

**United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Official Hearing Exhibit**

In the Matter of:

Charlissa C. Smith  
(Denial of Senior Reactor Operator License)**ASLBP #:** 13-925-01-SP-BD01**Docket #:** 05523694**Exhibit #:** CCS-032-00-BD01**Admitted:** 7/17/2013**Rejected:****Other:****Identified:** 7/17/2013**Withdrawn:****Stricken:**

CCS-032

**From:** Jackson, Donald  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 07, 2012 10:05 AM  
**To:** McHale, John  
**Cc:** Steely, Chris; Muller, David  
**Subject:** Revision To Vogtle Appeal  
**Attachments:** VogtleSROSims scenappeal2012 rev 2.docx

**Importance:** High

Jack,

I believe the attached document is ready for Region II comments. Per our discussion, I reframed the panel's role in this review such that it is limited to addressing how each of the errors was dispositioned. I understand that the final grading, and how to apply the concept of a positive action erasing an error, and adjusting a rating factor grade from 1 to 2, is a IOLB policy decision that is under review. You have my verbal recommendation on how the final grading should shake out, and this recommendation is aligned with the panel's recommendation. Once Region II comments are reviewed and incorporated, I will re-submit to you with a short cover letter. Please let me know if this meets your needs. I want to turn this around as quickly as possible, so that this can get to a final resolution.

Very Respectfully,  
Don Jackson