mailing List

# <u>Email</u>

APH@NEI.org (Adrian Heymer) awc@nei.org (Anne W. Cottingham) Bojan.Petrovic@gatech.edu (Bojan Petrovic) charles.bagnal@ge.com (Charles Bagnal) cwaltman@roe.com (C. Waltman) david.lewis@pillsburylaw.com (David Lewis) dchapin@mpr.com (Douglas Chapin) ggeaney@mpr.com (George Geaney) jahalfinger@babcock.com (Jeff Halfinger) Jim.Kinsey@inl.gov (James Kinsey) Joseph Hegner@dom.com (Joseph Hegner) kouhestani@msn.com (Amir Kouhestani) KSutton@morganlewis.com (Kathryn M. Sutton) kwaugh@impact-net.org (Kenneth O. Waugh) Ichandler@morganlewis.com (Lawrence J. Chandler) maria.webb@pillsburylaw.com (Maria Webb) mark.holbrook@inl.gov (Mark Holbrook) matias.travieso-diaz@pillsburylaw.com (Matias Travieso-Diaz) media@nei.org (Scott Peterson) MSF@nei.org (Marvin Fertel) nirsnet@nirs.org (Michael Mariotte) Nuclaw@mindspring.com (Robert Temple) patriciaL.campbell@ge.com (Patricia L. Campbell) Paul@beyondnuclear.org (Paul Gunter) pbessette@morganlewis.com (Paul Bessette) pcarlone@mpr.com (Pete Carlone) rbarrett@astminc.com (Richard Barrett) RJB@NEI.org (Russell Bell) sabinski@suddenlink.net (Steve A. Bennett) sfrantz@morganlewis.com (Stephen P. Frantz) shobbs@enercon.com (Sam Hobbs) spellmandj@ornl.gov (Donald J. Spellman) stephan.moen@ge.com (Stephan Moen) Tony.Robinson@areva.com (Tony Robinson) trsmith@winston.com (Tyson Smith) Vanessa.quinn@dhs.gov (Vanessa Quinn) Wanda.K.Marshall@dom.com (Wanda K. Marshall)