

DeweyBurdPubEm Resource

From: Cohen, Stephen
Sent: Friday, July 05, 2013 8:14 AM
To: DeweyBurdHrgFile Resource
Subject: FW: Suggested typographical corrections
Attachments: D-B SER suggested typographical corrections.pdf

From: John Mays [<mailto:jmays@powertechuranium.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 12:40 PM
To: Cohen, Stephen
Cc: 'Richard Blubaugh'
Subject: Suggested typographical corrections

Steve,

Please see the attached with our listing of suggested typographical corrections to the SER.

Sincerely,

John



POWERTECH (USA) INC.

John M. Mays

Vice President of Engineering
5575 DTC Parkway, Suite 140
Greenwood Village, Colorado, USA 80111-3012
(303) 790-7528 x106
(303) 790-3885 FAX
jmays@powertechuranium.com
www.powertechuranium.com

 **Please do not print this e-mail unless necessary**

This e-mail communication is the property of Powertech and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged and/or confidential and is intended exclusively for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any use, copying, retention or disclosure by any person other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete all copies of this communication and any attachments from your computer.

Hearing Identifier: Powertech_Uranium_Dewey_Burdock_LA_Public
Email Number: 283

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0CD2E1E16DF)

Subject: FW: Suggested typographical corrections
Sent Date: 7/5/2013 8:14:05 AM
Received Date: 7/5/2013 8:16:10 AM
From: Cohen, Stephen

Created By: Stephen.Cohen@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"DeweyBurdHrgFile Resource" <DeweyBurdHrgFile.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1260	7/5/2013 8:16:10 AM
image001.jpg	2723	
D-B SER suggested typographical corrections.pdf		154601

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:



Dewey-Burdock Project Safety Evaluation Report
Suggested Typographical Corrections
Prepared by Powertech (USA) Inc., July 2, 2013

The following are suggested typographical corrections to the SER dated March 2013:

- 1) p. i, suggest adding “2.4.3.4 Inyan Kara Aquifer Tests” to Table of Contents
- 2) p. ii, suggest adding “2.5.4 Evaluation Findings” and “3.2 Processing Plant, Wellfields, and Chemical Storage Facilities” to Table of Contents
- 3) p. vii, suggest adding the following tables to the List of Tables:
 - a. Table 2.6-1 p. 60
 - b. Table 2.6-2 p. 61
 - c. Table 2.6-4 p. 63
 - d. Table 2.6-7 p. 65
- 4) p. ix, suggest changing “alternative” to “alternate” in long form of ACL
- 5) p. x, suggest changing “HCL” to “HCl”
- 6) p. xi, suggest adding “SDWA” (used on p. 104)
- 7) p. 1, 2nd ¶, suggest removing the reference to the December 2010 TR RAI responses (Powertech, 2010b), since these are not part of the license application and were replaced in entirety with the June 2011 TR RAI responses (Powertech, 2011a)
- 8) p. 1, last ¶, suggest removing the reference to Powertech, 2010b and adding the following supplements to the license application as listed in draft LC 9.2:
 - a. February 27, 2012 (Accession No. ML120620195)
 - b. April 11, 2012 (Accession No. ML121030013)
 - c. June 13, 2012 (Accession No. ML12173A038)
 - d. June 27, 2012 (Accession No. ML12179A534)
 - e. October 19, 2012 (Accession No. ML12305A056)
- 9) p. 2, 3rd ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “Table 1-1” to “Table I-1”
- 10) p. 2, last ¶, 3rd line, suggest deleting “for” in “samples for starting”
- 11) p. 12, 1st ¶ below bullet list, 3rd line, suggest changing “land ownership” to “mineral and land ownership”
- 12) p. 12, 2nd ¶ from bottom, 7th line, suggest changing “Steepest slopes of approximately 4 percent” to “Steepest slopes of approximately 92 percent” (see TR p. 2-125)
- 13) p. 13, 1st line, suggest changing “County Highways 769 and 6463” to “County Road 6463” (refer to TR p. 2-1)
- 14) p. 14, 1st ¶ under Sec. 2.2.3.1, suggest deleting Anna, L.O., 2010 citation
- 15) p. 14, 3rd ¶ under Sec. 2.2.3.1, suggest deleting 8 occurrences of “(Powertech, 2011a)” in the middle of the ¶
- 16) p. 15, 2nd full ¶, lines 9-10, suggest changing “Powertech, 2012a” to “Powertech, 2012d”

- 17) p. 15, Sec. 2.2.3.2, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (refer to TR p. 2-81)
- 18) p. 17, 1st ¶ under Sec. 2.2.3.4, line 7, suggest changing “Appendix 2.5” to “Appendix 2.5-F” (refer to Powertech, 2011a)
- 19) p. 21, 1st ¶, last line, suggest changing “1.2 ft/day” to “1.2E-4 ft/day”
- 20) p. 21, 2nd ¶, 7th line, suggest changing “Exhibit 2.3-3” to “Exhibit 2.6-3”
- 21) p. 22, 2nd full ¶, 1st line, suggest changing “Exhibit 3.2-3” to “Exhibit 3.2-4”
- 22) p. 22, 2nd full ¶, 3rd line, suggest changing “(Powertech, 2010a)” to “(Powertech, 2010a)”
- 23) p. 22, last line, suggest changing “silt, clay sand and gravel” to “silt, clay, sand and gravel”
- 24) p. 26, 1st ¶, lines 2-3, suggest changing “Township 6S, Range 1E, Sections 1, 2, and 34” to “Township 6S, Range 1E, Section 34 and Township 7S, Range 1E, Sections 1 and 2”
- 25) p. 26, 4th ¶, 6th line, suggest changing “Figure 2.7-1f” to “Exhibit 2.7-1f”
- 26) p. 28, 4th bullet, suggest changing “((Richter scale)” to “(Richter scale)”
- 27) p. 31, Figure 2.3-1, suggest adding the locations of the regional geologic cross sections depicted on Figures 2.3-2a and 2.3-2b, particularly since the title block indicates that this is a cross section figure
- 28) p. 32, Figure 2.3-2a, suggest changing “Klk” to “Kik”, “Mdme” to “MDme” and “Ocd” to “OCd” in the legend
- 29) p. 33, Figure 2.3-2b, suggest changing “Klk” to “Kik”, “Mdme” to “MDme” and “Ocd” to “OCd” in the legend
- 30) p. 34, Figure 2.3-3, suggest changing source from “Powertech 2009b” to “Powertech 2009c”
- 31) p. 35, 2nd to last full ¶, suggest changing “(“Satellite Images of Dewey-Burdock Project and Vicinity,”)” to “(Google Earth, 2011)” [two occurrences]
- 32) p. 37, Table 2.4-1, suggest changing Pass Creek 100-year flow from 149 to 159 cms (unit conversion error)
- 33) p. 37, Table 2.4-2, suggest changing values for watershed 10 to 1.24/0.48 km²/mi² and 16.6/587.6 cms/cfs (refer to p. 2.7-M-7 in Powertech, 2011a, Appendix 2.7-M)
- 34) p. 42, 4th bullet, suggest changing “both aquifers are artesian” to “both aquifers are flowing artesian”
- 35) p. 42, 1st ¶ below bullet list, suggest changing “are artesian” to “are flowing artesian”
- 36) p. 42, last full ¶, 1st line, suggest changing “Application Figures 2.4-2 through 2.4-4 ... (Powertech, 2009c)” to “Application Figures TR RAI 2.7-5-1 and TR RAI 2.7-5-2 ... (Powertech, 2011a)” (refer to p. 41, last ¶ for similar reference to these updated figures)
- 37) p. 47, 2nd line, suggest changing to “following wells are located within **0.25 mile of** proposed wellfields”
- 38) p. 47, Table 2.4-4, suggest changing title to “Wells Located **within 0.25 mile of** Wellfields”

- 39) p. 52, 2nd line, suggest changing “Appendix 2.9-1” to “Appendix 2.9-I”
- 40) p. 54, last ¶, 3rd line, suggest changing “sufficient number of wells” to “sufficient number of streams and impoundments”
- 41) p. 54, last line, suggest changing “applicantcomplies” to “applicant complies”
- 42) p. 57, Table 2.5-6, suggest defining asterisk used in describing proposed radon MCL
- 43) p. 58, suggest changing “2.5.3 Evaluation Findings” to “2.5.4 Evaluation Findings”
- 44) p. 60, Table 2.6-1, suggest changing no. of days monitored to 366 days for all sites (refer to the response to TR RAI 2.9-4)
- 45) p. 60, last ¶, lines 1-2, suggest deleting “(Daniel G. Driscoll, J. M. C., Joyce E. Williamson, and Larry D. Putnam)”
- 46) p. 60, last ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 47) p. 60, last line, suggest deleting “#91” from “(Powertech, 2012e #91)”
- 48) p. 61, last ¶, 8th line, suggest deleting “#91” from “(Powertech, 2012e #91)”
- 49) p. 61, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, October 19, 2012” to “Powertech, 2012e”
- 50) p. 62, Table 2.6-3, suggest changing the following values shown in bold (refer to Table 2.9-12 submitted with the response to TR RAI 2.9-5 in Powertech, 2011a)

Radionuclide	Concentrations (µCi/ml)		
	Low	High	Average
Natural Uranium	-3.0E-17	1.5E-14	1.4E-15
Thorium-230	-1.5E-18	5.6E-17	1.2E-17
Radium-226	-4.9E-17	5.3E-17	1.6E-18
Lead-210	6.0E-15	4.1E-14	1.5E-14

- 51) p. 62, 1st ¶ below Table 2.6-3, 5th line, suggest changing “0.04 pCi/L” to “0.4 pCi/L” (refer to Appendix 2.9-A in TR, p. 18)
- 52) p. 62, last ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “coincide with” to “coinciding with”
- 53) p. 64, source for Table 2.6-4, suggest changing “Powertech, 2011” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 54) p. 64, 1st ¶ below Table 2.6-5, lines 4-5, suggest deleting “(Daniel G. Driscoll, J. M. C., Joyce E. Williamson, and Larry D. Putnam)”
- 55) p. 64, 1st ¶ below Table 2.6-5, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2011a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (TR p. 2-373)
- 56) p. 64, Table 2.6-6, suggest changing unit labels from “pCi/kg” to “pCi/g” (refer to TR Appendix 2.9-A, p. 15)
- 57) p. 64, Table 2.6-6, suggest changing source from “Powertech, 2011a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 58) p. 65, 3rd full ¶, 3rd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d, 2011a” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 59) p. 66, 1st ¶, 2nd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009 #32; Powertech, 2011a #38” to “Powertech, 2009c, 2011a”
- 60) p. 66, 1st ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009 #32” to “Powertech, 2009c”

- 61) p. 66, 1st ¶, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 62) p. 66, last ¶, 5th line, suggest deleting “#38”
- 63) p. 67, 2nd ¶, lines 5 and 7, suggest deleting “#91” and changing “NRC, 2003 #25” to “NRC, 2003b”
- 64) p. 67, 1st ¶ under Sec. 2.6.3.4, 4th line, suggest deleting “#56”
- 65) p. 67, 2nd ¶ under Sec. 2.6.3.4, last line, suggest deleting “#91”
- 66) p. 68, 2nd to last ¶, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 67) p. 69, 1st ¶, 5th line, suggest deleting “#56”
- 68) p. 69, 2nd ¶, 9th line, suggest deleting “#92”
- 69) p. 69, last ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 70) p. 69, last ¶, 5th line, suggest changing “iR/hr” to “µR/hr”
- 71) p. 69, last ¶, 6th line, suggest deleting “of the application” in “Application Figure 2.9-7 of the application”
- 72) p. 70, 1st ¶, lines 3 and 5, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 73) p. 71, 2nd ¶, 1st line, suggest deleting “once” in “samples will be collected once from each” (since the next sentence correctly indicates that two samples will be collected from each location)
- 74) p. 71, 2nd ¶, lines 2 and 9, suggest deleting “#91”
- 75) p. 71, 3rd ¶, 2nd line, suggest deleting #56”
- 76) p. 72, Sec. 2.7, suggest alphabetizing references (e.g., Google Earth, Strobel et al. etc.)
- 77) p. 72, Sec. 2.7, FEMA (2012b), suggest changing “fo” to “of”
- 78) p. 72, Sec. 2.7, suggest adding EPA, 1982 (cited on p. 69)
- 79) p. 73, Sec. 2.7, suggest adding Powertech, 2009c
- 80) p. 74, last ¶, 1st line, suggest changing “Exhibits 2.1-1a” to “Exhibits 2.7-1a”
- 81) p. 75, 1st line, suggest changing “Figure 3.1-4” to “Exhibit 3.1-4”
- 82) p. 75, last ¶, 5th line, suggest deleting “from” in “may be modified from based”
- 83) p. 79, Table 3.1-1, 4th and 5th cells under B, suggest changing “240” to “250” (refer to response to TR RAI P&R-14(c) in Powertech, 2011a)
- 84) p. 80, 3rd bullet, suggest changing “SDENR, 2012” to “SD DENR, 2012” (refer to list of abbreviations and acronyms, which uses “SD DENR”)
- 85) p. 80, last ¶, suggest changing “SDENR” to “SD DENR” (2 occurrences)
- 86) p. 83, last ¶, suggest changing “NRC, 2003” to “NRC, 2003b” (2 occurrences)
- 87) p. 84, 3rd ¶, suggest indenting to match other quotations of the draft license conditions
- 88) p. 87, Figure 3.1-1, suggest labeling contours; also, suggest changing title block title to match title below figure (title block titles for Figures 3.1-1 and 3.1-2 appear to be switched)
- 89) p. 88, Figure 3.1-2, suggest labeling contours also, suggest changing title block title to match title below figure (title block titles for Figures 3.1-1 and 3.1-2 appear to be switched)

- 90) p. 89, 2nd ¶ under Sec. 3.2.3.1, last 2 lines, suggest changing “Figures 3.2.4 and 3.2.5” to “Figures 3.2-4 and 3.2-5”
- 91) p. 90, 2nd full ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009b #30” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 92) p. 90, 2nd to last ¶, 6th line, suggest changing “fully enclosed buildings provides the control” to “fully enclosed buildings providing for the control”
- 93) p. 91, 3rd to last ¶, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 94) p. 91, last ¶, 1st line, suggest changing “(HCL)” to “(HCl)”
- 95) p. 95, last line, suggest deleting “for” in “respond to for malfunctions”
- 96) p. 97, last reference, suggest changing “SDENR” to “SD DENR” (refer to abbreviations and acronyms list)
- 97) p. 98, 1st ¶ under Sec. 4.1.3.1, suggest deleting first use of “application” in “In application Section 4.1.2 of the application”
- 98) p. 98, 1st ¶ under Sec. 4.1.3.1, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 99) p. 99, 2nd and 3rd ¶, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (4 occurrences)
- 100) p. 101, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (5 occurrences)
- 101) p. 102, 1st full ¶, 6th line, suggest changing “10 CFR 20.1301 (a)” to “10 CFR 20.1301 based on (a)”
- 102) p. 102, 1st full ¶, last line, suggest changing “Section 4.14” to “Section 4.1.4”
- 103) p. 102, 1st ¶ under Sec. 4.1.3.3, 1st line, suggest changing “radon gas evolve” to “radon gas, will evolve”
- 104) p. 102, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (3 occurrences)
- 105) p. 104, 3rd ¶ under Sec. 4.2.3.1.1, 3rd line, suggest changing “144.6(a) and 146.5(a)” to “144.6(a) and 146.5(a)”
- 106) p. 107, 2nd bullet list, 3rd bullet, suggest changing to read, “8, 63.8 acre-ft storage ponds ~~at each site~~ to store water during the non-irrigation season (4 at each site)”
- 107) p. 108, last ¶, line 3, suggest changing “Powertech, 2012d” to “Powertech, 2012b”
- 108) p. 108, last ¶, lines 4-5, suggest changing “perimeter of pollution (POP)” to “perimeter of operational pollution (POP)” (refer to p. 112, 1st bullet)
- 109) p. 108, last ¶, 6th line, suggest changing “figure TR RAI 5.7.8-17” to “figure TR RAI 5.7.8-17-5”
- 110) p. 112, 1st bullet, lines 2-4, suggest changing to read, “perimeter of operational pollution (POP) and ~~wells within the~~ closer to the points of application. The applicant will also use existing wells ~~will be used~~ for land application monitoring.”
- 111) p. 112, 3rd bullet, suggest changing to read “Surface water monitoring - The applicant proposes 2 surface water **sampling locations** in each Beaver Creek and Pass Creek, as well as 4 impoundment **sampling locations**”

- 112) p. 112, 5th bullet, 3rd line, suggest changing to read “the applicant will collect 2 samples from each pivot **that is active during each year**” (see Powertech, 2012b)
- 113) p. 115, Powertech (2012b), suggest adding accession no. ML121950041 (package)
- 114) p. 115, suggest adding SD DENR, 2013 reference cited on p. 108
- 115) p. 116, Sec. 5.1.3, suggest changing “Mine Manager” to “Facility Manager” (5 occurrences; refer to response to TR RAI RI-1 in Powertech, 2011a)
- 116) p. 117, suggest changing “Mine Manager” to “Facility Manager” (5 occurrences)
- 117) p. 120, 1st ¶ below initial bullet list, 3rd line, suggest changing to “10 CFR Part 20, Subpart M; 10 CFR Part 40.60; and the”
- 118) p. 120, last ¶, 2nd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009b” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 119) p. 121, 1st full ¶, 1st line, suggest changing to read “the applicant **states that** site avoidance”
- 120) p. 123, Sec. 5.3.3 suggest changing “Mine Manager” to “Facility Manager” (2 occurrences)
- 121) p. 124, 2nd full ¶, 2nd line, suggest changing “Mine Manager” to “Facility Manager”
- 122) p. 128, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009b” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 123) p. 129, 1st ¶, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009b” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 124) p. 129, numbered list, this list appears to be redundant to the 1st ¶ under Sec. 5.5.3.3
- 125) p. 130, 1st ¶ under Sec. 5.6.3, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009b” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 126) p. 134, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (4 occurrences)
- 127) p. 135, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (5 occurrences)
- 128) p. 136, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 129) p. 137, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (3 occurrences)
- 130) p. 137, 1st ¶ under Sec. 5.7.3.3.3, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 131) p. 139, Sec. 5.7.4.3.2, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2011a” (4 occurrences)
- 132) p. 139, Table 5.7-1, 2nd row below header, suggest changing “Figures 5.7.6 to 5.7.9” to “Figures 5.7-6 through 5.7-9”
- 133) p. 140, Table 5.7-1, 2nd to last row, suggest deleting second comma in “detector, , beta” and adding units for beta-gamma (1000 dpm/100 cm²; refer to p. 461 in Powertech, 2011a)
- 134) p. 140, Table 5.7-1, last row, suggest changing “Powertech 20009d” to “Powertech 2009c”
- 135) p. 140, 1st ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 136) p. 140, 1st ¶, last line, suggest changing “Powertech 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 137) p. 140, equation 1, suggest changing T_b to R_b in the radical and defining “V” below the equation as “V = the sample volume (ml)” (refer to Powertech, 2011a, p. 435-437)
- 138) p. 140, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009b” to “Powertech, 2009c”

- 139) p. 141, 3rd ¶, 3rd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 140) p. 142, 2nd and 3rd ¶ under Sec. 5.7.4.3.3, suggest changing “Powertech 2011” to “Powertech, 2011a” (2 occurrences)
- 141) p. 142, last ¶, 2nd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 142) p. 144, 4th ¶, 9th line, suggest changing “briefly in Attachment 5.2-1 to the technical report” to “briefly in Figure TR RAI P&R-16-1”
- 143) p. 146, 1st ¶ under Sec. 5.7.5.2, 3rd line, suggest changing “NRC, 2003” to “NRC, 2003b”
- 144) p. 146, 1st ¶ under bullet list, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 145) p. 146, 2nd ¶ under bullet list, lines 2-3, suggest changing “NRC, 2003a” to “NRC, 2003b”
- 146) p. 146, last ¶, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 147) p. 147, 1st and 2nd ¶, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 148) p. 148, 1st full ¶, 6th line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2011” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 149) p. 148, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 150) p. 148, 3rd full ¶, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 151) p. 149, 2nd ¶, 3rd line, suggest changing “NRC, 2003a” to “NRC, 2003b”
- 152) p. 150, 3rd line, suggest changing “10 CFR 1502(a)(1)” to “10 CFR 20.1502(a)(1)”
- 153) p. 150, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 154) p. 152, 1st ¶ under Sec. 5.7.6.3.4, 2nd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 155) p. 152, 1st ¶ under Sec. 5.7.6.3.4, 5th line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 156) p. 154, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 157) p. 154, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 158) p. 154, 2nd ¶ under Sec. 5.7.7.3.1.1, 2nd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2011” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 159) p. 155, 1st ¶ under bullet list, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 160) p. 155, 2nd ¶ under bullet list, last line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 161) p. 156, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (3 occurrences)
- 162) p. 157, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (4 occurrences)
- 163) p. 157, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c” (4 occurrences)
- 164) p. 158, 1st line, suggest changing “mine manager” to “facility manager” (refer to response to TR RAI RI-1 in Powertech, 2011a)

- 165) p. 158, 1st ¶, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
(2 occurrences)
- 166) p. 158, Sec. 5.7.7.3.4, suggest changing “Powertech, 2011” to “Powertech, 2011a”
(2 occurrences)
- 167) p. 160, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 168) p. 161, 2nd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 169) p. 162, Sec. 5.7.8.3.2.2, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 170) p. 163, 1st full ¶, 4th line, suggest changing “Ra-226 Th-230” to “Ra-226, Th-230”
- 171) p. 164, 4th line, suggest changing “TR 2.9-12” to “TR RAI 2.9-12”
- 172) p. 171, last ¶, lines 1-2, suggest changing “Exhibit 5.7-I” to “Exhibit 5.7-1”
- 173) p. 178, References, suggest adding EPA, 1988 (cited on p. 151)
- 174) p. 179, Powertech (2012c), suggest correcting spelling of “Revised” and adding
accession no. ML12179A534.
- 175) p. 187, 1st ¶ under Sec. 6.1.3.8, 3rd line, suggest changing “all mine units” to “all
wellfields”
- 176) p. 188, 3rd ¶, 6th line, suggest changing “NRC, 2003a” to “NRC, 2003b”
- 177) p. 189, 2nd to last bullet, suggest changing “NRC, 2003a” to “NRC, 2003b”
- 178) p. 190, 3rd to last ¶, suggest changing “Quality Assurance Program Plan” to “Quality
Assurance Project Plan”
- 179) p. 192, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (4 occurrences)
- 180) p. 194, 2nd ¶ under Sec. 6.4.3.1, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” and “Powertech,
2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c”
- 181) p. 195, 1st ¶, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009d” and “Powertech, 2010a” to
“Powertech, 2009c”
- 182) p. 196, Table 6-1, 4th row under Facility Decommissioning, suggest adding a comma in
“\$1,429100”
- 183) p. 197, 1st ¶, last line, suggest changing “NRC, 2003a” to “NRC, 2003b”
- 184) p. 198, References, suggest completing Cameco (2012)
- 185) p. 198, References, Powertech (2012c), suggest correcting spelling of “Revised” and
adding accession no. ML12179A534.
- 186) p. 202, 2nd bullet list, 1st bullet, suggest changing “weekl” to “weekly”
- 187) p. 203, 1st ¶, last line, suggest changing “potential impacts to shallow” to “potential
impacts to shallow groundwater”
- 188) p. 203, 2nd ¶ under Sec. 7.3.2.3, suggest changing “Powertech, 2009c” to “Powertech,
2010a” (2 occurrences)
- 189) p. 205, 4th ¶, 3rd line, suggest changing “in the mitigating” to “in mitigating”
- 190) p. 205, last ¶, 2nd line, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2011a”
- 191) p. 207, suggest changing “Powertech, 2010a” to “Powertech, 2009c” (2 occurrences)
- 192) p. 208, References, suggest adding accession no. to CNSC (2012).