

1.8 Interfaces with Standard Designs and Early Site Permits

This section addresses the requirements of 10 CFR 52.47(a)(25) and describes the standard plant scope interfaces for the U.S. EPR as they relate to design certification between the standard U.S. EPR plant and the COL applicant. The site-specific items that must be included by a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification are also provided in this section.

Interface requirements for systems, structures, and components (SSC) that relate to specific mechanical, electrical, nuclear, or structural systems are covered in the appropriate chapter and identified by a specific COL information item to be addressed by the applicant. A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe where the interface requirements are satisfied in the COL Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) to demonstrate compatibility with the U.S. EPR design. Interface requirements in Tier 1 of the U.S. EPR FSAR will demonstrate that conformance with the interface requirements can be verified with inspections, tests, or analyses and that the method for verification is included in the proposed inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria (ITAAC), per 10 CFR 52.47(a)(26).

The U.S. EPR design plant consists of the following structures and the SSC therein:

- Reactor Building.
- Safeguard Buildings.
- Fuel Building.
- Nuclear Auxiliary Building.
- Radioactive Waste Processing Building.
- Emergency Power Generating Buildings.
- Essential Service Water Buildings.

Site-specific assumptions on which the U.S. EPR standard design is based are presented in Section 1.2.1 and Chapter 2. The physical boundary of the U.S. EPR is provided in the site plan in Section 1.2. A more detailed listing of the systems included in the U.S. EPR standard design is included in Section 3.2.

The representative conceptual designs for the portions of the plant that are not submitted for certification are described in the FSAR to satisfy the requirement of 10 CFR 52.47(a)(24). These conceptual designs are outside the scope of the U.S. EPR standard design, but conceptual design information is provided as discussed below.

- The Access Building, Turbine Building, and the Fire Protection Storage Tanks and Pump Building. Conceptual design information for these structures is included,

delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 1.2 and Section 3.7.2.

- The Switchgear Building. Conceptual design information for this structure is included, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 1.2, Section 8.3, and Section 8.4.
- The auxiliary power and generator transformer areas. Conceptual design information for these components is included, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 8.2.
- Buried conduit duct banks, pipe ducts, and piping. Conceptual design information for these components is included, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 3.8.
- Conceptual design of the ultimate heat sink (UHS) systems. Conceptual design information for these systems is presented, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 9.2.5.
- The portions of the circulating water supply system outside the Turbine Building. Conceptual design information for this system is presented, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 10.4.5, based upon a cooling tower approach.
- The electrical distribution system equipment to the circulating water supply system outside the Turbine Building. Conceptual design information for these components is presented, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 8.3.
- Security structures, systems, and components outside the U.S. EPR buildings listed above. Conceptual design information for these structures, systems, and components is included, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 13.6.
- The offsite power transmission system including the main switchyard area. Conceptual design information for this system is included, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 8.2.
- The lightning protection and grounding system grid. Conceptual design information for this system is included, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 8.3.
- Conceptual design of the raw water supply system (RWSS). Conceptual design information for this system is presented, delineated by double brackets ([[]]), in Section 9.2.9.

Table 1.8-1—Summary of U.S. EPR Plant Interfaces with Remainder of Plant, identifies the interfaces between the U.S. EPR standard design and the remainder of the plant. The safety-related interface requirements in Table 1.8-1 have been selected based on a review of interfaces between the U.S. EPR standard design and other COL applicant or site-specific items. The interface types are classified as follows:

- U.S. EPR interface: Assumptions made for the U.S. EPR design that must be verified during the coordination effort between the designer of the U.S. EPR and the COL applicant.
- Site Parameters: Site-related parameters upon which the U.S. EPR design is based.

The classification of SSC is further described in Section 3.2. The representative conceptual designs for the portions of the plant that are not submitted for certification are described in the FSAR to satisfy the requirement of 10 CFR 52.47(a)(24).

1.8.1 COL Information Items

Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items, lists the COL information items and the section where the information is discussed. A COL applicant that references the U. S. EPR design certification will identify the FSAR section, or provide a list, that demonstrates how the COL information items have been addressed. The applicable FSAR sections and Table 1.8-2 also identify when an activity required by a COL information item requires as-built information or other conditions that are not available when the COL application is submitted. These activities are completed prior to fuel load.

1.8.2 Departures

A COL applicant that references the U. S. EPR design certification will provide a list of any departures from the FSAR in the COL FSAR.

**Table 1.8-1—Summary of U.S. EPR Plant Interfaces with Remainder of Plant
Sheet 1 of 2**

Item No.	Interface	Interface Type	Section
1-1	Switchgear Building	U.S. EPR Interface	1.2, 8.3, 8.4
1-2	Access Building	U.S. EPR Interface	1.2, 3.7.2
1-3	Turbine Building	U.S. EPR Interface	1.2, 3.7.2
1-4	Fire Protection Storage Tanks and Building	U.S. EPR Interface	1.2, 3.7.2
2-1	Envelope of U.S. EPR site related design	Site Parameter	2.0, Table 2.1-1
2-2	Consequences of potential hazards from nearby industrial, transportation and military facilities	Site Parameter	2.2
2-3	Site-specific χ/Q values based on site-specific meteorological data at the exclusion area boundary (EAB), low population zone (LPZ), and control room	Site Parameter	2.3
2-4	Site-specific seismic characteristics	Site Parameter	2.5, 3.7
2-5	Soil conditions and profiles	Site Parameter	2.5, 3.7
2-6	Bearing pressure of soil beneath the nuclear island basemat	Site Parameter	2.5
2-7	Foundation settlements	Site Parameter	2.5
3-1	Missiles generated from nearby facilities	Site Parameter	3.5
3-2	Missiles generated by extreme winds	Site Parameter	3.5
3-3	Aircraft hazards	Site Parameter	3.5
3-4	Site-specific loads that lie within the standard plant design envelope for Seismic Category I structures	Site Parameter	3.8
3-5	Buried conduit and duct banks, and pipe and pipe ducts	U.S. EPR Interface	3.8
8-1	Off-site ac power transmission system connections to the switchyard and the connection to the plant power distribution system	U.S. EPR Interface	8.2
8-2	On-site ac power transmission system connections to the switchyard and the connection to the plant power distribution system	U.S. EPR Interface	8.3
8-3	Auxiliary power and generator transformer areas	U.S. EPR Interface	8.2
8-4	Lightning protection and grounding system grid	U.S. EPR Interface	8.3.1
8-5	Design details for electrical distribution for circulating water system components outside the Turbine Building.	U.S. EPR Interface	8.3

**Table 1.8-1—Summary of U.S. EPR Plant Interfaces with Remainder of Plant
Sheet 2 of 2**

Item No.	Interface	Interface Type	Section
9-2	Provide support systems such as makeup water, blowdown and chemical treatment (to control biofouling) for the UHS	U.S. EPR Interface	9.2.5
9-3	Raw water system	U.S. EPR Interface	9.2.9
9-4	Fire water distribution system	U.S. EPR Interface	9.5.1
10-1	Design details for circulating water system including makeup water, and water treatment	U.S. EPR Interface	10.4.5
11-1	Process Control program and program aspects of process and effluent monitoring and sampling	U.S. EPR Interface	11.5
13-1	Site-specific information for administrative, operating, emergency, maintenance, and other operating procedures.	U.S. EPR Interface	13.5
13-2	Site-specific emergency plan	U.S. EPR Interface	13.3
13-3	Site-specific security assessment and Physical Security Plan	U.S. EPR Interface	13.6
14-1	Site-specific information for development of the initial test program	U.S. EPR Interface	14.0

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 1 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
1.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification and proposes a multi-unit license application will provide the changes and additional information needed to license a multi-unit plant.	1.1
1.1-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the specific plant site location.	1.1.1
1.1-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the estimated schedules for completion of construction and commercial operation.	1.1.5
1.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify those site-specific features of the plant likely to be of special interest because of their relationship to safety. The COL applicant will also highlight items such as unusual site characteristics, solutions to particularly difficult engineering, construction problems, and significant extrapolations in technology represented by the site specific design.	1.2
1.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a site-specific layout figure.	1.2.2
1.2-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific general arrangement drawings for the Turbine Building and Access Building.	1.2.2
1.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the prime agents or contractors for the construction and operation of the nuclear power plant.	1.4.2
1.6-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will include any site-specific topical reports that are incorporated by reference as part of the COL application in Table 1.6-1.	1.6
1.7-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will list additional site specific instrumentation and control functional diagrams and electrical one-line diagrams included in the COL FSAR in Table 1.7.1 and supplement the figure legends, if applicable.	1.7.1
1.7-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will list additional site specific P&IDs included in the COL FSAR in Table 1.7.2 and supplement the figure legend, if applicable.	1.7.2
1.8-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe where the interface requirements are satisfied in the COL FSAR to demonstrate compatibility with the U.S. EPR design.	1.8

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 2 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
1.8-2	A COL applicant that references the U. S. EPR design certification will identify the FSAR section, or provide a list, that demonstrates how the COL information items have been addressed.	1.8.1
1.8-3	A COL applicant that references the U. S. EPR design certification will provide a list of any departures from the FSAR in the COL FSAR.	1.8.2
1.9-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will review and address the conformance with Regulatory Criteria in effect six months before the docket date of the COL application for the site-specific portions and operational aspects of the facility design.	1.9
2.0-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will compare the characteristics of its proposed site to the site parameters in Table 2.1-1. If the characteristics of the site fall within the assumed site parameters in Table 2.1-1, then the U.S. EPR standard design is bounding for the site. For site-specific characteristics that are outside the bounds of the assumptions presented in Table 2.1-1, the COL applicant will demonstrate that the U.S. EPR design acceptably meets the regulatory requirements, given the site-specific characteristic. In such an instance, the COL applicant will also demonstrate that the design commitments and acceptance criteria described in the FSAR do not need to be changed, or will propose new design commitments or acceptance criteria, or both.	2.0
2.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information related to site location and description, exclusion area authority and control, and population distribution.	2.1
2.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information related to the identification of potential hazards stemming from nearby industrial, transportation, and military facilities within the site vicinity, including an evaluation of potential accidents (such as explosions, toxic chemicals, and fires).	2.2
2.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide information concerning site- specific evaluations to determine the consequences that potential accidents at nearby industrial, transportation, and military facilities could have on the site. The information provided by the COL applicant will include specific changes made to the U.S. EPR design to qualify the design of the site against potential external accidents with an unacceptable probability of severe consequences.	2.2.3

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 3 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
2.3-1	If a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification identifies site-specific meteorology values outside the range of the site parameters in Table 2.1-1, then the COL applicant will demonstrate the acceptability of the site-specific values in the appropriate sections of the Combined License application.	2.3
2.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific characteristics for regional climatology.	2.3.1
2.3-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific characteristics for local meteorology.	2.3.2
2.3-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the site-specific, onsite meteorological measurement program.	2.3.3
2.3-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description of the atmospheric dispersion modeling used in evaluating potential design basis events to calculate concentrations of hazardous materials (e.g., flammable or toxic clouds) outside building structures resulting from the onsite and/or offsite airborne releases of such materials.	2.3.4
2.3-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that site-specific χ/Q values, based on site-specific meteorological data, are bounded by those specified in Table 2.1-1 at the EAB, LPZ and the control room. For site-specific χ/Q values that exceed the bounding χ/Q values, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will demonstrate that the radiological consequences associated with the controlling design basis accident continue to meet the dose reference values given in 10 CFR 50.34 and the control room operator dose limits given in GDC 19 using site-specific χ/Q values.	2.3.4
2.3-7	Deleted.	Deleted
2.3-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the site-specific, long-term diffusion estimates for routine releases. In developing this information, the COL applicant should consider the guidance provided in Regulatory Guides 1.23, 1.109, 1.111, and 1.112.	2.3.5
2.3-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will also provide estimates of annual average atmospheric dispersion (χ/Q values) and deposition (D/Q values) for 16 radial sectors to a distance of 50 miles from the plant as part of its environmental assessment.	2.3.5
2.3-10	Deleted.	Deleted

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 4 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
2.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a site-specific description of the hydrologic characteristics of the plant site.	2.4.1
2.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify site-specific information related to flood history, flood design considerations, and effects of local intense precipitation.	2.4.2
2.4-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information to describe the probable maximum flood of streams and rivers and the effect of flooding on the design.	2.4.3
2.4-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will verify that the site-specific potential hazards to the safety-related facilities due to the failure of upstream and downstream water control structures are within the hydrogeologic design basis.	2.4.4
2.4-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information on the probable maximum surge and seiche flooding and determine the extent to which safety-related plant systems require protection. The applicant will also verify that the site-specific characteristic envelope is within the design maximum flood level, including consideration of wind effects.	2.4.5
2.4-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design will provide site-specific information and determine the extent to which safety-related facilities require protection from tsunami effects, including Probable Maximum Tsunami Flooding.	2.4.6
2.4-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information regarding ice effects and design criteria for protecting safety-related facilities from ice-produced effects and forces with respect to adjacent water bodies.	2.4.7
2.4-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate the potential for freezing temperatures that may affect the performance of the ultimate heat sink makeup, including the potential for frazil and anchor ice, maximum ice thickness, and maximum cumulative degree-days below freezing.	2.4.7
2.4-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information and describe the design basis for cooling water canals and reservoirs used for makeup to the UHS cooling tower basins.	2.4.8

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 5 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
2.4-10	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information and demonstrate that in the event of diversion or rerouting of the source of cooling water, alternate water supplies will be available to safety-related equipment.	2.4.9
2.4-11	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will use site-specific information to compare the location and elevations of safety-related facilities, and of structures and components required for protection of safety-related facilities, with the estimated static and dynamic effects of the design basis flood conditions.	2.4.10
2.4-12	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify natural events that may reduce or limit the available cooling water supply, and will verify that an adequate water supply exists for operation or shutdown of the plant in normal operation, anticipated operational occurrences, and in low water conditions.	2.4.11
2.4-13	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information to identify local and regional groundwater reservoirs, subsurface pathways, onsite use, monitoring or safeguard measures, and to establish the effects of groundwater on plant structures.	2.4.12
2.4-14	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information on the ability of the groundwater and surface water environment to delay, disperse, dilute, or concentrate accidental radioactive liquid effluent releases, regarding the effects that such releases might have on existing and known future uses of groundwater and surface water resources.	2.4.13
2.4-15	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe any emergency measures required to implement flood protection in safety-related facilities and to verify there is an adequate water supply for shutdown purposes.	2.4.14
2.5-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will use site-specific information to investigate and provide data concerning geological, seismic, geophysical, and geotechnical information.	2.5.1
2.5-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will review and investigate site-specific details of seismic, geophysical, geological, and geotechnical information to determine the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) ground motion for the site and compare site-specific ground motion to the Certified Seismic Design Response Spectra (CSDRS) for the U.S. EPR.	2.5.2

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 6 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
2.5-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will compare the final strain-dependent soil profile with the U.S. EPR design soil parameters and verify that the site-specific seismic response is enveloped by the CSDRS and the soil profiles discussed in Sections 2.5.2, 2.5.4.7 and 3.7.1 and summarized in Table 3.7.1-6, Table 3.7.1-8 and Table 3.7.1-9.	2.5.2.6 2.5.4.7
2.5-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will verify that site-specific foundation soils beneath the foundation basemats of Seismic Category I structures have the capacity to support the bearing pressure with a factor of safety of 3.0 under static conditions or 2.0 under dynamic conditions, whichever is greater.	2.5.4.10.1
2.5-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will investigate site-specific surface and subsurface geologic, seismic, geophysical, and geotechnical aspects within 25 miles around the site and evaluate any impact to the design. The COL applicant will demonstrate that no capable faults exist at the site in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 100.23 and of 10 CFR 50, Appendix S. If non-capable surface faulting is present under foundations for safety-related structures, the COL applicant will demonstrate that the faults have no significant impact on the structural integrity of safety-related structures, systems, or components.	2.5.3
2.5-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will present site-specific information about the properties and stability of soils and rocks that may affect the nuclear power plant facilities under both static and dynamic conditions, including the vibratory ground motions associated with the CSDRS and the site-specific SSE.	2.5.4
2.5-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will verify that the predicted tilt settlement value of ½ in per 50 ft in any direction across the foundation basemat of a Seismic Category I structure is not exceeded. Settlement values larger than this may be demonstrated acceptable by performing additional site-specific evaluations.	2.5.4.10.2
2.5-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate site-specific information concerning the stability of earth and rock slopes, both natural and manmade (e.g., cuts, fill, embankments, dams, etc.), of which failure could adversely affect the safety of the plant.	2.5.5

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 7 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
2.5-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will reconcile the site-specific soil and backfill properties with those used for design of U.S. EPR Seismic Category I structures and foundations described in Section 3.8	2.5.4.2
2.5-10	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will investigate and determine the uniformity of the soil layer(s) underlying the foundation basemats of Seismic Category I structures.	2.5.4.10.3
2.5-11	Deleted.	Deleted
2.5-12	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide an assessment of predicted settlement values across the basemat of Seismic Category I structures during and post construction. The assessment will address both short term (elastic) and long term (heave and consolidation) settlement effects with the site-specific soil parameters, including the soil loading effects from adjacent structures.	2.5.4.10.2
2.5-13	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a site-specific analysis to determine the bearing pressure demand and peak displacement of the NAB. The foundation soils beneath the NAB foundation basemat shall have the capacity to support the bearing pressure with a factor of safety of 3.0 under static conditions, or 2.0 under combined static and dynamic conditions, whichever is greater. The minimum required separation distance is a factor of two times the calculated absolute sum of the maximum combined site-specific NAB and U.S. EPR NI design displacements, but not less than 30 inches.	2.5.4.10.1 3.7.2.8
3.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the site-specific QA Program Plan that demonstrates compliance with GDC-1.	3.1.1.1.1
3.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the seismic classification of applicable site-specific SSC that are not identified in Table 3.2.2-1.	3.2.1
3.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the quality group classification of site-specific pressure-retaining components that are not identified in Table 3.2.2-1.	3.2.2

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 8 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will determine site-specific wind, hurricane, and tornado characteristics and compare these to the standard plant criteria. If the site-specific wind, hurricane, and tornado characteristics are not bounded by the site parameters, postulated for the certified design, then the COL applicant will evaluate the design for site-specific wind, hurricane, and tornado events and demonstrate that these loadings will not adversely affect the ability of safety-related structures to perform their safety functions during or after such events.	3.3
3.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will demonstrate that failure of site-specific structures or components not included in the U.S. EPR standard plant design, and not designed for wind loads, will not affect the ability of other structures to perform their intended safety functions.	3.3.1
3.3-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will demonstrate that failure of site-specific structures or components not included in the U.S. EPR standard plant design, and not designed for hurricane and tornado loads, will not affect the ability of other structures to perform their intended safety functions.	3.3.2
3.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm the potential site specific external flooding events are bounded by the U.S. EPR design basis flood values or otherwise demonstrate that the design is acceptable.	3.4.3.2
3.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a flooding analysis for the ultimate heat sink makeup water intake structure based on the site-specific design of the structures and the flood protection concepts provided herein.	3.4.3.10
3.4-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will define the need for a site-specific permanent dewatering system.	3.4.3.11
3.4-4	Deleted.	Deleted
3.4-5	Deleted.	Deleted
3.4-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will include in its maintenance program appropriate watertight door preventive maintenance in accordance with manufacturer recommendations so that each Safeguards Building and Fuel Building watertight door above elevation +0 feet remains capable of performing its intended function.	3.4.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 9 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.4-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will design the watertight seal between the Access Building and the adjacent Category I access path to the Reactor Building Tendon Gallery. Watertight seal design will account for hydrostatic loads, lateral earth pressure loads, and other applicable loads.	3.4.2
3.5-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe essential elements of a program to confirm that unsecured maintenance equipment, including that required for maintenance and that are undergoing maintenance, will be removed from containment prior to operation, moved to a location where it is not a potential hazard to safety-related SSC, or seismically restrained to prevent it from becoming a missile.	3.5.1.2.3
3.5-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm the evaluation of the probability of turbine missile generation for the selected turbine generator, P1, is less than 1×10^{-5} for turbine-generators unfavorably oriented.	3.5.1.3
3.5-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will assess the effect of potential turbine missiles from turbine generators within other nearby or co-located facilities.	3.5.1.3
3.5-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate the potential for other missiles generated by natural phenomena, such as hurricane and tornado winds, and their potential impact on the missile protection design features of the U.S. EPR.	3.5.1.4
3.5-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate the potential for site proximity explosions and missiles generated by these explosions for their potential impact on missile protection design features.	3.5.1.5
3.5-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate site-specific aircraft hazards and their potential impact on plant SSC.	3.5.1.6
3.5-7	For sites with surrounding ground elevations higher than plant grade, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that automobile missiles cannot be generated within a 0.5 mile radius of safety-related SSC that would lead to impact higher than 30 ft above plant grade.	3.5.1.4
3.5-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe controls to confirm that unsecured compressed gas cylinders will be either removed or seismically supported when not in use to prevent them from becoming missiles.	3.5.1.1.3

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 10 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.5-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe controls to confirm that unsecured maintenance equipment, including that required for maintenance and that are undergoing maintenance, will be either removed or seismically supported when not in use to prevent it from becoming a missile.	3.5.1.1.3
3.6-1	Deleted.	Deleted
3.6-2	Deleted.	Deleted
3.6-3	Deleted.	Deleted
3.6-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. design certification will provide diagrams showing the configurations, locations, and orientations of the pipe whip restraints in relation to break locations in each piping system.	3.6.2.5.1
3.6-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will implement the ISI program as augmented with NRC approved ASME Code cases that are developed and approved for augmented inspections of Alloy 690/152/52 material to address PWSCC concerns.	3.6.3.3.4.1
3.7-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific seismic response is within the parameters of section 3.7 of the U.S. EPR standard design.	3.7.2
3.7-2	A COL applicant that references the US EPR design certification will provide the site-specific separation distances for the access building and turbine building.	3.7.2.8
3.7-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description of methods used for seismic analysis of site-specific Category I concrete dams, if applicable.	3.7.3.13
3.7-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will determine whether essentially the same seismic response from a given earthquake is expected at each of the units in a multi-unit site or instrument each unit. In the event that only one unit is instrumented, annunciation shall be provided to each control room.	3.7.4.2
3.7-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will determine a location for the free-field acceleration sensor such that the effects associated with surface features, buildings, and components on the recordings of ground motion are insignificant. The acceleration sensor must be based on material representative of that upon which the Nuclear Island (NI) and other Seismic Category I structures are founded.	3.7.4.2.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 11 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.7-6	A COL applicant that references the US EPR design certification will provide the seismic design basis for the sources of fire protection water supply for safe plant shutdown in the event of a SSE.	3.7.2.8
3.7-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will demonstrate that the response of the Access Building to an SSE event will not impair the ability of Seismic Category I systems, structures, or components to perform their design basis safety functions.	3.7.2.8
3.7-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will demonstrate that the response of the TB (including Switchgear Building on the common basemat) to an SSE event will not impair the ability of Seismic Category I systems, structures, or components to perform their design basis safety functions.	3.7.2.8
3.8-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that site-specific loads lie within the standard plant design envelope for the Reactor Containment Building, or perform additional analyses to verify structural adequacy.	3.8.1.3
3.8-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe any differences between the standard plant layout and design of Seismic Category I structures required for site-specific conditions.	3.8.4.1
3.8-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that site-specific loads lie within the standard design envelope for other Seismic Category I structures, or perform additional analyses to verify structural adequacy.	3.8.4.3
3.8-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description of Seismic Category I buried conduit and duct banks.	3.8.4.1.8
3.8-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description of Seismic Category I buried pipe and pipe ducts.	3.8.4.1.9
3.8-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that site-specific loads lie within the standard design envelope for RB internal structures, or perform additional analyses to verify structural adequacy.	3.8.3.3
3.8-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that site-specific conditions for Seismic Category I buried conduit, electrical duct banks, pipe, and pipe ducts satisfy the criteria specified in Section 3.8.4.4.5 and those specified in AREVA NP Topical Report ANP-10264NP-A.	3.8.4.5

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 12 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.8-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will address site-specific Seismic Category I structures that are not described in this section.	3.8.4.1
3.8-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe site-specific foundations for Seismic Category I structures that are not described in this section.	3.8.5.1
3.8-10	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate site-specific methods for shear transfer between the foundation basemats and underlying soil for site-specific soil characteristics that are not within the envelope of the soil parameters specified in Section 2.5.4.2.	3.8.5.5
3.8-11	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate the use of epoxy coated rebar for foundations subjected to aggressive environments, as defined in ACI 349-01, Chapter 4. In addition, waterproofing and dampproofing systems of Seismic Category I foundations subjected to aggressive environments will be evaluated for use in aggressive environments. Also, the concrete of Seismic Category I foundations subjected to aggressive environments will meet the durability requirements of ACI 349-01, Chapter 4 or ASME, Section III, Division 2, Article CC-2231.7, as applicable.	3.8.5.6.1
3.8-12	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the program to examine inaccessible portions of below-grade concrete structures for degradation and monitoring of groundwater chemistry.	3.8.5.7
3.8-13	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify site-specific settlement monitoring requirements for Seismic Category I foundations based on site-specific soil conditions.	3.8.5.7
3.8-14	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the design and analysis procedures used for buried conduit and duct banks, and buried pipe and pipe ducts.	3.8.4.4.5
3.8-15	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will use results from site-specific investigations to determine the routing of buried pipe and pipe ducts.	3.8.4.4.5
3.8-16	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform geotechnical engineering analyses to determine if the surface load will cause lateral and/or vertical displacement of bearing soil for the buried pipe and pipe ducts and consider the effect of wide or extra heavy loads.	3.8.4.4.5

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 13 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.8-17	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will address examination of buried safety-related piping in accordance with ASME Section XI, IWA-5244, “Buried Components.”	3.8.4.7
3.8-18	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will compare the NI common basemat site-specific predicted angular distortion to the angular distortion in the relative differential settlement contours in Figure 3.8-124 through Figure 3.8-134, using methods described in U.S. Army Engineering Manual 1110-1-1904. The comparison is made throughout the basemat in both the east-west and north-south directions. If the predicted angular distortion of the NI common basemat structure is less than the angular distortion shown for each of the construction steps, the site is considered acceptable. Otherwise, further analysis will be required to demonstrate that the structural design is adequate.	3.8.5.5.1
3.8-19	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will compare the EPGB site-specific predicted angular distortion to the angular distortion in the total differential settlement contours in Figure 3.8-135, using methods described in U.S. Army Engineering Manual 1110-1-1904. The comparison is made throughout the basemat in both the east-west and north-south directions. If the predicted angular distortion of the basemat of EPGB structures is less than the angular distortion shown, the site is considered acceptable. Otherwise, further analysis will be required to demonstrate that the structural design is adequate.	3.8.5.5.2
3.8-20	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will compare the ESWB site-specific predicted angular distortion to the angular distortion in the total differential settlement contours in Figure 3.8-136, using methods described in U.S. Army Engineering Manual 1110-1-1904. The comparison is made throughout the basemat in both the east-west and north-south directions. If the predicted angular distortion of the basemat of ESWB structures is less than the angular distortion shown, the site is considered acceptable. Otherwise, further analysis will be required to demonstrate that the structural design is adequate.	3.8.5.5.3
3.8-21	A combined license (COL) applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will include in its normal radiation protection program administrative controls to ensure the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1601(d) and 10 CFR 20.1602 are met through periodic testing of reactor containment building doors (i.e., every 24 months).	3.8.3.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 14 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.9-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit the results from the vibration assessment program for the U.S. EPR RPV internals, in accordance with RG 1.20.	3.9.2.4
3.9-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will prepare the design specifications and design reports for site specific ASME Class 1, 2, and 3 components, piping, supports and core support structures that comply with and are certified to the requirements of Section III of the ASME Code. The COL applicant will address the results and conclusions from the reactor internals material reliability programs applicable to the U.S. EPR reactor internals with regard to known aging degradation mechanisms such as irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking and void swelling addressed in Section 4.5.2.1.	3.9.3
3.9-3	Deleted.	Deleted
3.9-4	As noted in ANP-10264NP-A, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe essential elements of a program to confirm that thermal deflections do not create adverse conditions during hot functional testing.	3.9.3.1.1
3.9-5	As noted in ANP-10264NP-A, should a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification find it necessary to route Class 1, 2, and 3 piping not included in the U.S. EPR design certification so that it is exposed to wind, hurricane, and tornadoes, the design must withstand the plant design-basis loads for this event.	3.9.3.1.1
3.9-6	A COL applicant that references the US EPR design certification will identify any additional site-specific valves in Table 3.9.6-2 to be included within the scope of the IST program.	3.9.6.3
3.9-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit the preservice testing (PST) program and IST program for pumps, valves, and snubbers as required by 10 CFR 50.55a.	3.9.6
3.9-8	A COL applicant that references the US EPR design certification will identify any additional site-specific pumps in Table 3.9.6-1 to be included within the scope of the IST program.	3.9.6.2
3.9-9	COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will either use a piping analysis program based on the computer codes described in Section 3.9.1 and Appendix 3C or will implement a U.S. EPR benchmark program using models specifically selected for the U.S. EPR.	3.9.1.2
3.9-10	Pipe stress and support analysis will be performed by a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification.	3.9.1.2
3.9-11	Deleted.	Deleted

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 15 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.9-12	A COL applicant that references the U.S.EPR design certification will provide a table identifying the safety-related systems and components that use snubbers in their support systems, including the number of snubbers, type (hydraulic or mechanical), applicable standard, and function (shock, vibration, or dual-purpose snubber). For snubbers identified as either a dual-purpose or vibration arrester type, the COL applicant shall indicate whether the snubber or component was evaluated for fatigue strength.	3.9.6.4
3.9-13	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the implementation milestones and applicable ASME OM Code for the preservice and inservice examination and testing programs. These programs will be consistent with the requirements in the latest edition and addenda of the OM Code incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a on the date 12 months before the date for initial fuel load.	3.9.6
3.9-14	Deleted.	Deleted
3.10-1	Deleted.	Deleted
3.10-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify any additional site specific components that need to be added to the equipment list in Table 3.10-1.	3.10.1.1
3.10-3	If the seismic and dynamic qualification testing is incomplete at the time of the COL application, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit an implementation program, including milestones and completion dates, for NRC review and approval prior to installation of the applicable equipment.	3.10.4
3.11-1	Deleted.	Deleted
3.11-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify additional site specific components that need to be added to the environmental qualification list in Table 3.11-1.	3.11.1.1.3
3.11-3	If the equipment qualification testing is incomplete at the time of the COL application, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit an implementation program, including milestones and completion dates, for NRC review and approval prior to installation of the applicable equipment.	3.11.3

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 16 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.12-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a review of the impact of contributing mass of supports on the piping analysis following the final support design to confirm that the mass of the support is no more than ten percent of the mass of the adjacent pipe span. If the impact review determines the existing piping analysis does not bound the additional mass of the pipe support, the COL applicant will perform reanalysis of the piping to include the additional mass.	3.12.4.2
3.12-2	As indicated in Section 5.3 of topical report ANP-10264NP-A, pipe and support stress analysis will be performed by the COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification. If the COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification chooses to use a piping analysis program other than those listed in Section 5.1 of the topical report, the COL applicant will implement a benchmark program using models specifically selected for the U.S. EPR.	3.12.4.3
3.12-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe essential elements of a program to monitor the RHR/SIS/ EBS injection piping from the RCS to the first isolation valve (all four trains), and RHR/SIS suction piping from the RCS to the first isolation valve (trains 1 and 4) during the first cycle of the first U.S. EPR initial plant operation to verify that operating conditions have been considered in the design unless data from a similar plant’s operation demonstrates that thermal oscillation is not a concern for piping connected to the RCS.	3.12.5.9
3.12-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe essential elements of a program to monitor pressurizer surge line temperatures during the first fuel cycle of initial plant operation to verify that the design transients for the surge line are representative of actual plant operations.	3.12.5.10.1
3.12-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe essential elements of a program to monitor the normal spray line temperatures during the first cycle of the first U.S. EPR initial plant operation to verify that the design transients for the normal spray are representative of actual plan operations unless data from a similar plant’s operation determines that monitoring is not warranted.	3.12.5.10.3
3.12-6	Deleted.	Deleted

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 17 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
3.13-1	A COL applicant referencing the U.S. EPR design certification will submit the inservice inspection program for ASME Code Class 1, Class 2, and Class 3 threaded fasteners, to the NRC prior to performing the first inspection. The program will identify the applicable edition and addenda of ASME Section XI and ensure compliance with the requirements of 10CFR50.55a(b)(2)(xxvii).	3.13.2
3E-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will address critical sections relevant to site-specific Seismic Category I structures.	3E
5.2-1	Deleted.	
5.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify additional ASME code cases to be used.	5.2.1.2
5.2-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the implementation milestones for the site-specific ASME Section XI preservice and inservice inspection program for the reactor coolant pressure boundary, consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a (g). The program will identify the applicable edition and addenda of the ASME Code Section XI, and will identify additional relief requests and alternatives to Code requirements.	5.2.4
5.2-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will develop procedures in accordance with RG 1.45, Revision 1.	5.2.5.5
5.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the implementation milestones for the material surveillance program.	5.3.1.6
5.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a plant-specific pressure and temperature limits report (PTLR), consistent with an approved methodology.	5.3.2.1
5.3-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide plant-specific RT _{PTS} values in accordance with 10 CFR 50.61 for vessel beltline materials.	5.3.2.3
5.3-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide plant-specific surveillance data to benchmark BAW-2241P-A and demonstrate applicability to the specific plant.	5.3.1.6.2
5.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the edition and addenda of ASME Section XI applicable to the site specific Steam Generator inspection program.	5.4.2.5.2.2

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 18 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
5.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will assess the risk (impact on the PRA and risk significant human actions) associated with the use of nozzle dams if they are to be used for normal refueling outages.	5.4.7.2.1
6.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will review the fabrication and welding procedures and other QA methods of ESF component vendors to verify conformance with RGs 1.44 and 1.31.	6.1.1.1
6.1-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will define a coating application and maintenance program for components that cannot be procured with DBA qualified coatings in accordance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion IX.	6.1.2.3.2
6.1-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will define the coatings program and its implementation, including maintenance and repair of coatings.	6.1.2.2.2
6.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the implementation milestones for the CLRT program described under 10 CFR 50, Appendix J.	6.2.6
6.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the containment cleanliness program which limits debris within containment.	6.3.2.2.2
6.4-1	Deleted.	Deleted
6.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide written emergency planning and procedures in the event of a radiological or a hazardous chemical release within or near the plant, and will provide training of control room personnel.	6.4.3
6.4-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will evaluate the results of the toxic chemical accidents from Section 2.2.3, address their impact on control room habitability in accordance with RG 1.78, and if necessary, identify the types of sensors and automatic control functions required for control room operator protection.	6.4.1
6.4-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the radiation exposure of main control room occupants resulting from a design basis accident at a nearby unit on a multi-unit site is bounded by the radiation exposure from the postulated design basis accidents analyzed for the U.S. EPR; or confirm that the limits of GDC-19 are met.	6.4.4

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 19 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
6.6-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the implementation milestones for the site-specific ASME Section XI preservice and inservice inspection program for the Class 2 and Class 3 components, consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a (g). The program will identify the applicable edition and addenda of the ASME Code Section XI, and will identify additional relief requests and alternatives to Code requirements.	6.6
7.1-1	Deleted.	Deleted
7.1-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will, following selection of the actual plant operating instrumentation and calculation of the instrumentation uncertainties of the operating plant parameters calculate the primary power calorimetric uncertainty. The calculations will be completed using an NRC acceptable method and confirm that the safety analysis primary power calorimetric uncertainty bounds the calculated values.	7.7.2.3.5
7.1-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the need for any site-specific PAM variables.	7.5.2.2.1
7.1-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will establish a plan to address the site-specific implementation of the limitations and conditions identified in Section 4 of the NRC Safety Evaluation for Topical Report ANP-10272A, “Software Program Manual for TELEPERM XS Safety Systems.”	7.1.1.2.2
8.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information describing the interface between the offsite transmission system, and the nuclear unit, including switchyard interconnections.	8.1.1
8.1-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify site-specific loading differences that raise EDG or Class 1E battery loading, and demonstrate the electrical distribution system is adequately sized for the additional load.	8.1.3
8.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information regarding the offsite transmission system and connections to the station switchyard; including consideration of NRC Bulletin 2012-01 to address detection, notification, and clearing of an open single phase condition or high impedance ground fault in the offsite circuits.	8.2.1.1
8.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for the switchyard layout design.	8.2.1.2

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 20 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
8.2-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information that identifies actions necessary to restore offsite power and use available nearby power sources when offsite power is unavailable.	8.2.2.7
8.2-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a site-specific grid stability analysis.	8.2.2.4
8.2-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for the protective devices that control the switchyard breakers and other switchyard relay devices.	8.2.1.2
8.2-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for the station switchyard equipment inspection and testing plan.	8.2.2.5
8.2-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site specific information regarding the communication agreements and protocols between the station and the transmission system operator, independent system operator, or reliability coordinator/authority. Additionally, the applicant will provide a description of the analysis tool used by the transmission system operator to determine, in real time, the impact that the loss or unavailability of various transmission system elements will have on the condition of the transmission system to provide post-trip voltages at the switchyard. The information provided will be consistent with information requested in NRC generic letter 2006-02.	8.2.1.1
8.2-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information regarding indication and control of switchyard components.	8.2.1.2
8.2-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe essential elements of a program for the operation and surveillance testing of the Phase Monitoring System for the GDC 17 off-site power feeds to address NRC Bulletin 2012-01.	8.2.2.4
8.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will establish procedures to monitor and maintain EDG reliability during plant operations to verify the selected reliability level target is being achieved as intended by RG 1.155.	8.3.1.1.5
8.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe inspection, testing and monitoring programs to detect the degradation of inaccessible or underground power cables that support EDGs, offsite power, ESW and other systems that are within the scope of 10 CFR 50.65.	8.3.1.1.9

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 21 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
8.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information that identifies any additional local power sources and transmission paths that could be made available to resupply the power plant following a loss of offsite power (LOOP).	8.4.1.3
8.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will address the RG 1.155 guidance related to procedures and training to cope with SBO.	8.4.2.6.4
9.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information on the heavy load handling program, including a commitment to procedures for heavy load lifts in the vicinity of irradiated fuel or safe shutdown equipment, and crane operator training and qualification.	9.1.5.2.5
9.1-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform appropriate tests and analyses, which demonstrate that an identified NRC-approved cask can be safely connected to the spent fuel cask transfer facility (SFCTF), and the cask and its adapter meet the criteria specified in Table 9.1.4-1, prior to initial fuel loading into the reactor.	9.1.4
9.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site specific information for the UHS support systems such as makeup water, blowdown, and chemical treatment (to control biofouling).	9.2.5.2
9.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific details related to the sources and treatment of makeup to the potable and sanitary water system along with a simplified piping and instrument diagram.	9.2.4.2.1
9.2-3	The raw water supply system (RWSS) and the design requirements of the RWSS are site-specific and will be addressed by the COL applicant.	9.2.9
9.2-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description of materials that will be used for the essential service water system (ESWS) at their site location, including the basis for determining that the materials being used are appropriate for the site location and for fluid properties that apply.	9.2.1.3.5
9.2-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description of materials that will be used for the UHS at their site location, including the basis for determining that the materials being used are appropriate for the site location and for the fluid properties that apply.	9.2.5.2

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 22 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
9.2-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm by analysis of the highest average site-specific wet bulb and dry bulb temperatures over a 72-hour period from a 30-year hourly regional climatological data set that the site-specific evaporative and drift losses for the UHS are bounded by the values presented in Table 9.2.5-3.	9.2.5.3.3
9.2-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site characteristic sum of 0% exceedance maximum non-coincident wet bulb temperature and the site-specific wet bulb correction factor does not exceed the value provided in Table 9.2.5-2. If the value in Table 9.2.5-2 is exceeded, the maximum UHS cold-water return temperature of 95°F is to be confirmed by analysis (see Section 9.2.5.3.3).	9.2.5.3.1
9.2-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific UHS makeup capacity is sufficient to meet the maximum evaporative and drift water loss after 72 hours through the remainder of the 30-day period consistent with RG 1.27.	9.2.5.3.3
9.2-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will compare site-specific chemistry data for normal and emergency makeup water to the parameters in Table 9.2.5-5. If the specific data for the site fall within the assumed design parameters in Table 9.2.5-5, then the U.S. EPR standard design is bounding for the site. For site-specific normal and emergency makeup water data or characteristics that are outside the bounds of the assumptions presented in Table 9.2.5-5, the COL applicant will provide an analysis to confirm that the U.S. EPR UHS cooling towers are capable of removing the design basis heat load for a minimum of 30 days without exceeding the maximum specified temperature limit for ESWS and minimum required basin water level.	9.2.5.2
9.2-10	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform an evaluation of the interference effects of the UHS cooling tower on nearby safety-related air intakes. This evaluation will confirm that potential UHS cooling tower interference effects on the safety related air intakes does not result in air intake inlet conditions that exceed the U.S. EPR Site Design Parameters for Air Temperature as specified in Table 2.1-1.	9.2.5.3.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 23 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
9.2-11	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the maximum UHS cold-water return temperature of 95°F is met by an analysis that confirms that the worst combination of site-specific wet bulb and dry bulb temperatures over a 24-hour period, from a 30-year hourly regional climatological data set, is bounded by the values presented in Table 9.2.5-4.	9.2.5.3.3
9.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific design information for the turbine building ventilation system (TBVS).	9.4.4
9.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific design information for the switchgear building ventilation system, turbine island (SWBVS).	9.4.4
9.5-1	A COL applicant referencing the U.S. EPR certified design will identify additional site-specific communication locations necessary to support effective communication between plant personnel in all vital areas of the plant during normal operation, as well as during accident conditions.	9.5.2.3
9.5-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.1.7.1, Design and Procurement Document Control.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.1.7.1
9.5-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.1.7.2, Instructions, Procedures and Drawings.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.1.7.2
9.5-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.1.7.3, Control of Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.1.7.3
9.5-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.1.8, Fire Protection Program Changes/Code Deviations.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.1.8
9.5-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.1.8.1, Change Evaluations.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.1.8.1
9.5-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.1.8.5, 10 CFR 50.72 Notification and 10 CFR 50.73 Reporting.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.1.8.5

Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 24 of 39

Item No.	Description	Section
9.5-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.1.8.7, Fire Modeling.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.1.8.7
9.5-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.5.5, Post-Fire Safe-Shutdown Procedures.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.5.5
9.5-10	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.5.5.1, Safe-Shutdown Procedures.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.5.5.1
9.5-11	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.5.5.2, Alternative/Dedicated Shutdown Procedures.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.5.5.2
9.5-12	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.5.5.3, Repair Procedures.	Table 9.5.1-1 C.5.5.3
9.5-13	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.6.2.4, Independent Spent Fuel Storage Areas.	Table 9.5.1-1, C.6.2.4
9.5-14	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address the Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.6.2.6, Cooling Towers.	Table 9.5.1-1, C.6.2.6 9.5.1.2.1
9.5-15	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit site specific information to address Regulatory Guide 1.189, Regulatory Position C.7.6, Nearby Facilities.	Table 9.5.1-1, C.7.6
9.5-16	Deleted.	Deleted
9.5-17	Deleted.	Deleted
9.5-18	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a supplemental Fire Protection Analysis for site-specific areas of the plant not analyzed by the FSAR.	9.5.1.3
9.5-19	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description and simplified Fire Protection System piping and instrumentation diagrams for site-specific systems.	9.5.1.2.1
9.5-20	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the program used to monitor and maintain an acceptable level of quality in the fire protection system freshwater storage tanks.	9.5.1.2.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 25 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
9.5-21	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a description of the offsite communication system that interfaces with the onsite communication system, including type of connectivity, radio frequency, normal and backup power supplies and plant security system interface.	9.5.2.1.1
9.5-22	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the site-specific sources of acceptable fuel oil available for refilling the EDG fuel oil storage tanks within seven days, including the means of transporting and refilling the fuel storage tanks, following a design basis event to enable each diesel generator system to supply uninterrupted emergency power.	9.5.4.4
10.0-1	Deleted.	Deleted
10.2.1	Deleted.	Deleted
10.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide applicable material properties of the site-specific turbine rotor, including the method of calculating the fracture toughness properties.	10.2.3.1
10.2-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide applicable site-specific turbine disk rotor specimen test data, load-displacement data from the compact tension specimens and the fracture toughness properties.	10.2.3.2
10.2.4	Deleted.	Deleted
10.2-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the site-specific turbine rotor inservice inspection program and inspection interval consistent with the manufacturer's turbine missile analysis.	10.2.3.6
10.2-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will include ultrasonic examination of the turbine rotor welds or provide an analysis which demonstrates defects in the root of the rotor welds will not grow to critical size for the life of the rotor.	10.2.3.6
10.2-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the site-specific inservice inspection program, inspection intervals, and exercise intervals consistent with the turbine manufacturer's recommendations for the main steam stop and control valves, the reheat stop and intercept valves, and the extraction non-return valves.	10.2.2.12
10.2-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a reliability evaluation of the overspeed protection system, which includes the inspection, testing, and maintenance requirements needed to demonstrate reliable performance of the system.	10.2.2.9

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 26 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
10.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the authority responsible for implementation and management of the secondary side water chemistry program.	10.3.5
10.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe essential elements of a FAC condition monitoring program that is consistent with Generic Letter 89-08 and NSAC-202L-R3 for the carbon steel portions of the steam and power conversion systems that contain water or wet steam.	10.3.6.3
10.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the site-specific main condenser materials.	10.4.1.2
10.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the site-specific design pressure and test pressure for the main condenser.	10.4.1.2
10.4-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the description of the site-specific portions of the CWS.	10.4.5.2.1
10.4-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the specific chemicals used within the chemical treatment system as determined by the site-specific water conditions.	10.4.5.2.2
10.4-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the site-specific CWS piping design pressure.	10.4.5.2.2
10.4-6	If a vacuum priming system is required, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the site-specific information.	10.4.5.2.2
10.4-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide information to address the potential for flooding of safety-related equipment due to failures of the site-specific CWS,	10.4.5.3
11.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a site-specific liquid waste management system cost-benefit analysis.	11.2.4
11.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information on the release pathway, including a detailed description of the discharge path and plant sources of dilution, the need for backflow prevention to the retention pond, the discharge flow rate, and dilution factors at or beyond the point of discharge.	11.2.3.3

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 27 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
11.2-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific parameters are bounded by those provided in Table 11.2-5 and the dose pathways provided in Section 11.2.3.4.1. For site-specific parameters that are not bounded by the values provided in Table 11.2-5 and dose pathways other than those provided in Section 11.2.3.4.1, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a site-specific liquid pathway dose analysis following the guidance provided in RG 1.109 and RG 1.113, and compare the doses to the numerical design objectives of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I and demonstrate compliance with requirements of 10 CFR Part 20.1302 and 40 CFR Part 190.	11.2.3.4.2
11.2-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific annual average liquid effluent concentrations are bounded by those specified in Table 11.2-7. For site-specific annual average liquid effluent concentrations that exceed the values provided in Table 11.2-7, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will demonstrate that the annual average liquid effluent concentrations for expected and design basis conditions meet the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2 in unrestricted areas.	11.2.3.5
11.2-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific data (such as distance from release location to unrestricted area, contaminant migration time, and dispersion and dilution in surface or ground water) are bounded by those specified in Section 11.2.3.7. For site-specific parameters that exceed the values provided in Section 11.2.3.7, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a site-specific analysis to demonstrate that the resulting water concentrations in the unrestricted area would meet the concentration limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2 using the guidance provided in SRP Sections 2.4.12, 2.4.13, 11.2 and BTP 11-6.	11.2.3.7
11.2-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification and that chooses to install and operate mobile skid-mounted processing systems connected to permanently installed LWMS processing equipment will include plant and site-specific information describing how design features and implementation of operating procedures for the LWMS will address the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20.1406(b) and guidance of SRP Section 11.2, RG 4.21 and 1.143, IE Bulletin 80-10, NEI 08-08 and all quality assurance requirements as stated in Section 4.3 of ANSI/ANS 55.6-1993.	11.2.1.2.4

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 28 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
11.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a site-specific gaseous waste management system cost-benefit analysis.	11.3.4
11.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a discussion of the onsite vent stack design parameters and site-specific release point characteristics.	11.3.3.3
11.3-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific parameters are bounded by those provided in Table 11.3-4 and the dose pathways provided in Section 11.3.3.4. For site-specific parameters that are not bounded by the values provided in Table 11.3-4 and dose pathways other than those provided in Section 11.3.3.4, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform a site-specific gaseous pathway dose analysis following the guidance provided in RG 1.109 and RG 1.111, and compare the doses to the numerical design objectives of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I and demonstrate compliance with requirements of 10 CFR Part 20.1302 and 40 CFR Part 190.	11.3.3.4
11.3-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific annual average gaseous effluent concentrations are bounded by those specified in Table 11.3-6. For site-specific annual average gaseous effluent concentrations that exceed the values provided in Table 11.3-6, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will demonstrate that the annual average gaseous effluent concentrations for expected and design basis conditions meet the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2 in unrestricted areas.	11.3.3.5
11.3-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the site-specific accident atmospheric dispersion data is bounded by the values provided in Table 2.1-1. For site-specific accident atmospheric dispersion data that exceed the values provided in Table 2.1-1, a COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a site-specific analysis demonstrating that the resulting dose at the exclusion area boundary associated with a radioactive release due to gaseous waste system leak or failure does not exceed 0.1 rem in accordance with SRP Section 11.3, BTP 11-5.	11.3.3.6

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 29 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
11.3-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification and that chooses to install and operate mobile skid-mounted processing systems connected to permanently installed GWMS processing equipment will include plant and site-specific information describing how design features and implementation of operating procedures for the GWMS will address the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20.1406(b) and guidance of SRP Section 11.3, RG 4.21, RG, 1.143, IE Bulletin 80-10, and NEI 08-08.	11.3.1.2.4
11.4-1	A COL Applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will fully describe, at the functional level, elements of the Process Control Program (PCP). This program description will identify the administrative and operational controls for waste processing process parameters and surveillance requirements which demonstrate that the final waste products meet the requirements of applicable federal, state, and disposal site waste form requirements for burial at a 10 CFR 61 licensed low level disposal site, toxic or hazardous waste requirements per 10 CFR 20.2007, and will be in accordance with the guidance provided in RG 1.21, NUREG-0800 Branch Technical Position 11-3, ANSI/ANS-55.1-1992, and Generic Letters 80-09, 81-38, and 81-39. NEI 07-10A PCP Template is an alternate means of demonstrating compliance with GL 89-01 and SECY 05-0197 until a plant specific PCP is developed under license conditions.	11.4.3
11.4-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification and that chooses to install and operate mobile skid-mounted processing systems connected to permanently installed solid waste management system (SWMS) processing equipment will include plant and site-specific information describing how design features and implementation of operating procedures for the SWMS will address the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20.1406(b) and guidance of SRP Section 11.4, Regulatory Guides 4.21 and 1.143, IE Bulletin 80-10, industry standards, NEI 08-08, and all quality assurance requirements as stated in Section 7 of ANSI/ANS 40.37-1993.	11.4.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 30 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
11.4-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will address plant-specific commitments to address the long-term storage of LLRW beyond the provisions described in the U.S. EPR design certification when such storage capacity is exhausted and describe how additional onsite LLRW storage or alternate LLRW storage will be integrated in plant operations. To address the need for additional storage, the commitment will address the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B (Table 2, Column 1 and 2); dose limits of 10 CFR 20.1301, 20.1302, and 20.1301(e) in unrestricted areas; Part 20.1406(b) in minimizing the contamination of plant facilities and environs; and design objectives of Sections II.A, II.B, II.C, and II.D of Appendix I to 10 CFR Part 50. The design and operations of additional onsite storage capacity will be integrated in the plant-specific process control program and consider the guidance of SRP Section 11.4 and Appendix 11.4-A, Regulatory Guides 1.206, 4.21 and 1.143, IE Bulletin 80-10, industry standards, and NEI 08-08.	11.4.1
11.5-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will fully describe, at the functional level, elements of the process and effluent monitoring and sampling programs required by 10 CFR 50 Appendix I, and 10 CFR 52.79 (a)(16). This program description, Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), will specify how a licensee controls, monitors, and performs radiological evaluations of releases. The program will also document and report radiological effluents discharged to the environment. NEI 07-09A is an alternate means of demonstrating compliance with GL 89-01 and SECY 05-0197 until a plant and site-specific ODCM is developed under a license condition.	11.5.2
11.5-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification and that chooses to install and operate skid-mounted radiation monitoring and sampling systems connected to permanently installed radioactive process and waste management systems will include plant-specific information describing how design features and implementation of operating procedures for the PERMSS will address the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20.1406(b) and guidance of SRP Section 11.5, Regulatory Guides 4.21 and 1.143, IE Bulletin 80-10, ANSI/HPS-13.1-1999 and ANSI N42.18-2004, and NEI 08-08.	11.5.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 31 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
11.5-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification is responsible for deriving PERMSS subsystem’s lower limits of detection or detection sensitivities, and set-points (alarms and process termination/diversion) for liquid and gaseous process radiation monitoring equipment not covered by the ODCM based on plant and site-specific conditions and operating characteristics of each installed radiation monitoring subsystem.	11.5.2
11.5-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification is responsible for developing a plant-specific process and effluent radiological sampling and analysis plan for systems not covered by the ODCM, including provisions describing sampling and analytical frequencies, and radiological analyses for the expected types of liquid and gaseous samples and waste media generated by the LWMS, GWMS, and SWMS.	11.5.2
12.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will fully describe, at a functional level, elements of the ALARA program for ensuring that occupational radiation exposures are ALARA. This program will comply with provisions of 10 CFR 20 and be consistent with the guidance in RGs 1.8, 8.2, 8.7, 8.8, 8.9, 8.10, 8.13, 8.15, 8.27, 8.28, 8.29, 8.34, 8.35, 8.36, and 8.38, and the applicable portions of NUREG-1736.	12.1.3
12.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for required radiation sources containing byproduct, source, and special nuclear material that may warrant shielding design considerations. This site-specific information will include a listing of isotope, quantity, form, and use of all sources in this latter category that exceed 100 millicuries.	12.2.1.13
12.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information on the extent to which the guidance provided by RG 1.21, 1.97, 8.2, 8.8, and ANSI/HPS-N13.1-1999 is employed in sampling recording and reporting airborne releases of radioactivity.	12.3.4.5
12.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information on estimated annual doses to construction workers in a new unit construction area as a result of radiation from onsite radiation sources from the existing operating plant(s). This information will include bases, models, assumptions, and input parameters associated with these annual doses.	12.3.5.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 32 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
12.3-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the use of portable instruments, and the associated training and procedures, to accurately determine the airborne iodine concentration within the facility where plant personnel may be present during an accident, in accordance with requirements of 10 CFR 50.34(f)(2)(xxvii) and the criteria in Item III.D.3.3 of NUREG-0737. The procedures for locating suspected high-activity areas will be described.	12.3.4.5
12.5-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will fully describe, at the functional level, elements of the Radiation Protection Program. The purpose of the Radiation Protection Program is to maintain occupational and public doses ALARA. The program description will identify how the program is developed, documented, and implemented through plant procedures that address quality requirements commensurate with the scope and extent of licensed activities. This program will comply with the provisions of 10 CFR Parts 19, 20, 50, 52, and 71 and be consistent with the guidance in RGs 1.206, 1.8, 8.2, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6, 8.7, 8.8, 8.9, 8.10, 8.13, 8.15, 8.27, 8.28, 8.29, 8.34, 8.35, 8.36, 8.38, and the consolidated guidance in NUREG-1736.	12.5
13.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for management, technical support, and operating organizations.	13.1
13.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for training programs for plant personnel.	13.2
13.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will assess their training program to demonstrate that the spent fuel pool instrumentation will be maintained available and reliable in an extended loss of AC power. Personnel shall be trained in the use and the provision of alternate power to the safety-related level instrument channels.	13.2
13.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a site-specific emergency plan in accordance with 10 CFR 50.47 and 10 CFR 50 Appendix E.	13.3
13.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will address the Requested Information in Fukushima Recommendation 9.3 regarding Emergency Preparedness Communications and Staffing as outlined in Enclosure 5 of the request for additional information pursuant to the 10 CFR 50.54(f) letter dated March 12, 2012 (ML12053A340).	13.3

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 33 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
13.4-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for operational programs and schedule for implementation.	13.4
13.5-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for administrative, operating, emergency, maintenance, and other operating procedures.	13.5
13.6-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a site-specific security assessment that adequately demonstrates how the performance requirements of 10 CFR 73.55(a) are met for the initial implementation of the security program.	13.6
13.6-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a security plan to the NRC to fulfill the requirements of 10 CFR 52.79(a)(35).	13.6
13.6-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a security program, through the PSP and supporting documents such as the vital equipment list and the vital areas list, that incorporates the security features listed in the U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 13.6.	13.6
13.6-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a cyber security plan consistent with 10 CFR 73.54.	13.6
13.7-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will submit a physical security plan to the NRC to fulfill the fitness for duty requirements of 10 CFR 26.	13.7
14.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR certified design will provide site specific information that describes the organizational units that manage, supervise, or execute any phase of the test program.	14.2.2

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 34 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
14.2-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR certified design will develop a test program that considers the following guidance components: 1. The applicant should allow at least nine months to conduct preoperational testing. 2. The applicant should allow at least three months to conduct startup testing, including fuel loading, low power tests, and power ascension tests. 3. Plant safety will not be dependent on the performance of untested SSC during any phase of the startup test program. 4. Surveillance test requirements will be completed in accordance with plant Technical Specification requirements for SSC operability before changing plant modes. 5. Overlapping test program schedules (for multi-unit sites) should not result in significant divisions of responsibilities or dilutions of the staff provided to implement the test program. 6. The sequential schedule for individual startup tests should establish, insofar as practicable, that test requirements should be completed prior to exceeding 25 percent power for SSC that are relied upon to prevent, limit, or mitigate the consequences of postulated accidents. 7. Approved test procedures should be in a form suitable for review by regulatory inspectors at least 60 days prior to their intended use or at least 60 days prior to fuel loading for fuel loading and startup test procedures. 8. Identify and cross reference each test (or portion thereof) required to be completed before initial fuel loading and that is designed to satisfy the requirements for completing ITAAC.	14.2.11
14.2-3	A COL Applicant that references the US EPR design certification will provide site-specific information for review and approval of test procedures.	14.2.3
14.2-4	A COL Applicant that references the US EPR design certification will address the site-specific administrative procedures for review and approval of test results.	14.2.5
14.2-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific test abstract information for the circulating water supply system.	14.2.12.7.11
14.2-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR certified design will either perform the natural circulation test (Test #196) or provide justification for not performing the test. The need to perform the test will be based on evaluation of previous natural circulation test results and a comparison of reactor coolant system (RCS) hydraulic resistance coefficients applicable to normal flow conditions.	14.2.12
14.2-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific test abstract information for the cooling tower.	14.2.12.21.6

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 35 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
14.2-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific test abstract information for the raw water supply system.	14.2.12.15.1
14.2-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific test abstract information for personnel radiation monitors.	14.2.12
14.2-10	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will plan, and subsequently conduct, the plant startup test program.	14.2.4
14.2-11	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the specific operator training to be conducted as part of the low-power testing program related to the resolution of TMI Action Plan Item I.G.1, as described in (1) NUREG-0660 -NRC Action Plans Developed as a Result of the TMI-2 Accident, Revision 1, August 1980, (2) NUREG-0694 - TMI-Related Requirements for New Operating Licenses, June 1980, and (3) NUREG-0737 - Clarification of TMI Action Plan requirements.	14.2.9
14.2-12	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific test abstract information for plant laboratory equipment.	14.2.12.8.18
14.2-13	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide site-specific test abstract information for the turbine island ventilation systems.	14.2.12.8.15
14.3-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide ITAAC for emergency planning, physical security, and site-specific portions of the facility that are not included in the Tier 1 ITAAC associated with the certified design (10 CFR 52.80(a)).	14.3
14.3-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the selection methodology for site-specific SSC to be included in ITAAC, if the selection methodology is different from the methodology described within the FSAR, and will also provide the selection methodology associated with emergency planning and physical security hardware.	14.3
14.3-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify a plan for implementing DAC. The plan will identify 1) the evaluations that will be performed for DAC, 2) the schedule for performing these evaluations, and 3) the associated design processes and information that will be available to the NRC for audit.	14.3

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 36 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
15.0-1	<p>A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide for staff review a report that demonstrates compliance with the following items applicable to the first cycle of operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Examine fuel assembly characteristics to verify that they are hydraulically compatible based on the criterion that a single package of assembly specific critical heat flux (CHF) correlations can be used to evaluate the assembly performance. ● Verify that uncertainties used in the setpoint analyses are appropriate for the plant and cycle being analyzed. ● Verify that the DNBR and LPD satisfy SAFDL with a 95/95 assurance. ● Review the U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2 analysis results for the first cycle to confirm that the static setpoint value provides adequate protection for at least three limiting AOO. 	15.0.0.3.9
16.0-1	<p>Reviewer’s Notes and brackets are used to identify information or characteristics that are plant specific or are based on preliminary design information. A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the necessary information in response to the Reviewer’s Notes and replace preliminary information provided in brackets of the Technical Specifications and Technical Specification Bases with plant specific values.</p>	16.0
17.2-1	<p>A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the Quality Assurance Programs associated with the construction and operations phases.</p>	17.2
17.4-1	<p>A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify the site-specific SSC within the scope of the RAP.</p>	17.4.2
17.4-2	<p>A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the information requested in Regulatory Guide 1.206, Section C.I.17.4.4.</p>	17.4.4
17.6-1	<p>A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the process for determining which plant structures, systems, and components (SSC) will be included in the scope of the Maintenance Rule Program in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65(b). The program description will identify that additional SSC functions may be added to or subtracted from the Maintenance Rule scope prior to fuel load, when additional information is developed (e.g., emergency operating procedures, or EOP), and after the license is issued.</p>	17.6.1

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 37 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
17.6-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide the process for determining which SSC within the scope of the Maintenance Rule program will be tracked to demonstrate effective control of their performance or condition in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65(a)(2).	17.6.2
17.6-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will provide a program description for monitoring SSC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65(a)(1).	17.6.2
17.6-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will identify and describe the program for periodic evaluation of the Maintenance Rule program in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65(a)(3).	17.6.3
17.6-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the program for maintenance risk assessment and management in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65(a)(4). Since the removal of multiple SSC from service can lead to a loss of Maintenance Rule functions, the program description will address how removing SSC from service will be evaluated. For qualitative risk assessments, the program description will explain how the risk assessment and management program will preserve plant-specific key safety functions.	17.6.4
17.6-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the program for selection, training, and qualification of personnel with Maintenance-Rule-related responsibilities consistent with the provisions of Section 13.2 as applicable. Training will be commensurate with maintenance rule responsibilities, including Maintenance Rule Program administration, the expert panel process, operations, engineering, maintenance, licensing, and plant management.	17.6.5
17.6-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the relationship and interface between Maintenance Rule Program and the Reliability Assurance Program.	17.6.6
17.6-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the plan or process for implementing the Maintenance Rule Program as described in the COL application, which includes establishing program elements through sequence and milestones and monitoring or tracking the performance and/or condition of SSC as they become operational.	17.6.8
17.6-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the program for Maintenance Rule implementation.	17.6

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 38 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
18.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will execute the NRC approved HFE program as described in this section.	18.1
18.1-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will be responsible for HFE design implementation for a new Emergency Operations Facility (EOF) or changes resulting from the addition of the U.S. EPR to an existing EOF.	18.1.1.3
18.5-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design will confirm that actual staffing levels and qualifications of plant personnel specified in Section 13.1 of the COL application remain bounded by regulatory requirements and results of the staffing and qualifications analysis.	18.5
18.8-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe how HFE principles and criteria are incorporated into the development program for site procedures.	18.8
18.9-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe how HFE principles and criteria are incorporated into the development of training program scope, structure, and methodology.	18.9
19.0-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will either confirm that the PRA in the design certification bounds the site-specific design information and any design changes or departures, or update the PRA to reflect the site-specific design information and any design changes or departures.	19.0
19.1-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the uses of PRA in support of licensee programs and identify and describe risk-informed applications being implemented during the combined license application phase.	19.1.1.2
19.1-2	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the uses of PRA in support of licensee programs and identify and describe risk-informed applications being implemented during the construction phase.	19.1.1.3
19.1-3	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the uses of PRA in support of licensee programs and identify and describe any risk-informed applications being implemented during the operational phase.	19.1.1.4
19.1-4	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will conduct a peer review of the PRA relative to the ASME PRA Standard prior to use of the PRA to support risk-informed applications.	19.1.2.3

**Table 1.8-2—U.S. EPR Combined License Information Items
Sheet 39 of 39**

Item No.	Description	Section
19.1-5	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the applicant’s PRA maintenance and upgrade program.	19.1.2.4.1
19.1-6	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will confirm that the U.S. EPR PRA-based seismic margin assessment is bounding for their specific site, and will update it to include site-specific SSC and soil effects (including sliding, overturning liquefaction and slope failure).	19.1.5.1.2.4
19.1-7	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will perform the site-specific screening analysis and the site-specific risk analysis for external events applicable to their site.	19.1.5.4
19.1-8	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the uses of PRA in support of site-specific design programs and processes during the design phase.	19.1.1.1
19.1-9	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will describe the process to review as-designed and as-built information and conduct walk-downs as necessary to confirm that the assumptions used in the PRA (including PRA inputs to RAP and SAMDA) remain valid with respect to internal events, internal flood and fire events (routings and locations of pipe, cable and conduit), and HRA analyses (development of operating procedures, emergency operating procedures and severe accident management guidelines and training), external events including PRA-based seismic margins HCLPF fragilities, and LPSD procedures.	19.1.2.2
19.1-10	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will, for equipment on the SEL, confirm that an acceptable seismic margin is achieved through the seismic qualification implementation program.	19.1.5.1.1.3
19.2-1	A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will develop and implement severe accident management guidelines using the Operating Strategies for Severe Accidents (OSSA) methodology described in U.S. EPR FSAR Section 19.2.5.	19.2.5
19.2-2	AREVA Technical Report ANP-10329 discusses the Phase 1, Phase 2, and Phase 3 actions that are performed to mitigate an ELAP event. A COL applicant that references the U.S. EPR design certification will address the actions listed in Table 19.2-6. The COL applicant will also address obtaining sufficient offsite resources to sustain core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool cooling functions indefinitely.	19.2.8