

**From:** Guzman, Richard  
**Sent:** Monday, August 05, 2013 10:48 AM  
**To:** 'Couture III, Philip'  
**Subject:** RE: Vermont Yankee--Proposed Changes to Relief Request ISI-05 (TAC MF1194)

Phil,

Just to document the outcome of our discussion today, the NRR technical reviewers do not have any objections with the proposed wording "...of the OM Code 2004 Edition through the 2006 addenda..." We acknowledge that the licensee agrees this to mean that the latest edition and addenda of the OM Code are the 2004 edition, including the 2005 addenda and 2006 addenda.

With the understanding that you provide the revised response this week, I expect the SE approving ISI-05 for the 5<sup>th</sup> 10-year ISI interval to be issued by 8/23/13.

**Rich Guzman**  
**Sr. Project Manager**  
**NRR/DORL**  
**US NRC**  
**301-415-1030**  
[Richard.Guzman@nrc.gov](mailto:Richard.Guzman@nrc.gov)

---

**From:** Guzman, Richard  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 01, 2013 3:55 PM  
**To:** 'Couture III, Philip'  
**Subject:** RE: Vermont Yankee--Proposed Changes to Relief Request ISI-05 (TAC MF1194)

Phil –

I've asked the reviewers if they are available for a 10am, 10:30 or 11a call on Monday. I'll let you know which of those times work for us. Below is their perspective on the remaining open issue:

=====  
=====

Yes we think that the 2005 addenda is important to be included when discussing the snubber program.

10 CFR 50.55a(b)(3) states that "...As used in this section references to the OM Code refer to the ASME Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plants, subsections ISTA, ISTB, ISTC, and ISTD, mandatory appendices I and II, and nonmandatory appendices A through H and J, and include the 1996 edition through the 2006 addenda,,,"

10 CFR 50.55a(b)(3)(v)(A) states that "Licensees may use subsection ISTD.....ASME OM Code 1995 edition through the latest edition and addenda incorporated by reference in paragraph (b)(2) of this section [10 CFR 50.55a]..."

The latest edition and addenda of the OM Code are the 2004 edition, including the 2005 addenda and 2006 addenda.

The NRC staff concludes that the licensee needs to reference the 2004 edition, including the 2005 addenda and 2006 addenda.

=====  
=====

**Rich Guzman**  
**Sr. Project Manager**  
**NRR/DORL/LPL1-1**  
**US NRC**  
**301-415-1030**

---

**From:** Guzman, Richard  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 01, 2013 12:55 PM  
**To:** 'Couture III, Philip'  
**Subject:** RE: Vermont Yankee--Proposed Changes to Relief Request ISI-05 (TAC MF1194)

So I understand all suggested changes are ok with exception of the 2005 vs. 2006 addenda. I'm waiting for John Tsao to get back to me on that item. Stand by...

---

**From:** Couture III, Philip [<mailto:pcoutur@entergy.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 01, 2013 12:37 PM  
**To:** Guzman, Richard  
**Subject:** RE: Vermont Yankee--Proposed Changes to Relief Request ISI-05 (TAC MF1194)

Understood – it will be addressed. Please tell the reviewer thanks for the good catch.

Phil Couture  
Vermont Yankee Licensing  
802-451-3193

---

**From:** Guzman, Richard [<mailto:Richard.Guzman@nrc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 01, 2013 12:29 PM  
**To:** Couture III, Philip  
**Subject:** FW: Vermont Yankee--Proposed Changes to Relief Request ISI-05 (TAC MF1194)

Phil – see additional comment below and confirm whether it will be addressed in your formal RAI response.

**Rich Guzman**  
**Sr. Project Manager**  
**NRR/DORL/LPL1-1**  
**US NRC**  
**301-415-1030**

---

**From:** Tsao, John  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 01, 2013 12:13 PM  
**To:** Guzman, Richard  
**Cc:** Bedi, Gurjendra  
**Subject:** RE: Vermont Yankee--Proposed Changes to Relief Request ISI-05 (TAC MF1194)

Rich, I just realized that the licensee proposed Footnote Number 6 should be Footnote number 7 because in the licensee's RAI response dated June 12, 2013, the licensee already added footnote number 6. Therefore, in the Table, the superscript "6" associated with IWF-5000 should be a "7" and footnote (6) should be changed to footnote (7). The licensee should revise its RR ISI-05 based on the version in the June 12, 2013 submittal.

Thanks.

John