



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**
REGION II
245 PEACHTREE CENTER AVENUE NE, SUITE 1200
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-1257

July 31, 2013

Mr. Ernie Kapopoulos
Vice President
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
Carolina Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 165, Mail Code: Zone 1
New Hill, NC 27562-0165

SUBJECT: SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1 – NOTIFICATION OF INSPECTION AND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Dear Mr. Kapopoulos:

On September 9, 2013, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will begin inspection activities for the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 in accordance with Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515-182, "Review of Implementation of the Industry Initiative to Control Degradation of Underground Piping and Tanks." This inspection is scheduled to be performed from September 9 - 11, 2013, and will address the inspection requirements for Phase 2 of this TI. In order to minimize the impact to your on-site resources, and to ensure a productive inspection, we have enclosed a list of documents needed for the preparation and implementation of this inspection. The documents that are requested for this inspection include all relevant documents that will allow the inspector(s) to adequately complete Phase 2 of this inspection.

We have discussed the schedule for these inspection activities with your staff and understand that our regulatory contact for this inspection will be Ms. Shirelle Allen, of your organization. If there are any questions about this inspection or the material requested, please contact the lead inspector Joel Rivera-Ortiz at (404) 997-4825 or Joel.Rivera-Ortiz@nrc.gov.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's Agencywide

Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

RA

Steven J. Vias, Chief
Engineering Branch 3
Division of Reactor Safety

Docket No.: 50-400
License No.: NPF-63

Enclosure:
Temporary Instruction (TI 2515-182)
Inspection Document Request
TI-182 Phase II Questions

cc: (See page 3)

Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

RA

Steven J. Vias, Chief
Engineering Branch 3
Division of Reactor Safety

Docket No.: 50-400
License No.: NPF-63

Enclosure:
Temporary Instruction (TI 2515-182)
Inspection Document Request
TI-182 Phase II Questions

cc: (See page 3)

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SENSITIVE NON-SENSITIVE
ADAMS: YES NO NO ACCESSION NUMBER: _____ SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE FORM 665 ATTACHED

OFFICE	RII:DRS	RII:DRS	RII:DRS		
SIGNATURE					
NAME	J. Rivera-Ortiz	C Fletcher	S Vias		
DATE	7/ 29 /2013	7/ 29 /2013	7/30 /2013		
E-MAIL COPY?	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: S:\DRS\ENG BRANCH 3\INSPECTIONS\WORKING DOCUMENTS\TI182 REQUEST FOR INFORMATION LETTER\2013\HARRIS TI-182 PHASE 2 RFI - JRO.DOCX

cc:

Brian Bernard
 Manager, Nuclear Services and EP
 Nuclear Protective Services
 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
 Electronic Mail Distribution

George T. Hamrick
 Vice President
 Carolina Power and Light Company
 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Lara S. Nichols
 Deputy General Counsel
 Duke Energy Corporation
 Electronic Mail Distribution

M. Christopher Nolan
 Director, Regulatory Affairs
 Duke Energy Corporation
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Robert J. Duncan II
 Senior Vice President
 Progress Energy
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Sean T. O'Connor
 Manager, Support Services
 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Donald L. Griffith
 Training Manager
 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
 Progress Energy
 Electronic Mail Distribution

R. Keith Holbrook
 Manager, Support Services
 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
 Electronic Mail Distribution

David H. Corlett
 Supervisor
 Licensing/Regulatory Programs
 Progress Energy
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Kate Nolan
 Associate General Counsel
 Duke Energy Corporation
 Electronic Mail Distribution

John H. O'Neill, Jr.
 Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
 2300 N. Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20037-1128

Joseph W. Donahue
 Vice President - Nuclear Oversight
 Duke Energy Corporation
 Electronic Mail Distribution

W. Lee Cox, III
 Section Chief
 Radiation Protection Section
 N.C. Department of Environmental
 Commerce & Natural Resources
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Public Service Commission
 State of South Carolina
 P.O. Box 11649
 Columbia, SC 29211

Chairman
 North Carolina Utilities Commission
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Terrence E. Slake
 Manager
 Nuclear Plant Security
 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
 Electronic Mail Distribution

Robert P. Gruber
 Executive Director
 Public Staff - NCUC
 4326 Mail Service Center
 Raleigh, NC 27699-4326

(cc cont'd – See page 4)

(cc cont'd)
Chair
Board of County Commissioners of Wake
County
P.O. Box 550
Raleigh, NC 27602

Ernest J. Kapopoulos Jr.
Plant General Manager
Carolina Power and Light Company
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
Electronic Mail Distribution

Chair
Board of County Commissioners of
Chatham County
P.O. Box 1809
Pittsboro, NC 27312

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
U.S. NRC
5421 Shearon Harris Rd
New Hill, NC 27562-9998

Letter to Ernie Kapopoulos from Steven J. Vias dated July 30, 2013.

SUBJECT: SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1 – NOTIFICATION OF
INSPECTION AND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Distribution:

RIDSNRRDIRS

PUBLIC

RidsNrrResource

TEMPORARY INSTRUCTION 2515-182 INSPECTION DOCUMENT REQUEST

Inspection Dates: September 9 – 11, 2013

Inspection Procedures: TI 2515-182, "Review of Implementation of the Industry Initiative to Control Degradation of Underground Piping and Tanks"

Inspector: Joel Rivera-Ortiz, Senior Reactor Inspector

Information Requested for the Preparation and Completion of the In-Office Inspection:

The following documents listed below are requested (electronic copy, if possible) by August 30, 2013, to facilitate the preparation for the on-site inspection week

1. Contact information for plant staff responsible for the implementation of the underground piping and tanks program.
2. Copy of the documents governing the implementation of the site's underground piping and tanks program. For example, this includes administrative and implementing procedures for the program.
3. Please review the Attachment to this Enclosure, "TI 2515-182, Review of Implementation of the Industry Initiative to Control Degradation of Underground Piping and Tanks, Phase 2 Questions" and provide response to all the questions, as applicable.
4. Schedule for completion of the following NEI 09-14, "Guideline For The Management of Underground Piping and Tank Integrity," Revision 3, attributes:

Buried Piping

Procedures and Oversight
Risk Ranking
Inspection Plan
Plan Implementation
Asset Management Plan

Underground Piping and Tanks

Procedures and Oversight
Prioritization
Condition Assessment Plan
Plan Implementation
Asset Management Plan

Information to be provided On-Site to the Inspector following the Entrance Meeting:

1. Copy or ready access to the program drawings showing the location of buried and underground piping and tanks within the scope of the program.
2. Copy or ready access to the industry standards and guidelines associated with the program.

Enclosure

3. Self or third party assessments of the underground piping and tanks program (if any have been performed).
4. Response to additional information requested prior to the inspection based on the response to the TI 2515-182 questions in the Attachment.
5. For any of the NEI 09-14 (Revision 3) attributes identified below which have been completed prior to the NRC's onsite inspection, provide written records that demonstrate that the program attribute is complete.

Buried Piping

- Procedures and Oversight
- Risk Ranking
- Inspection Plan
- Plan Implementation
- Asset Management Plan

Underground Piping and Tanks

- Procedures and Oversight
- Prioritization
- Condition Assessment Plan
- Plan Implementation
- Asset Management Plan

Inspector Contact Information

Joel Rivera-Ortiz
Sr. Reactor Inspector
404-997-4825
Joel.Rivera-Ortiz@nrc.gov

Mailing Address

US NRC- Region II
Attn: Joel Rivera-Ortiz
245 Peachtree Center Avenue, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303

TI 2515-182
Review of Implementation of the Industry Initiative to Control Degradation of
Underground Piping and Tanks
Phase 2 Questions

		Questions	Response
Question Number	Subpart		
		Initiative Consistency	
1	a	Has the licensee taken any deviations to either of the initiatives?	Yes / No
	b	If so, what deviations have been taken and what is (are) the basis for these deviations?	
2	a	Does the licensee have an onsite buried piping program manager (owner) and, potentially, a staff?	Yes / No
	b	How many buried piping program owners have there been since January 1, 2010?	
	c	How many other site programs are assigned to the buried piping program owner?	
3	a	Does the licensee have requirements to capture program performance, such as system health reports and performance indicators?	Yes / No
	b	Are these requirements periodic or event driven?	Periodic / Event Driven / None
	c	Are there examples where these requirements have been successfully used to upgrade piping systems or to avert piping or tank leaks?	Yes / No
4	a	Does the licensee have a program or procedure to confirm the as-built location of buried and underground piping and tanks at the plant?	Yes / No
	b	Has the licensee used this program?	Yes / No
	c	Was the program effective in identifying the location of buried pipe?	Yes / No

5		For a sample of buried pipe and underground piping and tanks (sample size at least 1 high and 1 low risk/priority pipe or tank), did the risk ranking and/or prioritization process utilized by the licensee produce results in accordance with the initiative guidelines, i.e., which emphasize the importance of components which have a high likelihood and consequence of failure and deemphasize the importance of components which have a low likelihood and consequence of failure?	Yes / No Sample size examined _____
6	a	As part of its risk ranking process did the licensee estimate/determine the total length of buried/ underground piping included in the initiatives?	Yes / No
	b	As part of its risk ranking process did the licensee estimate/determine the total length of high risk buried/underground piping included in the initiatives?	Yes / No
		Preventive Actions / System Maintenance	
1	a	For uncoated steel piping, has the licensee developed a technical basis for concluding that structural (e.g. ASME Code minimum wall, if applicable) and leaktight integrity of buried piping can be maintained?	Yes / No / Not Applicable (no uncoated buried steel pipe)
	b	Is the technical basis provided as justification by the licensee consistent with the initiative (including its reference documents) or industry standards (e.g. NACE SP0169)	Yes / No
2	a	For buried steel, copper, or aluminum piping or tanks which are not cathodically protected, has the licensee developed a technical basis for concluding that structural (e.g. ASME Code minimum wall, if applicable) and leaktight integrity of buried piping can be maintained?	Yes / No / Not Applicable (no buried steel, copper, or aluminum piping which is not cathodically protected)
	b	Is the technical basis provided as justification by the licensee consistent with the initiative (including its reference documents) or industry standards (e.g. NACE SP0169)	Yes / No

3	a	For licensees with cathodic protection systems, does the licensee have procedures for the maintenance, monitoring and surveys of this equipment?	Yes / No / Not Applicable (no cathodic protection systems)
	b	Are the licensee procedures consistent with the initiative (including its reference documents) or industry standards (e.g. NACE SP0169)?	Yes / No
	c	Is the cathodic protection system, including the evaluation of test data, being operated and maintained by personnel knowledgeable of, or trained in, such activities	Yes / No
4		Is there a program to ensure chase and vault areas which contain piping or tanks subject to the underground piping and tanks initiative are monitored for, or protected against, accumulation of leakage from these pipes or tanks?	Yes / No / N/A (No piping in chases or vaults)
		Inspection Activities / Corrective Actions	
1	a	Has the licensee prepared an inspection plan for its buried piping and underground piping and tanks?	Yes / No
	b	Does the plan specify dates and locations where inspections are planned?	Yes / No
	c	Have inspections, for which the planned dates have passed, occurred as scheduled or have a substantial number of inspections been deferred?	Occurred as scheduled / Deferred
2	a	Has the licensee experienced leaks and/or significant degradation in safety related piping or piping carrying licensed material since January 1, 2009?	Leaks Yes / No Degradation Yes / No
	b	If leakage or significant degradation did occur, did the licensee determine the cause of the leakage or degradation?	Yes / No

	c	Based on a review of a sample of root cause analyses for leaks from buried piping or underground piping and tanks which are safety related or contain licensed material, did the licensee's corrective action taken as a result of the incident include addressing the cause of the degradation?	Yes / No / N/A (no leaks)
	d	Did the corrective action include an evaluation of extent of condition of the piping or tanks and possible expansion of scope of inspections? (Preference should be given to high risk piping and "significant" leaks where more information is likely to be available).	Yes / No / N/A (no leaks)
3	a	Based on a review of a sample of NDE activities which were either directly observed or for which records were reviewed, were the inspections conducted using a predetermined set of licensee/contractor procedures?	Yes / No
	b	Were these procedures sufficiently described and recorded such that the inspection could be reproduced at a later date?	Yes / No
	c	Were the procedures appropriate to detect the targeted degradation mechanism?	Yes / No
	d	For quantitative inspections, were the procedures used adequate to collect quantitative information?	Yes / No
4		Did the licensee disposition direct or indirect NDE results in accordance with their procedural requirements?	Yes / No
5		Based on a sample of piping segments, is there evidence that licensees are substantially meeting the pressure testing requirements of ASME Section XI IWA-5244?	Yes / No